

## Lecture 18: September 27

Lecturer: Neil Calkin

Scribe: Shihwei Chao and Dominique Morgan

**Disclaimer:** These notes are intended for students in the class listed above: they are not guaranteed to be complete or even necessarily correct. They may only be redistributed with permission, which you may expect will be liberally granted. Ask first, please.

## 18.1 Set Partitions

$A(z)$  is the generating function for necklaces of objects from  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\mathcal{B}$  having generating function  $B(z)$ , then

$$A(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{-\varphi(k)}{k} \log(1 - B(z^k)).$$

Last time: Generating function for set partitions is

$$b(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n \frac{z^n}{n!} = e^{e^z - 1},$$

the exponential generating function for  $b_n$ . What does this tell us about, say, the growth rate of  $b_n$ ?

$$\frac{b_n}{n!} \leq \frac{e^{e^x - 1}}{x^n}, \quad \forall x > 0,$$

minimized when

$$\frac{e^{e^x - 1} \cdot e^x}{x^n} - \frac{n \cdot e^{e^x - 1}}{x^{n+1}} = 0$$

i.e.  $n = xe^x$ .

**Exercise (NTBHI): Learn about Lambert's W function.**

How does  $xe^x$  behave for  $x \in (0, \infty)$ ?

$\frac{d}{dx}(xe^x) = (x+1)e^x > 0, \forall x \in (0, \infty)$  and  $xe^x|_{x=0} = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xe^x = \infty$ . Hence for any real  $y \geq 0$ ,  $y = xe^x$  has a unique solution  $x$  with  $x \geq 0$ .

How big should  $x$  be if  $xe^x = n$ ? ( $n$  large)

$x$  should be on the order of magnitude of  $\log n$ .  $x = \log n$  is too big since  $\log n \cdot e^{\log n} = n \cdot \log n > n$ .

Observe  $x = \log\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right) < \log n$ :

$$\log\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right) \cdot e^{\log\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)} = \frac{n(\log n - \log \log n)}{\log n} < n.$$

So it appears that  $x = \log n - \log \log n$  is a better estimate. Set  $x = \log n - \log \log n + c$ , then

$$xe^x = \frac{\log n - \log \log n + c}{\log n} \cdot ne^c,$$

so

$$e^{-c} = 1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} + \frac{c}{\log n}.$$

So, assuming  $c$  is  $o(1)$ , terms up to  $O(c^2)$ , give

$$1 - c = 1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} + \frac{c}{\log n}.$$

So  $c \left( \frac{\log n - 1}{\log n} \right) = \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}$ , and hence  $c = \frac{\log \log n}{\log n - 1}$ . So our minimizing happens for

$$x \simeq \log n - \log \log n + \frac{\log \log n}{\log n - 1} + o(1).$$

Then, using, say  $x = \log n - \log \log n$ ,

$$e^{e^x - 1} = e^{\frac{n}{\log n} - 1} = \left( e^{\frac{1}{\log n}} \right)^n \cdot \frac{1}{e},$$

$$x^n = \left( \log n \left( 1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right) \right)^n \simeq (\log n)^n e^{-\frac{n \log \log n}{\log n}}.$$

So

$$\frac{b_n}{n!} \leq e^{\left( \frac{1}{\log n} - \log \log n + \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)^n} \cdot \frac{1}{e} \simeq (e^{-\log \log n})^n < d^n$$

for any fixed  $d > 0$ .

So  $\frac{b_n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$  very rapidly ( but not as rapidly as  $\frac{1}{n!}$  ).

$$n! \simeq \left( \frac{n}{e} \right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} = e^{n \log n - n + \frac{1}{2} \log n + \dots}$$

$b_n$  grows like

$$e^{n \log n - n \log \log n - n + n \frac{1 + \log \log n}{\log n} + \dots}$$

Not very illuminating, it says more if we say  $\log b_n \simeq n \log n - n \log \log n + \dots$

If  $b_n$  doesn't have a simple growth rate, then we probably can't expect some of the following to either ...

Define  $S(n, k)$  to be the number of set partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  having exactly  $k$  parts.

$$S(n, 1) = 1$$

$$S(n, n) = 1$$

$$S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \cdot \# \text{ onto functions from } \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ to } \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

$$S(n, n-1) = \binom{n}{2}$$

$$S(n, 2) = 2^{n-1} - 1$$

$$S(n, n-2) = ? \text{ part sizes possible: } 2, 2, 1, \dots, 1 \text{ or } 3, 1, 1, \dots, 1.$$

$$S(n, n-2) = \binom{n}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n-2}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{8}.$$

This appears perhaps to give a little insight:

$$S(n, k) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda \text{ has } k \text{ parts}}} \binom{n}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k} \frac{1}{i_1! i_2! i_3! \dots},$$

where  $i_j = \#$  copies of  $j$  in  $\lambda$ .

**Exercise:**  $c_\lambda$ ?

Easier approach to  $S(n, k)$ :  $S(n+1, k) = S(n, k-1) + kS(n, k)$   
compare to Pascal's identity  $\binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k-1} + \binom{n}{k}$ .

So,  $S(1, 1) = 1$   
 $S(2, 1) = 1$   
 $S(2, 2) = 1$

	k						
n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	1						
2	1	1					
3	1	3	1				
4	1	7	6	1			
5	1	15	25	10	1		
6	1	31	90	65	15	1	

What about other similar recurrences?

$T(n+1, k) = f(n, k) \cdot T(n, k-1) + g(n, k) \cdot T(n, k)$  where  $f(n, k)$  and  $g(n, k)$  are nice simple functions.

e.g.

$$T(n+1, k) = k \cdot T(n, k-1) + T(n, k)?$$

$$T(n+1, k) = T(n, k-1) + n \cdot T(n, k)?$$

$$T(n+1, k) = n \cdot T(n, k-1) + T(n, k)?$$

$$T(n+1, k) = T(n, k-1) + (n-k) \cdot T(n, k)?$$

**Exercise: Investigate these computationally. Are there any nice patterns? Do you ever get nice row sums? diagonal sums? (Due Next Friday on Oct. 8th)**