

MTHSC 3190 SECTION 4.20

Kevin James

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$.

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$. We will proceed as in proof by contradiction. That is, we assume there is a counterexample.

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$. We will proceed as in proof by contradiction. That is, we assume there is a counterexample. Now, if the statement is a statement about natural numbers then there will be a *smallest counterexample*.

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$. We will proceed as in proof by contradiction. That is, we assume there is a counterexample. Now, if the statement is a statement about natural numbers then there will be a *smallest counterexample*. Use this idea of “smallest counterexample” to derive a false statement.

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$. We will proceed as in proof by contradiction. That is, we assume there is a counterexample.

Now, if the statement is a statement about natural numbers then there will be a *smallest counterexample*.

Use this idea of “smallest counterexample” to derive a false statement.

Then we have a proof of the statement by contradiction.

PROOF BY SMALLEST COUNTEREXAMPLE

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$.

PROOF BY SMALLEST COUNTEREXAMPLE

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$.

- 1 For the sake of contradiction, assume that the statement is false.

PROOF BY SMALLEST COUNTEREXAMPLE

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$.

- 1 For the sake of contradiction, assume that the statement is false.
- 2 Let x be the smallest counterexample to the statement. *It must be clear that a “smallest counterexample” must exist in the case that the statement is false.*

PROOF BY SMALLEST COUNTEREXAMPLE

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$.

- 1 For the sake of contradiction, assume that the statement is false.
- 2 Let x be the smallest counterexample to the statement. *It must be clear that a “smallest counterexample” must exist in the case that the statement is false.*
- 3 (**Basis Step**) Check that $x \neq 0$. (See note on next page concerning this important step in our proof).

PROOF BY SMALLEST COUNTEREXAMPLE

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$.

- 1 For the sake of contradiction, assume that the statement is false.
- 2 Let x be the smallest counterexample to the statement. *It must be clear that a “smallest counterexample” must exist in the case that the statement is false.*
- 3 (**Basis Step**) Check that $x \neq 0$. (See note on next page concerning this important step in our proof).
- 4 (**Step Back**) Consider an instance of the result that is just smaller than x (typically $x - 1$ or $x/2$). Then the result must be true for this smaller value. Use this to deduce a contradiction. (*Many times, the contradiction is to show that the result must also hold for x which we assumed it did not.*)

PROOF BY SMALLEST COUNTEREXAMPLE

Suppose that we want to prove a statement of the form $A \rightarrow B$.

- 1 For the sake of contradiction, assume that the statement is false.
- 2 Let x be the smallest counterexample to the statement. *It must be clear that a "smallest counterexample" must exist in the case that the statement is false.*
- 3 (**Basis Step**) Check that $x \neq 0$. (See note on next page concerning this important step in our proof).
- 4 (**Step Back**) Consider an instance of the result that is just smaller than x (typically $x - 1$ or $x/2$). Then the result must be true for this smaller value. Use this to deduce a contradiction. (*Many times, the contradiction is to show that the result must also hold for x which we assumed it did not.*)
- 5 Since we deduced a contradiction from our assumption that the statement was false, it now follows that the statement is true.

BASIS STEP

When proving $A \rightarrow B$. The basis step should ensure that your step-back value(s) will satisfy A . This is so that you may assume B for these values and argue to a contradiction. **So pay attention to the conditions in A and make sure that you check the correct instances of the claim to ensure that the step back works quickly.**

PROPOSITION

Every natural number n is either even or odd.

PROOF.



PROPOSITION

Every natural number n is either even or odd.

PROOF.



COROLLARY

Every integer is either even or odd.

PROOF.



PROPOSITION

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sum of the first n odd natural numbers is n^2 . That is, $\sum_{k=1}^n (2k - 1) = n^2$.

PROOF.



WELL ORDERING PRINCIPLE

Every nonempty set of natural numbers contains a smallest element.

WELL ORDERING PRINCIPLE

Every nonempty set of natural numbers contains a smallest element.

EXAMPLE

- ① Let $XS = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is prime}\}$. The smallest element is _____.

