Modeling biochemical reactions

Matthew Macauley

Department of Mathematical Sciences Clemson University http://www.math.clemson.edu/~macaule/

Math 4500, Fall 2016

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

More is needed, though: correct orientation, enough energy, etc.

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

More is needed, though: correct orientation, enough energy, etc.

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

More is needed, though: correct orientation, enough energy, etc.

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 (burning of methane)

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

More is needed, though: correct orientation, enough energy, etc.

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 (burning of methane)
 $H^+ + OH^- \longrightarrow H_2O$

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

More is needed, though: correct orientation, enough energy, etc.

Examples

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 (burning of methane)
$$H^+ + OH^- \longrightarrow H_2O$$

unfolded protein --- folded protein

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

More is needed, though: correct orientation, enough energy, etc.

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 (burning of methane)
 $H^+ + OH^- \longrightarrow H_2O$
unfolded protein \longrightarrow folded protein
 $2SO_2 + O_2 \Longrightarrow 2SO_3$

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

More is needed, though: correct orientation, enough energy, etc.

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 (burning of methane)
 $H^+ + OH^- \longrightarrow H_2O$
unfolded protein \longrightarrow folded protein
 $2SO_2 + O_2 \Longrightarrow 2SO_3$
 $O_3 \longrightarrow O_2 + O$

In biochemistry, 2+ species, or "reactants" can react if they come toegether and collide.

Alternatively, one species can degrade.

More is needed, though: correct orientation, enough energy, etc.

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 (burning of methane)
 $H^+ + OH^- \longrightarrow H_2O$
unfolded protein \longrightarrow folded protein
 $2SO_2 + O_2 \Longrightarrow 2SO_3$
 $O_3 \longrightarrow O_2 + O$
 $2O_3 \longrightarrow 3O_2$

Classification of reactions:

 \blacksquare $A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"

Classification of reactions:

- $A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"
- $A + B \longrightarrow P$: "bi-molecular"

Classification of reactions:

- $A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"
- $A + B \longrightarrow P$: "bi-molecular"
- $A + B + C \longrightarrow P$: "tri-molecular"

Classification of reactions:

- $A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"
- $\blacksquare A + B \longrightarrow P$: "bi-molecular"
- $A + B + C \longrightarrow P$: "tri-molecular"

Law of mass-action kinetics

A reaction rate is proportional to the probability of collision of reactants involved.

Classification of reactions:

- $A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"
- $\blacksquare A + B \longrightarrow P$: "bi-molecular"
- $A + B + C \longrightarrow P$: "tri-molecular"

Law of mass-action kinetics

A reaction rate is proportional to the probability of collision of reactants involved.

Assume this probability is proportional to the concentration of each reactant R, denoted [R].

Classification of reactions:

- \blacksquare $A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"
- $\blacksquare A + B \longrightarrow P$: "bi-molecular"
- $A + B + C \longrightarrow P$: "tri-molecular"

Law of mass-action kinetics

A reaction rate is proportional to the probability of collision of reactants involved.

Assume this probability is proportional to the concentration of each reactant R, denoted $\lceil R \rceil$.

ODE model

Classification of reactions:

- $\blacksquare A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"
- $\blacksquare A + B \longrightarrow P$: "bi-molecular"
- $A + B + C \longrightarrow P$: "tri-molecular"

Law of mass-action kinetics

A reaction rate is proportional to the probability of collision of reactants involved.

Assume this probability is proportional to the concentration of each reactant R, denoted [R].

ODE model

$$A \xrightarrow{k} P: \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A]$$

Classification of reactions:

- $A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"
- $A + B \longrightarrow P$: "bi-molecular"
- $A + B + C \longrightarrow P$: "tri-molecular"

Law of mass-action kinetics

A reaction rate is proportional to the probability of collision of reactants involved.

Assume this probability is proportional to the concentration of each reactant R, denoted [R].

ODF model

$$A \xrightarrow{k} P: \qquad \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A]$$

■
$$A \xrightarrow{k} P$$
: $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A]$
■ $A + B \xrightarrow{k} P$: $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A][B]$

Classification of reactions:

- $A \longrightarrow P$: "uni-molecular"
- $A + B \longrightarrow P$: "bi-molecular"
- $A + B + C \longrightarrow P$: "tri-molecular"

Law of mass-action kinetics

A reaction rate is proportional to the probability of collision of reactants involved.

