

## Section 4: Maps between groups

Matthew Macauley

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Clemson University  
<http://www.math.clemson.edu/~macaule/>

Math 4120, Modern Algebra

# Homomorphisms

Throughout the course, we've said things like:

- “This group has the same structure as that group.”
- “This group is isomorphic to that group.”

However, we've never really spelled out the details about what this means.

We will study a special type of function between groups, called a *homomorphism*. An *isomorphism* is a special type of homomorphism. The Greek roots “homo” and “morph” together mean “same shape.”

There are two situations where homomorphisms arise:


- when one group is a **subgroup** of another;
- when one group is a **quotient** of another.

The corresponding homomorphisms are called **embeddings** and **quotient maps**.

Also in this chapter, we will completely classify all finite abelian groups, and get a taste of a few more advanced topics, such as the the four “isomorphism theorems,” commutator subgroups, and automorphisms.

## A motivating example

Consider the statement:  $\mathbb{Z}_3 < D_3$ . Here is a visual:



The group  $D_3$  contains a size-3 cyclic subgroup  $\langle r \rangle$ , which is identical to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  **in structure only**. None of the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  (namely 0, 1, 2) are actually in  $D_3$ .

When we say  $\mathbb{Z}_3 < D_3$ , we really mean that the structure of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  shows up in  $D_3$ .


In particular, there is a bijective correspondence between the elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  and those in the subgroup  $\langle r \rangle$  in  $D_3$ . Furthermore, the *relationship* between the corresponding nodes is the same.

A **homomorphism** is the mathematical tool for succinctly expressing precise structural correspondences. It is a *function* between groups satisfying a few “natural” properties.

# Homomorphisms

Using our previous example, we say that this function **maps** elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  to elements of  $D_3$ . We may write this as

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}_3 \longrightarrow D_3.$$



The group *from* which a function originates is the **domain** ( $\mathbb{Z}_3$  in our example). The group *into* which the function maps is the **codomain** ( $D_3$  in our example).

The elements in the codomain that the function maps to are called the **image** of the function ( $\{e, r, r^2\}$  in our example), denoted  $\text{Im}(\phi)$ . That is,

$$\text{Im}(\phi) = \phi(G) = \{\phi(g) \mid g \in G\}.$$

## Definition

A **homomorphism** is a function  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  between two groups satisfying

$$\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in G.$$


Note that the operation  $a \cdot b$  is occurring in the **domain** while  $\phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$  occurs in the **codomain**.

# Homomorphisms

## Remark

Not every function from one group to another is a homomorphism! The condition  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$  means that the map  $\phi$  **preserves the structure** of  $G$ .

The  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$  condition has visual interpretations on the level of Cayley diagrams and multiplication tables.



Note that in the Cayley diagrams,  $b$  and  $\phi(b)$  are **paths**; they need not just be edges.

## An example


Consider the function  $\phi$  that reduces an integer modulo 5:

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5, \quad \phi(n) = n \pmod{5}.$$

Since the group operation is **additive**, the “homomorphism property” becomes


$$\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b).$$

In plain English, this just says that one can “first add and then reduce modulo 5,”  
OR “first reduce modulo 5 and then add.”



## Types of homomorphisms

Consider the following homomorphism  $\theta: \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow C_6$ , defined by  $\theta(n) = r^{2n}$ :



It is easy to check that  $\theta(a + b) = \theta(a)\theta(b)$ : The red-arrow in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  (representing 1) gets mapped to the 2-step path representing  $r^2$  in  $C_6$ .

A homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  that is **one-to-one** or “injective” is called an **embedding**: the group  $G$  “embeds” into  $H$  as a subgroup. If  $\theta$  is not one-to-one, then it is a **quotient**.

If  $\phi(G) = H$ , then  $\phi$  is **onto**, or **surjective**.

### Definition

A homomorphism that is both **injective** and **surjective** is an **isomorphism**.

An **automorphism** is an isomorphism from a group to *itself*.

## Homomorphisms and generators

### Remark

If we know where a homomorphism maps the generators of  $G$ , we can determine where it maps *all* elements of  $G$ .

For example, suppose  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_6$  was a homomorphism, with  $\phi(1) = 4$ . Using this information, we can construct the rest of  $\phi$ :

$$\phi(2) = \phi(1 + 1) = \phi(1) + \phi(1) = 4 + 4 = 2$$

$$\phi(0) = \phi(1 + 2) = \phi(1) + \phi(2) = 4 + 2 = 0.$$

### Example

Suppose that  $G = \langle a, b \rangle$ , and  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ , and we know  $\phi(a)$  and  $\phi(b)$ . Using this information we can determine the image of any element in  $G$ . For example, for  $g = a^3b^2ab$ , we have

$$\phi(g) = \phi(aaabbab) = \phi(a)\phi(a)\phi(a)\phi(b)\phi(b)\phi(a)\phi(b).$$

What do you think  $\phi(a^{-1})$  is?



## Two basic properties of homomorphisms

### Proposition

Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism. Denote the identity of  $G$  by  $1_G$ , and the identity of  $H$  by  $1_H$ .

