

Counting sets of integers, no  $k$  of which  
sum to another

Neil J. Calkin    Angela C. Taylor\*  
School of Mathematics  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
Atlanta, GA 30332

---

\*Research for the second author was supported by a Georgia Institute of Technology Graduate Research Assistantship.

Proposed Running Head: Counting sets of integers

Address for Proofs:  
Neil J. Calkin or Angela C. Taylor  
School of Mathematics  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
Atlanta, GA 30332

### **Abstract**

We show that for every  $k \geq 3$  the number of subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  containing no solution to  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = y$ , where the  $x_i$  need not be distinct, is at most  $c2^{\alpha n}$ , where  $\alpha = (k - 1)/k$ .

A set  $S$  of positive integers is *sum-free* if  $S$  contains no  $x, y$  and  $z$  (not necessarily distinct) such that  $x + y = z$ . Cameron and Erdős have shown [3] that the number of sum-free sets contained in  $\{\frac{1}{3}n, \frac{1}{3}n + 1, \dots, n\}$  is  $c2^{\frac{n}{3}}$ , and Alon [1], Calkin [2] and Erdős and Granville (personal communication) have independently shown that the number of sum-free sets contained in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is  $o(2^{n(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)})$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Erdős has asked (personal communication) if the number of sets contained in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  without a solution to  $x + y + z = t$  is  $c2^{\frac{2n}{3}}$ . In this paper, we answer this question in the affirmative and show more generally that the number of sets contained in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with no solution to  $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k = y$  (with the  $x_i$  not necessarily distinct) is at most  $c2^{\alpha n}$ , where  $\alpha = (k - 1)/k$  and  $k \geq 3$ . (Note that  $k = 2$  corresponds to the sum-free case mentioned above. It is interesting that we get a stronger result for  $k \geq 3$  than for  $k = 2$ , and we shall later show where the method used here fails for  $k = 2$ .) We know this number must be at least  $c2^{\alpha n}$ , since if a set  $S$  has all its elements in  $[n - \alpha n, n]$ , then the sum of any  $k$  elements of  $S$  will be greater than  $n$ . Hence all  $2^{\alpha n}$  subsets of  $[n - \alpha n + 1, n]$  will be included in this number.

In what follows, we will define

(\*)-free to mean having no solution to  $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i = y$

$\mathcal{F}_n =$  the set of (\*)-free sets in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$f_n = |\mathcal{F}_n|$$

$g_n =$  the number of (\*)-free sets in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  which contain less than  $\epsilon q$  elements greater than  $n - q$

$h_n =$  the number of (\*)-free sets in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  which contain at least  $\epsilon q$  elements greater than  $n - q$

$h_{n,l} =$  the number of (\*)-free sets in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  which contain at least  $\epsilon q$  elements greater than  $n - q$  and which have least element  $l$

**Theorem 1** Fix  $k \geq 3$ , and let  $\alpha = (k - 1)/k$ . There exists a constant  $c$  such that the number of subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  containing no solution to

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i = y$$

is at most  $c2^{\alpha n}$ .

**Proof** The proof will be along the following lines: we shall split  $\mathcal{F}_n$  into several parts, where each part will be determined by the number of elements each set has in  $[n - q + 1, n]$  and by the size of its least element  $l$ . The reason we consider the size of the least element in a set is that any set which contains many small elements (in relation to  $n$ ) cannot contain many medium or large elements, and a set with many medium elements cannot contain many large elements. Hence, the  $(*)$ -free sets of greatest cardinality will be those with a large least element  $l$ . Each subset of a  $(*)$ -free set is clearly  $(*)$ -free, so most of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  will be those sets with many elements in  $[n - q + 1, n]$  and a large least element  $l$ .

But first we must choose  $\epsilon$  and  $q$  in an appropriate way. We will pick  $d$  such that  $d > \frac{1}{2\alpha - 1}$  and then choose  $\epsilon$  and  $q$  such that

$$\binom{q}{\epsilon q} \epsilon q < \frac{1}{2} 2^{\alpha q}$$

and such that any set of  $\epsilon q$  elements in  $\{1, 2, \dots, q\}$  contains an arithmetic progression of length at least  $2d + 1$ . We are guaranteed the ability to do this by [4].

