

MTHSC 3190 SECTION 3.14

Kevin James

DEFINITION

Let R be a relation on a set A . We say that R is an equivalence relation provided that R is

- 1 Reflexive
- 2 Symmetric
- 3 Transitive.

EXAMPLE

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all finite sets. Let R be defined as follows. If A and B are sets, then $A R B \Leftrightarrow |A| = |B|$. Show that R is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{S} .

PROOF TEMPLATE

In order to show that a relation R on a set A is an equivalence relation we argue that it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

PROOF.

Suppose that R is the relation on the set A defined by

REFLEXIVE Let $x \in A$ Then, xRx .

SYMMETRIC Suppose that $x, y \in A$ and xRy Then yRx .

TRANSITIVE Suppose that $x, y, z \in A$, xRy and yRz Then, xRz .

Since R is reflexive, symmetric and transitive it is an equivalence relation on A . □

DEFINITION

Let $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ are congruent modulo n and write

$$x \equiv y \pmod{n}$$

provided that $n|(x - y)$.

EXAMPLE

- $3 \equiv 13 \pmod{5}$.
- $10 \equiv 200 \pmod{19}$.
- $-5 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.
- $16 \equiv 30 \pmod{7}$.

THEOREM

Let $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $\equiv \pmod{n}$ relation is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} .

PROOF.

REFLEXIVE

SYMMETRIC

TRANSITIVE



DEFINITION

Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A and let $a \in A$. We define the equivalence class of a , denoted by $[a]$ or \bar{a} as

$$[a] = \{x \in A : xRa\}.$$

EXAMPLE

Consider $\equiv \pmod{2}$ on \mathbb{Z} .

$$[1] =$$

$$[2] =$$

$$[3] =$$

EXAMPLE

Let $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Consider the “has the same size” relation on 2^S .

$$[\emptyset] =$$

$$[\{2\}] =$$

$$[\{1, 3\}] =$$

$$[\{1, 2, 3\}] =$$

PROPOSITION

Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A and let $a \in A$. Then $a \in [a]$.

PROOF.



COROLLARY

Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A .

- 1 $[a] \neq \emptyset, \forall a \in A$.
- 2 $\bigcup_{a \in A} [a] = A$.

PROOF.



PROPOSITION

Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A and let $a, b \in A$. Then $aRb \Leftrightarrow [a] = [b]$.

PROOF.



PROPOSITION

Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A and let $a, x, y \in A$. If $x, y \in [a]$, then xRy .

PROOF.

Exercise □

PROPOSITION

Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A and suppose that $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$. Then $[a] = [b]$.

PROOF.



COROLLARY

Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A . The equivalence classes of R are nonempty, pairwise disjoint subsets of A whose union is A .