- (i)  $\phi(1_G) = 1_H$       “ $\phi$  sends the identity to the identity”
- (ii)  $\phi(g^{-1}) = \phi(g)^{-1}$       “ $\phi$  sends inverses to inverses”

### Proof

- (i) Pick any  $g \in G$ . Now,  $\phi(g) \in H$ ; observe that

$$\phi(1_G)\phi(g) = \phi(1_G \cdot g) = \phi(g) = 1_H \cdot \phi(g).$$

Therefore,  $\phi(1_G) = 1_H$ . ✓

- (ii) Take any  $g \in G$ . Observe that

$$\phi(g)\phi(g^{-1}) = \phi(gg^{-1}) = \phi(1_G) = 1_H.$$

Since  $\phi(g)\phi(g^{-1}) = 1_H$ , it follows immediately that  $\phi(g^{-1}) = \phi(g)^{-1}$ . ✓ □

## A word of caution

Just because a homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is determined by the image of its generators does *not* mean that every such image will work.

For example, suppose we try to define a homomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4$  by  $\phi(1) = 1$ . Then we get

$$\phi(2) = \phi(1 + 1) = \phi(1) + \phi(1) = 2,$$

$$\phi(0) = \phi(1 + 1 + 1) = \phi(1) + \phi(1) + \phi(1) = 3.$$

This is *impossible*, because  $\phi(0) = 0$ . (Identity is mapped to the identity.)

That's not to say that there isn't a homomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4$ ; note that there is always the **trivial homomorphism** between two groups:

$$\phi: G \longrightarrow H, \quad \phi(g) = 1_H \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

### Exercise

Show that there is no embedding  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $n \geq 2$ . That is, *any* such homomorphism must satisfy  $\phi(1) = 0$ .

## Isomorphisms


Two isomorphic groups may name their elements differently and may look different based on the layouts or choice of generators for their Cayley diagrams, but the isomorphism between them guarantees that they have the same structure.

When two groups  $G$  and  $H$  have an isomorphism between them, we say that  $G$  and  $H$  are **isomorphic**, and write  $G \cong H$ .

The roots of the polynomial  $f(x) = x^4 - 1$  are called the **4th roots of unity**, and denoted  $R(4) := \{1, i, -1, -i\}$ . They are a subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , the nonzero complex numbers under multiplication.

The following map is an isomorphism between  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  and  $R(4)$ .

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}_4 \longrightarrow R(4), \quad \phi(k) = i^k.$$





## Isomorphisms

Sometimes, the isomorphism is less visually obvious because the Cayley graphs have different structure.


For example, the following is an isomorphism:

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}_6 \longrightarrow C_6$$

$$\phi(k) = r^k$$





Here is another non-obvious isomorphism between  $S_3 = \langle (12), (23) \rangle$  and  $D_3 = \langle r, f \rangle$ .



$$\phi: S_3 \longrightarrow D_3$$

$$\phi: (12) \mapsto r^2 f$$

$$\phi: (23) \mapsto f$$



## Another example: the quaternions

Let  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of **invertible  $n \times n$  matrices** with real-valued entries. It is easy to see that this is a group under multiplication.

Recall the quaternion group  $Q_8 = \langle i, j, k \mid i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, ij = k \rangle$ .

The following set of 8 matrices forms an isomorphic group under multiplication, where  $I$  is the  $4 \times 4$  identity matrix:

$$\left\{ \pm I, \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Formally, we have an embedding  $\phi: Q_8 \rightarrow GL_4(\mathbb{R})$  where

$$\phi(i) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi(j) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$



We say that  $Q_8$  is **represented** by a set of matrices.

Many other groups can be represented by matrices. Can you think of how to represent  $V_4$ ,  $C_n$ , or  $S_n$ , using matrices?

## Quotient maps

Consider a homomorphism where more than one element of the domain maps to the same element of the codomain (i.e., non-embeddings).

Here are some examples.



Non-embedding homomorphisms are called **quotient maps** (as we'll see, they correspond to our quotient process).


# Preimages

## Definition

If  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism and  $h \in \text{Im}(\phi) < H$ , define the **preimage** of  $h$  to be the set

$$\phi^{-1}(h) := \{g \in G : \phi(g) = h\}.$$

Observe in the previous examples that the preimages all had the same structure. This always happens.



The preimage of  $1_H \in H$  is called the **kernel** of  $\phi$ , denoted  $\text{Ker } \phi$ .

## Preimages

### Observation 1

All preimages of  $\phi$  have the same structure.

### Proof (sketch)

Pick two elements  $a, b \in \phi(G)$ , and let  $A = \phi^{-1}(a)$  and  $B = \phi^{-1}(b)$  be their preimages.

Consider any path  $a_1 \xrightarrow{p} a_2$  between elements in  $A$ . For any  $b_1 \in B$ , there is a corresponding path  $b_1 \xrightarrow{p} b_2$ . We need to show that  $b_2 \in B$ .

Since homomorphisms preserve structure,  $\phi(a_1) \xrightarrow{\phi(p)} \phi(a_2)$ . Since  $\phi(a_1) = \phi(a_2)$ ,  $\phi(p)$  is the *trivial path*.