WELL ORDERING PRINCIPLE

Every nonempty set of natural numbers contains a smallest element.

EXAMPLE

- 1 Let $XS = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is prime}\}$. The smallest element is _____.
- 2 Let $Y = \{y \in \mathbb{Q} : y > 0\}$. Note that this set has no smallest element.

WELL ORDERING PRINCIPLE

Every nonempty set of natural numbers contains a smallest element.

EXAMPLE

- 1 Let $XS = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is prime}\}$. The smallest element is _____.
- 2 Let $Y = \{y \in \mathbb{Q} : y > 0\}$. Note that this set has no smallest element.
- 3 Let $X = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \text{ is both even and odd}\}$. This set also has no smallest element because it is empty.

PROOF BY WELL-ORDERING PRINCIPLE

To prove a statement S about natural numbers:

PROOF BY WELL-ORDERING PRINCIPLE

To prove a statement S about natural numbers:

- 1 Let X be the set of counterexamples to S . Make sure it is clear that $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF BY WELL-ORDERING PRINCIPLE

To prove a statement S about natural numbers:

- 1 Let X be the set of counterexamples to S . Make sure it is clear that $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 (**Basis Step:**) Check that $0 \notin X$.

PROOF BY WELL-ORDERING PRINCIPLE

To prove a statement S about natural numbers:

- 1 Let X be the set of counterexamples to S . Make sure it is clear that $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 (**Basis Step:**) Check that $0 \notin X$.
- 3 Suppose that $m \in X$.

PROOF BY WELL-ORDERING PRINCIPLE

To prove a statement S about natural numbers:

- 1 Let X be the set of counterexamples to S . Make sure it is clear that $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 (**Basis Step:**) Check that $0 \notin X$.
- 3 Suppose that $m \in X$.
- 4 (**Step Back:**) Pick a natural number $0 \leq y(m) < m$ (typically $y(m) = m - 1$). Show that $(m \in X \Rightarrow y \in X)$. Note that it may be easier to show the contrapositive $(y \notin X \Rightarrow m \notin X)$.

PROOF BY WELL-ORDERING PRINCIPLE

To prove a statement S about natural numbers:

- 1 Let X be the set of counterexamples to S . Make sure it is clear that $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 (**Basis Step:**) Check that $0 \notin X$.
- 3 Suppose that $m \in X$.
- 4 (**Step Back:**) Pick a natural number $0 \leq y(m) < m$ (typically $y(m) = m - 1$). Show that $(m \in X \Rightarrow y \in X)$. Note that it may be easier to show the contrapositive ($y \notin X \Rightarrow m \notin X$).
- 5 Note that you have proved that $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has no smallest element and thus is empty.

PROPOSITION

Let $a \neq 0, 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{k=0}^n a^k = \frac{a^{n+1}-1}{a-1}$.

QUESTION

Which is bigger 2^n or n^2 ?

QUESTION

Which is bigger 2^n or n^2 ?

EXAMPLE

Let's see....

QUESTION

Which is bigger 2^n or n^2 ?

EXAMPLE

Let's see....

n	2^n	n^2
0	1	0
1	2	1
2	4	4
3	8	9
4	16	16
5	32	25

QUESTION

Which is bigger 2^n or n^2 ?

EXAMPLE

Let's see....

n	2^n	n^2
0	1	0
1	2	1
2	4	4
3	8	9
4	16	16
5	32	25
6	64	36
7	128	49
8	256	64
9	512	81
10	1024	100

PROPOSITION

For all $n \geq 5$, $2^n > n^2$.

PROOF.



DEFINITION (RECURSIVE)

We define the Fibonacci sequence as follows.

$$F_0 = F_1 = 1; \quad \text{For } n \geq 2, F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}.$$

DEFINITION (RECURSIVE)

We define the Fibonacci sequence as follows.

$$F_0 = F_1 = 1; \quad \text{For } n \geq 2, F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}.$$

PROPOSITION

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_n \leq 1.7^n$.