Assume this probability is proportional to the concentration of each reactant R, denoted [R].

ODE model

■
$$A \xrightarrow{k} P$$
: $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A]$
■ $A + B \xrightarrow{k} P$: $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A]$

$$A + B \xrightarrow{k} P: \qquad \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A][B]$$

$$A + B \longrightarrow P: \qquad \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k[A][B]$$

$$A + B \stackrel{k_1}{\underset{k_2}{\longleftarrow}} P: \qquad \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_1[A][B] - k_2[P]$$

Enzymes are proteins that catalyze reactions (up to 10¹²-fold!)

Enzymes are proteins that catalyze reactions (up to 10^{12} -fold!)

An example

Enzymes are proteins that catalyze reactions (up to 10¹²-fold!)

An example

Consider the following chemical reaction

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_2]{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

Enzymes are proteins that catalyze reactions (up to 10¹²-fold!)

An example

Consider the following chemical reaction

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1 \atop k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E= enzyme, S= substrate, ES= enzyme-substrate complex, and P= product.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] \\ \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES] \\ \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

Enzymes are proteins that catalyze reactions (up to 10¹²-fold!)

An example

Consider the following chemical reaction

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_2]{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

 $E={
m enzyme},\ S={
m substrate},\ ES={
m enzyme}{
m -substrate}\ {
m complex},\ {
m and}\ P={
m product}.$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] \\ \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES] \\ \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

Assumptions

Enzymes are proteins that catalyze reactions (up to 10¹²-fold!)

An example

Consider the following chemical reaction

$$E + S \stackrel{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons} ES \stackrel{k_3}{\longrightarrow} E + P$$

E= enzyme, S= substrate, ES= enzyme-substrate complex, and P= product.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] \\ \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES] \\ \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

Assumptions

 \blacksquare E_0 is constant.

Enzymes are proteins that catalyze reactions (up to 10¹²-fold!)

An example

Consider the following chemical reaction

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_2]{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

 $E= {\sf enzyme}, \ S= {\sf substrate}, \ ES= {\sf enzyme} {\sf -substrate} \ {\sf complex}, \ {\sf and} \ P= {\sf product}.$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] \\ \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES] \\ \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

Assumptions

- \blacksquare E_0 is constant.
- Enzyme-substrate complex reaches equilibrium much earlier than the product does, so $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} \approx 0$.

Goal

Write the differential equation $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$ in terms of [S], not [ES].

Goal

Write the differential equation $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$ in terms of [S], not [ES].

Since $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} \approx 0$, we can simplify the ODE for [ES]:

$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES]$$

Goal

Write the differential equation $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$ in terms of [S], not [ES].

Since $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} \approx 0$, we can simplify the ODE for [ES]:

$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] = 0.$$

Goal

Write the differential equation $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$ in terms of [S], not [ES].

Since $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} \approx 0$, we can simplify the ODE for [ES]:

$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] = 0.$$

Upon solving for [E], we get

$$[E] = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)[ES]}{k_1[S]}.$$

Goal

Write the differential equation $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$ in terms of [S], not [ES].

Since $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} \approx 0$, we can simplify the ODE for [ES]:

$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] = 0.$$

Upon solving for [E], we get

$$[E] = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)[ES]}{k_1[S]}.$$

Plugging this into $E_0 = [E] + [ES]$ and solving for [ES]:

$$[ES] = \frac{E_0[S]}{\frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1} + [S]}.$$

Goal

Write the differential equation $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$ in terms of [S], not [ES].

Since $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} \approx 0$, we can simplify the ODE for [ES]:

$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] = 0.$$

Upon solving for [E], we get

$$[E] = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)[ES]}{k_1[S]}.$$

Plugging this into $E_0 = [E] + [ES]$ and solving for [ES]:

$$[ES] = \frac{E_0[S]}{\frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1} + [S]}.$$

Alas, we can write

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$$

Goal

Write the differential equation $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$ in terms of [S], not [ES].