Therefore,  $\phi(b_1) \xrightarrow{\phi(p)} \phi(b_2)$ , i.e.,  $\phi(b_1) = \phi(b_2)$ , and so by definition,  $b_2 \in B$ .  $\square$

Clearly,  $G$  is partitioned by preimages of  $\phi$ . Additionally, we just showed that they all have the same structure. (Sound familiar?)



## Preimages and kernels

### Definition

The **kernel** of a homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is the set

$$\text{Ker}(\phi) := \phi^{-1}(e) = \{k \in G : \phi(k) = e\}.$$

### Observation 2

- (i) The preimage of the identity (i.e.,  $K = \text{Ker}(\phi)$ ) is a **subgroup** of  $G$ .
- (ii) All other preimages are left **cosets** of  $K$ .

### Proof (of (i))

Let  $K = \text{Ker}(\phi)$ , and take  $a, b \in K$ . We must show that  $K$  satisfies 3 properties:

*Identity:*  $\phi(e) = e$ . ✓

*Closure:*  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) = e \cdot e = e$ . ✓

*Inverses:*  $\phi(a^{-1}) = \phi(a)^{-1} = e^{-1} = e$ . ✓

Thus,  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . □

## Observation 3

$\text{Ker}(\phi)$  is a **normal** subgroup of  $G$ .

## Proof

Let  $K = \text{Ker}(\phi)$ . We will show that if  $k \in K$ , then  $gkg^{-1} \in K$ . Take any  $g \in G$ , and observe that

$$\phi(gkg^{-1}) = \phi(g)\phi(k)\phi(g^{-1}) = \phi(g) \cdot e \cdot \phi(g^{-1}) = \phi(g)\phi(g)^{-1} = e.$$

Therefore,  $gkg^{-1} \in \text{Ker}(\phi)$ , so  $K \trianglelefteq G$ . □

## Key observation

Given any homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ , we can *always* form the quotient group  $G/\text{Ker}(\phi)$ .

## Quotients: via multiplication tables

Recall that  $C_2 = \{e^{0\pi i}, e^{1\pi i}\} = \{1, -1\}$ . Consider the following (quotient) homomorphism:

$$\phi: D_4 \longrightarrow C_2, \quad \text{defined by } \phi(r) = 1 \text{ and } \phi(f) = -1.$$

Note that  $\phi(\text{rotation}) = 1$  and  $\phi(\text{reflection}) = -1$ .

The quotient process of “shrinking  $D_4$  down to  $C_2$ ” can be clearly seen from the multiplication tables.

	e	r	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	f	rf	r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f
e	e	r	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	f	rf	r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f
r	r	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	e	rf	r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f	f
r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	e	r	r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f	f	rf
r <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	e	r	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup> f	f	rf	r <sup>2</sup> f
f	f	r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup> f	rf	e	r <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>2</sup>	r
rf	rf	f	r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup> f	r	e	r <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>2</sup>
r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup> f	rf	f	r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup>	r	e	r <sup>3</sup>
r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup> f	rf	f	r <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>2</sup>	r	e

	e	r	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	f	rf	r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f
e	e	r	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	f	rf	r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f
r	r	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	e	rf	r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f	f
r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	e	r	r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f	f	rf
r <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>3</sup>	e	r	r <sup>2</sup>	r <sup>3</sup> f	f	rf	r <sup>2</sup> f
f	f	r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup> f	rf	e	r <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>2</sup>	r
rf	rf	f	r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup> f	r	e	r <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>2</sup>
r <sup>2</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup> f	rf	f	r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup>	r	e	r <sup>3</sup>
r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>3</sup> f	r <sup>2</sup> f	rf	f	r <sup>3</sup>	r <sup>2</sup>	r	e


	1	-1
1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

## Quotients: via Cayley diagrams


Define the homomorphism  $\phi : Q_8 \rightarrow V_4$  via  $\phi(i) = v$  and  $\phi(j) = h$ . Since  $Q_8 = \langle i, j \rangle$ , we can determine where  $\phi$  sends the remaining elements:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1) &= e, & \phi(-1) &= \phi(i^2) = \phi(j)^2 = v^2 = e, \\ \phi(k) &= \phi(ij) = \phi(i)\phi(j) = vh = r, & \phi(-k) &= \phi(ji) = \phi(j)\phi(i) = hv = r, \\ \phi(-i) &= \phi(-1)\phi(i) = ev = v, & \phi(-j) &= \phi(-1)\phi(j) = eh = h. \end{aligned}$$


Note that  $\text{Ker } \phi = \{-1, 1\}$ . Let's see what happens when we quotient out by  $\text{Ker } \phi$ :



$Q_8$  organized by the subgroup  $K = \langle -1 \rangle$



left cosets of  $K$  are near each other



collapse cosets into single nodes

Do you notice any relationship between  $Q_8/\text{Ker}(\phi)$  and  $\text{Im}(\phi)$ ?


# The Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem

The following is one of the central results in group theory.

## Fundamental homomorphism theorem (FHT)

If  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism, then  $\text{Im}(\phi) \cong G/\text{Ker}(\phi)$ .

