

MAT 129
Lab #6
February 26, 2007

How to prove properties of a relation:

Let R be a relation on a set S . To show that R is reflexive, we must show that xRx for all $x \in S$.

Since the statement " xRx for all $x \in S$ " contains the universal quantifier "all", to prove this statement we must choose an arbitrary $x \in S$ and show that xRx .

Start out: Let $x \in S$. Then show: xRx . Since you're trying to show xRx , it is helpful to write out what xRx would mean (for example, in 1. below xRx means $x^2 \geq 0$) and see if you recognize this as being a true statement.

To show that R is symmetric, we must show that if $x, y \in S$ and xRy , then yRx .

Since the statement "if $x, y \in S$ and xRy , then yRx " is a conditional statement, to prove this statement, we should first try to prove this by the direct method.

Start out: Assume $x, y \in S$ and xRy . Then, show: yRx .

Write out what xRy means (for example, in 1. xRy means $xy \geq 0$). Since you're trying to show yRx , write out what yRx would mean (in 1., $yx \geq 0$) and try to see how this is a consequence of xRy .

To show that R is transitive, we must show that if $x, y, z \in S$ and xRy and yRz , then xRz .

Since the statement "if $x, y, z \in S$ and xRy and yRz , then xRz " is a conditional statement, to prove this statement, we should first try to prove this by the direct method.

Start out: Assume $x, y, z \in S$ and xRy and yRz . Then, show xRz .

Write out what xRy and yRz mean (in 1., xRy and yRz mean $xy \geq 0$ and $yz \geq 0$). Since you're trying to show xRz , write out what xRz would mean (in 1., $xz \geq 0$) and try to see how this is a consequence of xRy and yRz .

For 1-7 below, do each of the following parts a-d.

- a.) Prove or disprove: R is reflexive.
- b.) Prove or disprove: R is symmetric.
- c.) Prove or disprove: R is transitive.
- d.) For 1-5 and 7: if R is an equivalence relation, describe the equivalence classes of R .
 - 1.) Define R on $\mathbb{Z}$ by xRy if and only if $xy \geq 0$.
 - 2.) Define R on $\mathbb{Q}$ by xRy if and only if $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - 3.) Define R on $\mathbb{Z}$ by xRy if and only if $x = 3y$.
 - 4.) Define R on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ by $(a, b)R(c, d)$ if and only if $a \geq c$.
 - 5.) Define R on $\mathbb{Z}$ by xRy if and only if $x - y$ is a multiple of 9. Have you seen a relation like this one before?
 - 6.) Let S be the set of all sets. Fix a set C in S . We'll use the set C to define a relation on S in the following way: Define R on S by ARB if and only if $A \cap C = B \cap C$ (So given two sets A and B , A is related to B if and only if $A \cap C = B \cap C$).
 - 7.) Define a relation R on $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} - 0)$ by $(a, b)R(c, d)$ if and only if $ad = bc$.

Challenge problem (1pt bonus): Think about why you might want to consider such pairs (a, b) in 7. as being equivalent. It might be helpful to think about (a, b) as defining a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$.