Since $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} \approx 0$, we can simplify the ODE for [ES]:

$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] = 0.$$

Upon solving for [E], we get

$$[E] = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)[ES]}{k_1[S]}.$$

Plugging this into $E_0 = [E] + [ES]$ and solving for [ES]:

$$[ES] = \frac{E_0[S]}{\frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1} + [S]}.$$

Alas, we can write

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES] = \frac{k_3 E_0[S]}{\frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1} + [S]}$$

Goal

Write the differential equation $\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES]$ in terms of [S], not [ES].

Since $\frac{d[ES]}{dt} \approx 0$, we can simplify the ODE for [ES]:

$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_2 + k_3)[ES] = 0.$$

Upon solving for [E], we get

$$[E] = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)[ES]}{k_1[S]}.$$

Plugging this into $E_0 = [E] + [ES]$ and solving for [ES]:

$$[ES] = \frac{E_0[S]}{\frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1} + [S]}.$$

Alas, we can write

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES] = \frac{k_3 E_0[S]}{\frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1} + [S]} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}.$$



Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

 $E=\mbox{enzyme},\ S=\mbox{substrate},\ ES=\mbox{enzyme-substrate}\ \mbox{complex, and}\ P=\mbox{product}.$

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E= enzyme, S= substrate, ES= enzyme-substrate complex, and P= product.

Definition

The Michaelis-Menten equation is one of the best-known models of enzyme kinetics.

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}}_{f([S])}, \qquad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

 $E= {\sf enzyme}, \ S= {\sf substrate}, \ ES= {\sf enzyme}{\sf -substrate} \ {\sf complex}, \ {\sf and} \ P= {\sf product}.$

Definition

The Michaelis-Menten equation is one of the best-known models of enzyme kinetics.

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}}_{f([S])}, \qquad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E= enzyme, S= substrate, ES= enzyme-substrate complex, and P= product.

Definition

The Michaelis-Menten equation is one of the best-known models of enzyme kinetics.

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

Remarks

■ The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E= enzyme, S= substrate, ES= enzyme-substrate complex, and P= product.

Definition

The Michaelis-Menten equation is one of the best-known models of enzyme kinetics.

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

- lacktriangle The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].
- $lacksquare{1} \lim_{|S| o \infty} f([S]) = V_{\max}$, (biologically, the maximum reaction rate)

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E= enzyme, S= substrate, ES= enzyme-substrate complex, and P= product.

Definition

The Michaelis-Menten equation is one of the best-known models of enzyme kinetics.

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

- lacktriangle The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].
- $\blacksquare \lim_{|S| \to \infty} f([S]) = V_{\max}, \quad \text{(biologically, the maximum reaction rate)}$
- $f(K_m) = \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{max}}.$

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E= enzyme, S= substrate, ES= enzyme-substrate complex, and P= product.

Definition

The Michaelis-Menten equation is one of the best-known models of enzyme kinetics.

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

- The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].
- $= \lim_{|S| \to \infty} f([S]) = V_{\max}, \quad \text{(biologically, the maximum reaction rate)}$
- $f(K_m) = \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{max}}.$
- The reaction rate f([S]) is proportional to E_0 .

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_1]{k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Further assumptions

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_1]{k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Further assumptions

■ Substrate concentration is conserved: $S_0 = [S] + [ES] + [P]$.

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \stackrel{k_2}{\rightleftharpoons} ES \stackrel{k_3}{\longrightarrow} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Further assumptions

- Substrate concentration is conserved: $S_0 = [S] + [ES] + [P]$.
- $E_0 \ll S_0$, so $[ES] \ll [S]$ and [P].

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_1]{k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Further assumptions

- Substrate concentration is conserved: $S_0 = [S] + [ES] + [P]$.
- $E_0 \ll S_0$, so $[ES] \ll [S]$ and [P].

Together, this means $S_0 \approx [S] + [P]$.

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_1]{k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Further assumptions

- Substrate concentration is conserved: $S_0 = [S] + [ES] + [P]$.
- $E_0 \ll S_0$, so $[ES] \ll [S]$ and [P].

Together, this means $S_0 \approx [S] + [P]$. Taking $\frac{d}{dt}$ of both sides yields

$$\frac{d[S]}{dt} = -\frac{d[P]}{dt}$$

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Further assumptions

- Substrate concentration is conserved: $S_0 = [S] + [ES] + [P]$.
- $E_0 \ll S_0$, so $[ES] \ll [S]$ and [P].