The FHT says that every homomorphism can be decomposed into two steps: (i) quotient out by the kernel, and then (ii) relabel the nodes via  $\phi$ .



# Proof of the FHT

## Fundamental homomorphism theorem

If  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism, then  $\text{Im}(\phi) \cong G/\text{Ker}(\phi)$ .

### Proof

We will construct an explicit map  $i: G/\text{Ker}(\phi) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi)$  and prove that it is an isomorphism.

Let  $K = \text{Ker}(\phi)$ , and recall that  $G/K = \{aK : a \in G\}$ . Define

$$i: G/K \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi), \quad i: gK \mapsto \phi(g).$$

- Show  $i$  is well-defined: We must show that if  $aK = bK$ , then  $i(aK) = i(bK)$ .

Suppose  $aK = bK$ . We have

$$aK = bK \implies b^{-1}aK = K \implies b^{-1}a \in K.$$

By definition of  $b^{-1}a \in \text{Ker}(\phi)$ ,

$$1_H = \phi(b^{-1}a) = \phi(b^{-1})\phi(a) = \phi(b)^{-1}\phi(a) \implies \phi(a) = \phi(b).$$

By definition of  $i$ :  $i(aK) = \phi(a) = \phi(b) = i(bK)$ . ✓

### Proof (cont.)

- Show  $i$  is a homomorphism: We must show that  $i(aK \cdot bK) = i(aK)i(bK)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}i(aK \cdot bK) &= i(abK) && (aK \cdot bK := abK) \\ &= \phi(ab) && (\text{definition of } i) \\ &= \phi(a)\phi(b) && (\phi \text{ is a homomorphism}) \\ &= i(aK)i(bK) && (\text{definition of } i)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $i$  is a homomorphism. ✓

- Show  $i$  is surjective (onto):

This means showing that for any element in the codomain (here,  $\text{Im}(\phi)$ ), that some element in the domain (here,  $G/K$ ) gets mapped to it by  $i$ .

Pick any  $\phi(a) \in \text{Im}(\phi)$ . By definition,  $i(aK) = \phi(a)$ , hence  $i$  is surjective. ✓

### Proof (cont.)

- Show  $i$  is injective (1-1): We must show that  $i(aK) = i(bK)$  implies  $aK = bK$ .

Suppose that  $i(aK) = i(bK)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}i(aK) = i(bK) &\implies \phi(a) = \phi(b) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &\implies \phi(b)^{-1} \phi(a) = 1_H \\ &\implies \phi(b^{-1}a) = 1_H && (\phi \text{ is a homom.}) \\ &\implies b^{-1}a \in K && \text{(definition of } \text{Ker}(\phi)) \\ &\implies b^{-1}aK = K && (aH = H \Leftrightarrow a \in H) \\ &\implies aK = bK\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $i$  is injective. ✓

In summary, since  $i: G/K \rightarrow \text{Im}(\phi)$  is a well-defined homomorphism that is **injective** (1-1) and **surjective** (onto), it is an **isomorphism**.

Therefore,  $G/K \cong \text{Im}(\phi)$ , and the FHT is proven. □



## Consequences of the FHT

### Corollary

If  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism, then  $\text{Im } \phi \leq H$ .

### A few special cases

- If  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is an embedding, then  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \{1_G\}$ . The FHT says that

$$\text{Im}(\phi) \cong G/\{1_G\} \cong G.$$

- If  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  is the map  $\phi(g) = 1_H$  for all  $h \in G$ , then  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = G$ , so the FHT says that

$$\{1_H\} = \text{Im}(\phi) \cong G/G.$$

Let's use the FHT to determine all homomorphisms  $\phi: C_4 \rightarrow C_3$ :

- By the FHT,  $G/\text{Ker } \phi \cong \text{Im } \phi < C_3$ , and so  $|\text{Im } \phi| = 1$  or  $3$ .
- Since  $\text{Ker } \phi < C_4$ , Lagrange's Theorem also tells us that  $|\text{Ker } \phi| \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ , and hence  $|\text{Im } \phi| = |G/\text{Ker } \phi| \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ .

Thus,  $|\text{Im } \phi| = 1$ , and so the *only* homomorphism  $\phi: C_4 \rightarrow C_3$  is the trivial one.

## What does “well-defined” really mean?

Recall that we've seen the term “**well-defined**” arise in different contexts:

- a well-defined **binary operation** on a set  $G/N$  of cosets,
- a well-defined **function**  $i: G/N \rightarrow H$  from a set (group) of cosets.

In both of these cases, well-defined means that:

*our definition doesn't depend on our choice of coset representative.*

Formally:

- If  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , then  $aN \cdot bN := abN$  is a **well-defined binary operation** on the set  $G/N$  of cosets, because

$$\text{if } a_1N = a_2N \text{ and } b_1N = b_2N, \text{ then } a_1b_1N = a_2b_2N.$$

- The map  $i: G/K \rightarrow H$ , where  $i(aK) = \phi(a)$ , is a **well-defined homomorphism**, meaning that

$$\text{if } aK = bK, \text{ then } i(aK) = i(bK) \text{ (that is, } \phi(a) = \phi(b) \text{) holds.}$$

Whenever we define a map and the domain is a *quotient*, we must show it's well-defined.

