

GENERATION OF MODULES, DIRECT SUMS AND FREE MODULES

Kevin James

DEFINITION

Suppose N_1, \dots, N_n are R -submodules of M .

① $N_1 + \dots + N_n = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mid a_i \in N_i \}.$

② For $A \subseteq M$, let

$$RA = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m r_i a_i \mid r_i \in R; a_i \in A; 0 < m \in \mathbb{Z} \}, \text{ and}$$
$$R\emptyset = \{0\}.$$

- If $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, then $RA = Ra_1 + \dots + Ra_n$.
- We call RA the submodule of M generated by A .
- If $N = RA$ for $A \subseteq M$, then we say that A is a set of generators for N .

③ If $N \leq M$ and $N = RA$ where $|A| < \infty$, then we say that N is finitely generated.

④ If $N = Ra$, then N is said to be cyclic.

NOTE

- 1 Since $1 \in R$, $A \subseteq RA$.
- 2 RA is the smallest submodule containing A .
- 3 If $N_i = RA_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $N_1 + \cdots + N_m = R[A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_m]$.
- 4 If $N \leq M$, there may be many different generating sets. If N is finitely generated, then there is a minimal size among all generating sets. We call a generating set of this size a minimal generating set. It is not necessarily unique.

EXAMPLE

Let $R = F[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ where F is a field.

Take $A = \{x_1, \dots\}$ and $N = RA$.

Note that R is a cyclic R -module, while

N is a proper subset of R is not finitely generated.

EXAMPLE

Suppose that V is a vector space over a field F and $T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation. When is V cyclic as an $F[x]$ -module with structure as before ($p(x) \cdot \vec{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{d_p} p_i T^i(\vec{v})$).

DEFINITION

Suppose that M_1, \dots, M_k are R -modules. Then $M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$ is an R module with addition and R -action defined component-wise.

NOTE

$M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$ is referred to as the external direct sum and denoted $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$. When the number of modules is not finite, the definition of direct sum and direct product may differ.

PROPOSITION

Suppose that $N_1, \dots, N_k \leq M$. The following are equivalent.

- 1 The map $\pi : N_1 \times \dots \times N_k \rightarrow N_1 + \dots + N_k$ defined by $\pi((a_1, \dots, a_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$ is an isomorphism.
- 2 $N_j \cap (N_1 + \dots + N_{j-1} + N_{j+1} + \dots + N_k) = 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq k$.
- 3 Every $x \in N_1 + \dots + N_k$ has a unique expression of the form $x = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$ with $a_i \in N_i$.

DEFINITION

Suppose that $N_1, \dots, N_k \leq M$ and $M = N_1 + \dots + N_k$ and N_1, \dots, N_k satisfy the condition of the previous Proposition. Then we write $M = N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k$

DEFINITION

An R -module F is said to be free on a set A if $\forall 0_F \neq x \in F$ there exists a unique choice of $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$; $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i a_i$.

In this case, we say that A is a basis set or a set of free generators for F .

If R is commutative then $|A|$ is called the rank of F .

EXAMPLE

Take $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $N_1 = N_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Then, each element of M can be uniquely written as $n_1 + n_2$ ($n_i \in N_i$). However, $n_1 = 3n_1 = 5n_1 = \dots$. Thus, M is not a free \mathbb{Z} -module on $\{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$.

THEOREM

For any set A , there is a free R -module $F(A)$ on the set A . Further, $F(A)$ satisfies the following universal property. If M is any R -module and $\phi : A \rightarrow M$ is any map. Then there is a unique homomorphism $\Phi : F(A) \rightarrow M$ satisfying $\Phi(a) = \phi(a)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & F(A) \\ & \searrow \phi & \downarrow \Phi \\ & & M \end{array}$$

COROLLARY

- 1 If F_1 and F_2 are free over A , there exists a unique isomorphism $\phi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ with $\phi|_A = id$.
- 2 If F is any free R -module with basis A , then $F \cong F(A)$. In particular, F has the same universal property as $F(A)$.