Together, this means $S_0 \approx [S] + [P]$. Taking $\frac{d}{dt}$ of both sides yields

$$\frac{d[S]}{dt} = -\frac{d[P]}{dt} = -\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{k_m + [S]}.$$

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Further assumptions

- Substrate concentration is conserved: $S_0 = [S] + [ES] + [P]$.
- $E_0 \ll S_0$, so $[ES] \ll [S]$ and [P].

Together, this means $S_0 \approx [S] + [P]$. Taking $\frac{d}{dt}$ of both sides yields

$$\frac{d[S]}{dt} = -\frac{d[P]}{dt} = -\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{k_m + [S]}.$$

Usually, V_{max} , K_m , and S_0 are known quanities.

Recall the following chemical reaction:

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_2} ES \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

E = enzyme, S = substrate, ES = enzyme-substrate complex, and P = product.

Further assumptions

- Substrate concentration is conserved: $S_0 = [S] + [ES] + [P]$.
- $E_0 \ll S_0$, so $[ES] \ll [S]$ and [P].

Together, this means $S_0 \approx [S] + [P]$. Taking $\frac{d}{dt}$ of both sides yields

$$\frac{d[S]}{dt} = -\frac{d[P]}{dt} = -\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]}{k_m + [S]}.$$

Usually, V_{max} , K_m , and S_0 are known quantities. This is now something we can easily solve, graph, analyze, etc.

Consider a reaction where n molecules of a substrate S react with an enzyme E:

$$E + nS \xrightarrow{k_1} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

The enzyme-substrate complex here is ES_n .

Consider a reaction where n molecules of a substrate S react with an enzyme E:

$$E + nS \xrightarrow{k_1} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

The enzyme-substrate complex here is ES_n . By mass-action kinetics,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = k_1[E][S]^n - (k_2 + k_3)[ES_n] \\ \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES_n] \\ \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES_n], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

Consider a reaction where n molecules of a substrate S react with an enzyme E:

$$E + nS \xrightarrow{k_1} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

The enzyme-substrate complex here is ES_n . By mass-action kinetics,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = k_1[E][S]^n - (k_2 + k_3)[ES_n] \\ \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES_n] \\ \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES_n], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

As before, assume $[ES_n]$ reaches equilibrium much quicker than [P] and [S]:

Consider a reaction where n molecules of a substrate S react with an enzyme E:

$$E + nS \xrightarrow[k_2]{k_1} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

The enzyme-substrate complex here is ES_n . By mass-action kinetics,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = k_1[E][S]^n - (k_2 + k_3)[ES_n] \\ \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES_n] \\ \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES_n], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

As before, assume $[ES_n]$ reaches equilibrium much quicker than [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[ES_n]}{dt}=0$$

Consider a reaction where n molecules of a substrate S react with an enzyme E:

$$E + nS \xrightarrow[k_2]{k_1} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

The enzyme-substrate complex here is ES_n . By mass-action kinetics,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = k_1[E][S]^n - (k_2 + k_3)[ES_n] \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES_n] \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES_n], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

As before, assume $[ES_n]$ reaches equilibrium much quicker than [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad [E] = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)[ES_n]}{k_1[S]^n}.$$

Consider a reaction where n molecules of a substrate S react with an enzyme E:

$$E + nS \xrightarrow{k_1} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

The enzyme-substrate complex here is ES_n . By mass-action kinetics,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = k_1[E][S]^n - (k_2 + k_3)[ES_n] \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES_n] \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES_n], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

As before, assume $[ES_n]$ reaches equilibrium much quicker than [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad [E] = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)[ES_n]}{k_1[S]^n}.$$

Plugging this into $E_0 = [E] + [ES_n]$ and solving for $[ES_n]$ yields

$$[ES_n] = \frac{E_0[S]^n}{\frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1} + [S]^n}$$