## How to show two groups are isomorphic

The standard way to show  $G \cong H$  is to **construct an isomorphism**  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ .

When the domain is a quotient, there is another method, due to the FHT.

### Useful technique

Suppose we want to show that  $G/N \cong H$ . There are two approaches:

- (i) Define a map  $\phi: G/N \rightarrow H$  and prove that it is **well-defined**, a **homomorphism**, and a **bijection**.
- (ii) Define a map  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  and prove that it is a **homomorphism**, a **surjection** (onto), and that  **$\text{Ker } \phi = N$** .

Usually, Method (ii) is easier. Showing well-definedness and injectivity can be tricky.

For example, each of the following are results that we will see very soon, for which (ii) works quite well:

- $\mathbb{Z}/\langle n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ ;
- $\mathbb{Q}^*/\langle -1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Q}^+$ ;
- $AB/B \cong A/(A \cap B)$  (assuming  $A, B \trianglelefteq G$ );
- $G/(A \cap B) \cong (G/A) \times (G/B)$  (assuming  $G = AB$ ).

## Cyclic groups as quotients

Consider the following normal subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$12\mathbb{Z} = \langle 12 \rangle = \{ \dots, -24, -12, 0, 12, 24, \dots \} \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}.$$

The *elements* of the **quotient group**  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 12 \rangle$  are the *cosets*:

$$0 + \langle 12 \rangle, \quad 1 + \langle 12 \rangle, \quad 2 + \langle 12 \rangle, \quad \dots, \quad 10 + \langle 12 \rangle, \quad 11 + \langle 12 \rangle.$$

Number theorists call these sets **congruence classes modulo 12**. We say that two numbers are **congruent mod 12** if they are in the same coset.

Recall how to add cosets in the quotient group:

$$(a + \langle 12 \rangle) + (b + \langle 12 \rangle) := (a + b) + \langle 12 \rangle.$$

“(The coset containing  $a$ ) + (the coset containing  $b$ ) = the coset containing  $a + b$ .”


It should be clear that  $\mathbb{Z}/\langle 12 \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . Formally, this is just the FHT applied to the following homomorphism:

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{12}, \quad \phi: k \longmapsto k \pmod{12},$$

Clearly,  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \{ \dots, -24, -12, 0, 12, 24, \dots \} = \langle 12 \rangle$ . By the FHT:


$$\mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker}(\phi) = \mathbb{Z}/\langle 12 \rangle \cong \text{Im}(\phi) = \mathbb{Z}_{12}.$$

# A picture of the isomorphism $i: \mathbb{Z}_{12} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\langle 12 \rangle$ (from the VGT website)



The quotient map  $q$  corresponds to the quotient process described in the text, whose rearranged Cayley diagram is shown here.



The isomorphism  $i$  renames the cosets to the single nodes of  $C_{12}$ , showing that the structures are identical.



## Finite abelian groups

We've seen that some cyclic groups can be expressed as a direct product, and others cannot.

Below are two ways to lay out the Cayley diagram of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  so the direct product structure is obvious:  $\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .



However, the group  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  *cannot* be written as a direct product. No matter how we draw the Cayley graph, there *must* be an arrow of order 8. (Why?)

We will answer the question of when  $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m \cong \mathbb{Z}_{nm}$ , and in doing so, completely classify all finite abelian groups.

# Finite abelian groups



## Proposition

$\mathbb{Z}_{nm} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$  if and only if  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ .

## Proof (sketch)

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”: Suppose  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ . We claim that  $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$  has order  $nm$ .

$|(1, 1)|$  is the smallest  $k$  such that “ $(k, k) = (0, 0)$ .” This happens iff  $n \mid k$  and  $m \mid k$ .  
Thus,  $k = \text{lcm}(n, m) = nm$ . ✓



# Finite abelian groups

## Proposition

$\mathbb{Z}_{nm} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$  if and only if  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ .

## Proof (cont.)

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Suppose  $\mathbb{Z}_{nm} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$  has an element  $(a, b)$  of order  $nm$ .


For convenience, we will switch to "multiplicative notation", and denote our cyclic groups by  $C_n$ .

Clearly,  $\langle a \rangle = C_n$  and  $\langle b \rangle = C_m$ . Let's look at a Cayley diagram for  $C_n \times C_m$ .

The order of  $(a, b)$  must be a multiple of  $n$  (the number of rows), and of  $m$  (the number of columns).

By definition, this is the *least* common multiple of  $n$  and  $m$ .

But  $|(a, b)| = nm$ , and so  $\text{lcm}(n, m) = nm$ . Therefore,  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ . □





# The Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups

## Classification theorem (by “prime powers”)

Every **finite abelian group**  $A$  is isomorphic to a **direct product of cyclic groups**, i.e., for some integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$ ,

$$A \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_m},$$

where each  $n_i$  is a **prime power**, i.e.,  $n_i = p_i^{d_i}$ , where  $p_i$  is prime and  $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The proof of this is more advanced, and while it is at the undergraduate level, we don't yet have the tools to do it.

