

Read: Lax, Chapter 6, pages 69–76.

1. Do the following for the matrix A below, and then repeat it for B :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & -6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -4 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Find the characteristic polynomial and all (genuine) eigenvectors.
 (b) For each eigenvalue λ , compute $\dim N_{(A-\lambda I)^j}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.
 (c) Find a basis of \mathbb{C}^4 consisting of generalized eigenvectors.
 (d) Factor the matrix as a product PJP^{-1} , where the columns of P are the generalized eigenvectors and J is the matrix of the linear map with respect to this new basis.
2. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{C} with an eigenvalue λ of index $m \geq 2$ and corresponding eigenvector v_1 . Let v_2 be a generalized eigenvector satisfying $(A - \lambda I)v_2 = v_1$.

- (a) Prove that for any natural number N ,

$$A^N v_2 = \lambda^N v_2 + N\lambda^{N-1} v_1.$$

- (b) Prove that for any polynomial $q(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$,

$$q(A)v_2 = q(\lambda)v_2 + q'(\lambda)v_1,$$

where $q'(t)$ is the derivative of q .

- (c) Conjecture a formula for $q(A)v_m$, where v_1, \dots, v_m are generalized eigenvectors of A with $(A - \lambda I)v_k = v_{k-1}$ (and say $v_0 = 0$, for convenience).
3. Let λ be an eigenvalue of A , and let N_i be the nullspace of $(A - \lambda I)^i$. Prove that $A - \lambda I$ extends to a well-defined map $N_{i+1}/N_i \rightarrow N_i/N_{i-1}$, and that this mapping is 1–1.
4. Let X be an n -dimensional vector space, and $A: X \rightarrow X$ a linear map with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be the corresponding eigenvectors of A , and let ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n be the corresponding eigenvectors of the transpose $A': X' \rightarrow X'$.
- (a) Prove that $(\ell_i, v_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
 (b) Show that if $x = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$, then $a_i = (\ell_i, x)/(\ell_i, v_i)$.
 (c) Is ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n necessarily the dual basis of v_1, \dots, v_n ? Why or why not?