Consider a reaction where n molecules of a substrate S react with an enzyme E:

$$E + nS \xrightarrow{k_1} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

The enzyme-substrate complex here is ES_n . By mass-action kinetics,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = k_1[E][S]^n - (k_2 + k_3)[ES_n] \\ \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_3[ES_n] \\ E_0 = [E] + [ES_n], \qquad E_0 = \text{ initial enzyme concentration} \end{cases}$$

As before, assume $[ES_n]$ reaches equilibrium much quicker than [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[ES_n]}{dt} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad [E] = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)[ES_n]}{k_1[S]^n}.$$

Plugging this into $E_0 = [E] + [ES_n]$ and solving for $[ES_n]$ yields

$$[ES_n] = \frac{E_0[S]^n}{\frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1} + [S]^n} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \boxed{\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \frac{V_{\max}[S]^n}{K_m + [S]^n}}.$$

Hill equation

Given the chemical reaction

$$E + nS \stackrel{k_2}{\underset{k_1}{\longleftrightarrow}} ES_n \stackrel{k_3}{\longrightarrow} E + P$$

we derived the following ODE involving [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]^n}{K_m + [S]^n}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

This is called the Hill equation with Hill coefficient n.

Hill equation

Given the chemical reaction

$$E + nS \stackrel{k_2}{\underset{k_1}{\longleftrightarrow}} ES_n \stackrel{k_3}{\longrightarrow} E + P$$

we derived the following ODE involving [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]^n}{K_m + [S]^n}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

This is called the Hill equation with Hill coefficient n.

Hill equation

Given the chemical reaction

$$E + nS \stackrel{k_2}{\underset{k_1}{\longleftrightarrow}} ES_n \stackrel{k_3}{\longrightarrow} E + P$$

we derived the following ODE involving [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]^n}{K_m + [S]^n}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

This is called the Hill equation with Hill coefficient n.

Remarks

■ The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].

Hill equation

Given the chemical reaction

$$E + nS \stackrel{k_2}{\rightleftharpoons} ES_n \stackrel{k_3}{\longrightarrow} E + P$$

we derived the following ODE involving [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]^n}{K_m + [S]^n}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

This is called the Hill equation with Hill coefficient n.

- The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].
- $= \lim_{|S| \to \infty} f([S]) = V_{\max}, \quad \text{(biologically, the maximum reaction rate)}$

Hill equation

Given the chemical reaction

$$E + nS \stackrel{k_2}{\rightleftharpoons} ES_n \stackrel{k_3}{\longrightarrow} E + P$$

we derived the following ODE involving [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]^n}{K_m + [S]^n}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

This is called the Hill equation with Hill coefficient n.

- The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].
- $\blacksquare \lim_{[S] \to \infty} f([S]) = V_{\max}, \quad \text{(biologically, the maximum reaction rate)}$
- $f(K_m^{1/n}) = \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{max}}.$

Hill equation

Given the chemical reaction

$$E + nS \xrightarrow{k_2} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

we derived the following ODE involving [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]^n}{K_m + [S]^n}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

This is called the Hill equation with Hill coefficient n.

- The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].
- $\blacksquare\lim_{[S]\to\infty}f([S])=\mathit{V}_{\max},\quad \text{(biologically, the maximum reaction rate)}$
- $f(K_m^{1/n}) = \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{max}}.$
- The reaction rate f([S]) is proportional to E_0 .

Hill equation

Given the chemical reaction

$$E + nS \xrightarrow{k_2} ES_n \xrightarrow{k_3} E + P$$

we derived the following ODE involving [P] and [S]:

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{V_{\text{max}}[S]^n}{K_m + [S]^n}}_{f([S])}, \quad \text{where } V_{\text{max}} = k_3 E_0, \quad \text{and } K_m = \frac{k_2 + k_3}{k_1}$$

This is called the Hill equation with Hill coefficient n.

- The "reaction rate", f([S]), is a strictly increasing function of [S].
- $lacksquare{1}{1} \lim_{[S] o \infty} f([S]) = V_{\max}$, (biologically, the maximum reaction rate)
- $f(K_m^{1/n}) = \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{max}}.$
- The reaction rate f([S]) is proportional to E_0 .
- n = 1 is just the Michaelis–Menden equation.

Hill equations

Hill equations

The following shows several "Hill functions" $y = \frac{t^n}{1 + t^n}$, for various values of n.