However, we will be more interested in understanding and utilizing this result.

## Example

Up to isomorphism, there are 6 abelian groups of order  $200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2$ :

$$\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$$

## The Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups

Finite abelian groups can be classified by their “elementary divisors.” The mysterious terminology comes from the theory of modules (a graduate-level topic).

### Classification theorem (by “elementary divisors”)

Every **finite abelian group**  $A$  is isomorphic to a **direct product of cyclic groups**, i.e., for some integers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m$ ,

$$A \cong \mathbb{Z}_{k_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{k_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{k_m}.$$

where each  $k_i$  is a **multiple** of  $k_{i+1}$ .

### Example

Up to isomorphism, there are 6 abelian groups of order  $200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2$ :

by “prime-powers”

$$\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$$

by “elementary divisors”

$$\mathbb{Z}_{200}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{100} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{50} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{40} \times \mathbb{Z}_5$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{20} \times \mathbb{Z}_{10}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$$

# The Fundamental Theorem of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

Just for fun, here is the classification theorem for all *finitely generated* abelian groups. Note that it is not much different.

## Theorem

Every **finitely generated** abelian group  $A$  is isomorphic to a **direct product of cyclic groups**, i.e., for some integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$ ,

$$A \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}}_{k \text{ copies}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_m},$$

where each  $n_i$  is a **prime power**, i.e.,  $n_i = p_i^{d_i}$ , where  $p_i$  is prime and  $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In other words,  $A$  is isomorphic to a (multiplicative) group with presentation:

$$A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k, r_1, \dots, r_m \mid r_i^{n_i} = 1, a_i a_j = a_j a_i, r_i r_j = r_j r_i, a_i r_j = r_j a_i \rangle.$$

In summary, (finitely generated) abelian groups are relatively easy to understand.

In contrast, nonabelian groups are more mysterious and complicated. Soon, we will study the *Sylow Theorems* which will help us better understand the structure of finite **nonabelian** groups.

## The Isomorphism Theorems

The Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem (FHT) is the first of four basic theorems about homomorphism and their structure.

These are commonly called “**The Isomorphism Theorems**”:

- First Isomorphism Theorem: “Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem”
- Second Isomorphism Theorem: “Diamond Isomorphism Theorem”
- Third Isomorphism Theorem: “Freshman Theorem”
- Fourth Isomorphism Theorem: “Correspondence Theorem”

All of these theorems have analogues in other algebraic structures: rings, vector spaces, modules, and Lie algebras, to name a few.

In this lecture, we will summarize the last three isomorphism theorems and provide visual pictures for each.

We will prove one, outline the proof of another (homework!), and encourage you to try the (very straightforward) proofs of the multiple parts of the last one.

Finally, we will introduce the concepts of a **commutator** and **commutator subgroup**, whose quotient yields the **abelianization** of a group.


# The Second Isomorphism Theorem

## Diamond isomorphism theorem

Let  $H \leq G$ , and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Then

- (i) The **product**  $HN = \{hn \mid h \in H, n \in N\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .
- (ii) The **intersection**  $H \cap N$  is a *normal* subgroup of  $G$ .
- (iii) The following quotient groups are isomorphic:

$$HN/N \cong H/(H \cap N)$$



## Proof (sketch)

Define the following map

$$\phi: H \longrightarrow HN/N, \quad \phi: h \longmapsto hN.$$

If we can show:

1.  $\phi$  is a homomorphism,
2.  $\phi$  is surjective (onto),
3.  $\text{Ker } \phi = H \cap N$ ,

then the result will follow *immediately* from the FHT. The details are left as HW.


# The Third Isomorphism Theorem

## Freshman theorem

Consider a chain  $N \leq H \leq G$  of normal subgroups of  $G$ . Then

1. The quotient  $H/N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G/N$ ;
2. The following quotients are isomorphic:

$$(G/N)/(H/N) \cong G/H.$$



(Thanks to Zach Teitler of Boise State for the concept and graphic!)

# The Third Isomorphism Theorem

## Freshman theorem

Consider a chain  $N \leq H \leq G$  of normal subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $H/N \trianglelefteq G/N$  and  $(G/N)/(H/N) \cong G/H$ .

## Proof

It is easy to show that  $H/N \trianglelefteq G/N$  (exercise). Define the map

$$\varphi: G/N \longrightarrow G/H, \quad \varphi: gN \longmapsto gH.$$

- Show  $\varphi$  is well-defined: Suppose  $g_1N = g_2N$ . Then  $g_1 = g_2n$  for some  $n \in N$ . But  $n \in H$  because  $N \leq H$ . Thus,  $g_1H = g_2H$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(g_1N) = \varphi(g_2N)$ . ✓
- $\varphi$  is clearly onto and a homomorphism. ✓
- Apply the FHT:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } \varphi &= \{gN \in G/N \mid \varphi(gN) = H\} \\ &= \{gN \in G/N \mid gH = H\} \\ &= \{gN \in G/N \mid g \in H\} = H/N \end{aligned}$$

By the FHT,  $(G/N)/\text{Ker } \varphi = (G/N)/(H/N) \cong \text{Im } \varphi = G/H$ . □

## The Fourth Isomorphism Theorem




The full statement is a bit technical, so here we just state it informally.