We shall first consider the sets which have density less than  $\epsilon$  in the largest  $q$  elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ; that is, they have less than  $\epsilon q$  elements in  $[n - q + 1, n]$ . The number of ways to get less than  $\epsilon q$  elements in  $[n - q + 1, n]$  is less than

$$\binom{q}{\epsilon q} \epsilon q$$

and this is less than  $\frac{1}{2} 2^{\alpha q}$ , by our choice of  $\epsilon$  and  $q$ . We multiply this by the number of  $(*)$ -free sets in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - q\}$  and we see that the number  $g_n$  of  $(*)$ -free sets in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  having fewer than  $\epsilon q$  elements in  $[n - q + 1, n]$  is at most

$$\binom{q}{\epsilon q} \epsilon q f_{n-q} < \frac{1}{2} 2^{\alpha q} f_{n-q}.$$

We shall now prove that the number of sets in  $\mathcal{F}_n$  having at least  $\epsilon q$  elements in  $[n - q + 1, n]$  is at most  $c 2^{\alpha n}$ , where  $c$  is independent of  $n$ , and the result will then follow by induction. First we shall state two lemmas due to Calkin [2].

**Lemma 1** *The number of binary sequences of length  $b$  without any pairs of 1s at distance exactly  $1, 3, 5, 7, \dots, 2d - 1$ , is at most  $2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(b+2d)}$ .*

**Proof** The number of sequences of length  $2d$  without pairs of 1s at an odd distance is exactly  $2^{d+1} - 1$ . Thus the number of sequences of length  $b$  without pairs of 1s at an odd distance less than  $2d$  is at most

$$(2^{d+1} - 1)^{\lceil \frac{b}{2d} \rceil} < (2^{d+1})^{\frac{b}{2d} + 1} = 2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(b+2d)}$$

as required.

**Lemma 2** Given an arithmetic progression  $b - da, b - (d - 1)a, \dots, b + da$ , the number of subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, b - 1\}$  having no pairs  $x, y$  such that  $x + y$  is an element of the progression, is at most

$$2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(b+a(2d+1))}.$$

**Proof** Write the elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, b - 1\}$  in the following  $a$  sequences:

$$A_1 = \{1, b - 1, 1 + a, b - 1 - a, 1 + 2a, b - 1 - 2a, \dots\},$$

$$A_2 = \{2, b - 2, 2 + a, b - 2 - a, 2 + 2a, b - 2 - 2a, \dots\},$$

$\vdots$

$$A_a = \{a, b - a, 2a, b - 2a, 3a, b - 3a, \dots\},$$

where each sequence has either  $\lceil \frac{b}{a} \rceil$  or  $\lfloor \frac{b}{a} \rfloor$  elements, and every element of  $\{1, 2, \dots, b\}$  occurs in exactly one such sequence. Then, for any set  $S$  which has no pair of elements summing to a member of the arithmetic progression, the characteristic sequence of  $S$  is such that when written as  $a$  binary sequences in the order given by  $A_1, \dots, A_a$ , each of these binary sequences has the property that there are no 1s at distance exactly  $1, 3, 5, 7, \dots, 2d - 1$ . The number of ways of choosing such a set  $S$  is thus at most the number of ways of choosing  $a$  sequences of length  $\frac{b}{a} + 1$ , without 1s at an odd distance less than  $2d$ . This is at most

$$2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(\frac{b}{a}+1+2d)a} = 2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(b+a(2d+1))}$$

as desired.

Now we shall place an upper bound on  $h_n$ .

**Lemma 3** The number  $h_n$  of  $(*)$ -free sets in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  which contain at least  $eq$  elements greater than  $n - q$  is less than  $2^{q+1}2^{\alpha n} + 2^{\alpha n}$ .

