

These are brief notes for the lecture on Friday October 8, 2010: they are not complete, but they are a guide to what I want to say today. They are not guaranteed to be correct.

4.3. Linear Independence in Vector Spaces; Bases

DEFINITION. Suppose that V is a vector space, and that $\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_p \in V$. This sequence of vectors is a basis for V if it is linearly independent and spans V . A basis for a subspace $H < V$ is a sequence of vectors in H which is linearly independent and spans H .

Note: often a set of vectors is described as a basis, but then the discussion uses that \underline{b}_1 is the first vector in the set, \underline{b}_2 is the second vector in the set, etc. This makes it slightly better to refer to a sequence of vectors as being a basis (or as the book puts it, an “indexed set”, which is non-standard terminology). For our purposes, we will allow a basis to be either a sequence or a set as is most convenient.

Example: Let $A = [\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots, \underline{a}_n]$ be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. What does the Invertible Matrix Theorem say about $\{\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots, \underline{a}_n\}$?

Example: $\{\underline{e}_1, \underline{e}_2, \dots, \underline{e}_n\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n . Why?

Example: $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{P}_n . Why?

THEOREM 5. Let V be a vector space, and $S = \{\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_p\} \subseteq V$, and let $H = \text{Span}(\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_p)$.

(1) If there exists k so that \underline{v}_k is a linear combination of the other vectors in S , then

$$H = \text{Span}(\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_{k-1}, \underline{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \underline{v}_p)$$

(2) If $H \neq \{0\}$ then some subset of S is a basis for H .

Proof:

(1) Suppose that $\underline{u} \in H$. We need to show that \underline{u} is a linear combination of vectors in $\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_{k-1}, \underline{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \underline{v}_n$. We know that it is a linear combination of vectors in S .

(2) If S is linearly independent, then it is a basis. Otherwise, there is a non-trivial linear combination of vectors in S giving $\underline{0}$, and hence there is some vector in S which can be written as a linear combination of the others. Hence we can replace S by a smaller set S' which still spans H . Clearly we can continue this process, and it has to stop either with $S' = \emptyset$ (in which case $H = \{\underline{0}\}$) or with S' a linearly independent set spanning H , and hence a basis for H .

Bases for Nul A and Col A

We already have seen how to find a basis for Nul A : row reduce A to obtain a matrix in reduced row echelon form and use this to express the null space in vector parametric form. The vectors appearing will be the basis for Nul A .

Example: Let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Find a basis for Nul B .

For the same matrix B , find a basis for Col B .

Fact: If $A \sim B$, then the linear dependencies of the columns of A are exactly the same as the linear dependencies of the columns of B .

THEOREM 6. *The pivot columns of a matrix A form a basis for Col A .*

Note: A basis is

- A spanning set which is as small as possible
- A linearly independent set which is as big as possible

Example: which of the following sets of vectors form a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

$$(1) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$(2) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$(3) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

4.4. Coordinate Systems

THEOREM 8 (Unique Representation Theorem). *Let V be a vector space, and let $\mathcal{B} = \{\underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_n\}$ be a basis for V . Then for every $\underline{v} \in V$, there is a unique vector $\underline{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that*

$$\underline{v} = x_1 \underline{b}_1 + x_2 \underline{b}_2 + \cdots + x_n \underline{b}_n.$$

Proof: Since \mathcal{B} is a basis, we know that there is at least one representation of this form (since $\underline{v} \in V$ and \mathcal{B} spans V , \underline{v} is a linear combination of the elements of \mathcal{B}).

So, we just have to show that there is only one such representation: this will follow from the fact that \mathcal{B} is linearly independent.

Suppose that we also have

$$\underline{v} = y_1 \underline{b}_1 + y_2 \underline{b}_2 + \cdots + y_n \underline{b}_n.$$

We will show that $x_1 = y_1, x_2 = y_2, \dots$. Subtracting the two expressions for \underline{v} , we obtain

$$\underline{0} = (x_1 - y_1) \underline{b}_1 + (x_2 - y_2) \underline{b}_2 + \cdots + (x_n - y_n) \underline{b}_n.$$

but since \mathcal{B} is linearly independent, this implies that each of the values $x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2$, etc. must be 0. Hence $x_i = y_i$ as claimed.