### Correspondence theorem

Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . There is a 1–1 correspondence between **subgroups of  $G/N$**  and **subgroups of  $G$  that contain  $N$** . In particular, every subgroup of  $G/N$  has the form  $\bar{A} := A/N$  for some  $A$  satisfying  $N \leq A \leq G$ .

This means that the corresponding subgroup lattices are identical in structure.

### Example



The quotient  $Q_8/\langle -1 \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $V_4$ . The subgroup lattices can be visualized by “collapsing”  $\langle -1 \rangle$  to the identity.






## Correspondence theorem (formally)

Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Then there is a bijection from the **subgroups of  $G/N$**  and **subgroups of  $G$  that contain  $N$** . In particular, every subgroup of  $G/N$  has the form  $\bar{A} := A/N$  for some  $A$  satisfying  $N \leq A \leq G$ . Moreover, if  $A, B \leq G$ , then

1.  $A \leq B$  if and only if  $\bar{A} \leq \bar{B}$ ,
2. If  $A \leq B$ , then  $[B : A] = [\bar{B} : \bar{A}]$ ,
3.  $\overline{\langle A, B \rangle} = \langle \bar{A}, \bar{B} \rangle$ ,
4.  $\overline{A \cap B} = \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$ ,
5.  $A \trianglelefteq G$  if and only if  $\bar{A} \trianglelefteq \bar{G}$ .

## Example





## Application: commutator subgroups and abelianizations

We've seen how to divide  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\langle 12 \rangle$ , thereby “forcing” all multiples of 12 to be zero. This is one way to construct the integers modulo 12:  $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\langle 12 \rangle$ .

Now, suppose  $G$  is nonabelian. We would like to divide  $G$  by its “non-abelian parts,” making them zero and leaving only “abelian parts” in the resulting quotient.

A **commutator** is an element of the form  $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ . Since  $G$  is nonabelian, *there are non-identity commutators*:  $aba^{-1}b^{-1} \neq e$  in  $G$ .



In this case, the set  $C := \{aba^{-1}b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G\}$  contains *more* than the identity.

Define the **commutator subgroup**  $G'$  of  $G$  to be

$$G' := \langle aba^{-1}b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G \rangle.$$

This is a normal subgroup of  $G$  (homework exercise). If we quotient out by it, we get an abelian group! (Because we have killed every instance of the “ $ab \neq ba$  pattern” shown above.)

## Commutator subgroups and abelianizations

### Definition

The **abelianization** of  $G$  is the quotient group  $G/G'$ . This is the group that one gets by “killing off” all nonabelian parts of  $G$ .

In some sense, the commutator subgroup  $G'$  is the **smallest normal subgroup**  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is abelian. [Note that  $G$  would be the “largest” such subgroup.]

Equivalently, the quotient  $G/G'$  is the **largest abelian quotient** of  $G$ . [Note that  $G/G \cong \langle e \rangle$  would be the “smallest” such quotient.]

### Universal property of commutator subgroups

Suppose  $f: G \rightarrow A$  is a homomorphism to an abelian group  $A$ . Then there is a unique homomorphism  $h: G/G' \rightarrow A$  such that  $f = hq$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ & \searrow q & \nearrow h \\ & G/G' & \end{array}$$



We say that  $f$  “factors through” the abelianization,  $G/G'$ .

# Commutator subgroups and abelianizations

## Examples

Consider the groups  $A_4$  and  $D_4$ . It is easy to check that

$$G'_{A_4} = \langle xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \mid x, y \in A_4 \rangle \cong V_4, \quad G'_{D_4} = \langle xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \mid x, y \in D_4 \rangle = \langle r^2 \rangle.$$



By the *Correspondence Theorem*, the abelianization of  $A_4$  is  $A_4/V_4 \cong C_3$ , and the abelianization of  $D_4$  is  $D_4/\langle r^2 \rangle \cong V_4$ .

Notice that  $G/G'$  is abelian, and moreover, taking the quotient of  $G$  by *anything* above  $G'$  will yield an abelian group.

# Automorphisms

## Definition

An **automorphism** is an isomorphism from a group to itself.

The set of all automorphisms of  $G$  forms a group, called the **automorphism group** of  $G$ , and denoted  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .

## Remarks.

- An automorphism is determined by where it sends the generators.
- An automorphism  $\phi$  must send generators to generators. In particular, if  $G$  is cyclic, then it determines a **permutation** of the set of (all possible) generators.

## Examples

1. There are two automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}$ : the identity, and the mapping  $n \mapsto -n$ . Thus,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2$ .
2. There is an automorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_5 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5$  for each choice of  $\phi(1) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Thus,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_5) \cong C_4$  or  $V_4$ . (Which one?)
3. An automorphism  $\phi$  of  $V_4 = \langle h, v \rangle$  is determined by the image of  $h$  and  $v$ . There are 3 choices for  $\phi(h)$ , and then 2 choices for  $\phi(v)$ . Thus,  $|\text{Aut}(V_4)| = 6$ , so it is either  $C_6 \cong C_2 \times C_3$ , or  $S_3$ . (Which one?)