**Proof** If a set has  $l > \frac{n}{k}$ , then the set is clearly  $(*)$ -free. Then any element of  $[l, n]$  can be in the set, hence the number of sets with  $l > \frac{n}{k}$  is

$$2^{n - \frac{n}{k}} = 2^{\alpha n}.$$

Now we shall consider the more interesting case where a set has  $l \leq \frac{n}{k}$ . We have an arithmetic progression  $t - da, t - (d - 1)a, \dots, t, t + a, \dots, t + da$ , and least element  $l$  in our set  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_l$  be the family of sets with least element  $l$ . Then  $|\mathcal{K}_l|$  is less than the number of subsets of  $[1, n]$  with no solution to  $x_1 + x_2 + (k - 2)l = y$ . Now write  $x_1$  as  $z_1 + l$  and  $x_2$  as  $z_2 + l$ . Next we count the number of subsets of  $[0, n - l]$  with no solution to

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 + z_2 &= t - da - kl \\
z_1 + z_2 &= t - (d-1)a - kl \\
&\vdots \\
z_1 + z_2 &= t + da - kl
\end{aligned}$$

An upper bound for this is

$$2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(t-kl+1+a(2d+1))} 2^{(n-l)-(t-kl)+1}$$

(where the first term is obtained as in Lemma 2 and the second term allows all combinations of elements of  $[(n-l) - (t-kl), n-l]$  to be chosen)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(t-kl+1+a(2d+1))} 2^{(n-t)+1} 2^{(k-1)l} \\
&= 2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(n-kl-(n-t-ad)+a(d+1)+1)} 2^{(n-t)+1} 2^{(k-1)l} \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(n-kl)+\frac{(d+1)^2}{2d}a+\frac{d+1}{2d}} 2^{(n-t)+1} 2^{(k-1)l} \\
&= 2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(n-kl)+\frac{da}{2}+a+\frac{a}{2d}+\frac{d+1}{2d}} 2^{(n-t)+1} 2^{(k-1)l} \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}(n-kl)+q} 2^{(n-t)+1} 2^{(k-1)l}
\end{aligned}$$

(since  $t \in [n-q+1, n]$ .) This is the point at which the difference between the cases of  $k=2$  and  $k \geq 3$  arises. (We need  $2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}n} < 2^{\alpha n}$ , but if  $k=2$  this cannot happen since we have  $2^{\alpha n} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .) Then, summing over  $l$  from 1 to  $\frac{n}{k}$ , we find the number of  $(*)$ -free sets with least element  $l \leq \frac{n}{k}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^q 2^{\frac{d+1}{2d}n} \frac{1 - 2^{-\frac{d+1}{2d}(n+k)}}{1 - 2^{-\frac{d+1}{2d}k}} \\
&\leq 2^q 2^{\alpha n} 2 \\
&= 2^{q+1} 2^{\alpha n}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have that  $h_n < 2^{q+1} 2^{\alpha n} + 2^{\alpha n}$  ■

Next we shall show that we may choose  $c$  independent of  $n$ . We know

$$f_n \leq g_n + h_n < \frac{1}{2} 2^{\alpha q} f_{n-q} + 2^{q+1} 2^{\alpha n} + 2^{\alpha n}$$

so let  $c = 2^{q+3}$ . Then if  $n \leq q$ ,

$$f_n < c 2^{\alpha n}.$$

Assume  $f_r < c 2^{\alpha r}$  for  $r < n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_n &< \left(\frac{3c}{4} + 1\right) 2^{\alpha n} \\
&< c 2^{\alpha n}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired ■

## References

- [1] Noga Alon. Independent sets in regular graphs and sum-free subsets of finite groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 73:247–256, 1991.
- [2] Neil J. Calkin. On the number of sum-free sets. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 22:141–144, 1990.
- [3] P. J. Cameron and P. Erdős. On the number of sets of integers with various properties. In Richard A. Mollin, editor, *Number Theory*, pages 61–79. Walter de Gruyter, 1990.
- [4] E. Szemerédi. On sets of integers containing no  $k$  elements in arithmetic progression. *Acta. Arith.*, 27:199–245, 1975.