# Automorphism groups of $\mathbb{Z}_n$

## Definition

The **multiplicative group of integers modulo  $n$** , denoted  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  or  $U(n)$ , is the group

$$U(n) := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \gcd(n, k) = 1\}$$

where the binary operation is multiplication, modulo  $n$ .

$$U(5) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cong C_4$$

	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
2	2	4	1	3
3	3	1	4	2
4	4	3	2	1

$$U(6) = \{1, 5\} \cong C_2$$

	1	5
1	1	5
5	5	1

$$U(8) = \{1, 3, 5, 7\} \cong C_2 \times C_2$$

	1	3	5	7
1	1	3	5	7
3	3	1	7	5
5	5	7	1	3
7	7	5	3	1

## Proposition (homework)

The **automorphism group** of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{\sigma_a \mid a \in U(n)\} \cong U(n)$ , where

$$\sigma_a: \mathbb{Z}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n, \quad \sigma_a(1) = a.$$

## Automorphisms of $D_3$

Let's find all automorphisms of  $D_3 = \langle r, f \rangle$ . We'll see a very similar example to this when we study [Galois theory](#).

Clearly, every automorphism  $\phi$  is completely determined by  $\phi(r)$  and  $\phi(f)$ .

Since automorphisms preserve order, if  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(D_3)$ , then

$$\phi(e) = e, \quad \phi(r) = \underbrace{r \text{ or } r^2}_{2 \text{ choices}}, \quad \phi(f) = \underbrace{f, rf, \text{ or } r^2f}_{3 \text{ choices}}.$$

Thus, there are *at most*  $2 \cdot 3 = 6$  automorphisms of  $D_3$ .

Let's try to define two maps, (i)  $\alpha: D_3 \rightarrow D_3$  fixing  $r$ , and (ii)  $\beta: D_3 \rightarrow D_3$  fixing  $f$ :

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(r) = r \\ \alpha(f) = rf \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \beta(r) = r^2 \\ \beta(f) = f \end{cases}$$


I claim that:

- these both define automorphisms (check this!)
- these generate six *different* automorphisms, and thus  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \text{Aut}(D_3)$ .

To determine what group this is isomorphic to, find these six automorphisms, and make a group presentation and/or multiplication table. Is it abelian?

# Automorphisms of $D_3$

An automorphism can be thought of as a **re-wiring** of the Cayley diagram.






## Automorphisms of $D_3$

Here is the multiplication table and Cayley diagram of  $\text{Aut}(D_3) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ .

	$id$	$\alpha$	$\alpha^2$	$\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$
$id$	$id$	$\alpha$	$\alpha^2$	$\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$
$\alpha$	$\alpha$	$\alpha^2$	$id$	$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\beta$
$\alpha^2$	$\alpha^2$	$id$	$\alpha$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\beta$	$\alpha\beta$
$\beta$	$\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$id$	$\alpha^2$	$\alpha$
$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\alpha$	$id$	$\alpha^2$
$\alpha^2\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$\beta$	$\alpha^2$	$\alpha$	$id$



It is purely coincidence that  $\text{Aut}(D_3) \cong D_3$ . For example, we've already seen that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_5) \cong U(5) \cong C_4, \quad \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_6) \cong U(6) \cong C_2, \quad \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_8) \cong U(8) \cong C_2 \times C_2.$$







# Automorphisms of $V_4 = \langle h, v \rangle$

The following **permutations** are both automorphisms:

$$\alpha : \begin{array}{c} h \quad v \quad hv \\ \curvearrowright \quad \curvearrowright \quad \curvearrowright \\ v \quad h \quad e \end{array}$$

and


$$\beta : \begin{array}{c} h \quad v \quad hv \\ \curvearrowleft \quad \curvearrowleft \quad \curvearrowleft \\ v \quad h \quad e \end{array}$$



## Automorphisms of $V_4 = \langle h, v \rangle$

Here is the multiplication table and Cayley diagram of  $\text{Aut}(V_4) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \cong S_3 \cong D_3$ .

	$id$	$\alpha$	$\alpha^2$	$\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$
$id$	$id$	$\alpha$	$\alpha^2$	$\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$
$\alpha$	$\alpha$	$\alpha^2$	$id$	$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\beta$
$\alpha^2$	$\alpha^2$	$id$	$\alpha$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\beta$	$\alpha\beta$
$\beta$	$\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$id$	$\alpha^2$	$\alpha$
$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\alpha$	$id$	$\alpha^2$
$\alpha^2\beta$	$\alpha^2\beta$	$\alpha\beta$	$\beta$	$\alpha^2$	$\alpha$	$id$



Recall that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  can be thought of as the permutations  $h \begin{matrix} \curvearrowright & v & \curvearrowright \\ & hv & \end{matrix}$  and  $h \begin{matrix} \curvearrowright & v & \curvearrowright \\ & hv & \end{matrix}$  and so  $\text{Aut}(G) \hookrightarrow \text{Perm}(G) \cong S_n$  always holds.