Basics of Boolean modeling

Matthew Macauley

Department of Mathematical Sciences Clemson University http://www.math.clemson.edu/~macaule/

Algebraic Systems Biology

The lac operon in E. coli



Downsides of an ODE model

- Very mathematically technical.
- Too hard to solve explicitly. Numerical methods are needed.
- MANY experimentally determined parameters.
- Often, rate constants aren't known even up to orders of magnitude.

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \alpha_M \frac{1 + K_1 (e^{-\mu \tau_M} A_{\tau_M})^n}{K + K_1 (e^{-\mu \tau_M} A_{\tau_M})^n} + \Gamma_0 - \widetilde{\gamma}_M M$$

$$\frac{dB}{dt} = \alpha_B e^{-\mu \tau_B} M_{\tau_B} - \tilde{\gamma}_B B$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \alpha_A B \frac{L}{K_L + L} - \beta_A B \frac{A}{K_A + A} - \widetilde{\gamma}_A A$$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \alpha_P e^{-\mu(\tau_B + \tau_P)} M_{\tau_B + \tau_P} - \tilde{\gamma}_P P$$

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = \alpha_L P \frac{L_e}{K_{L_e} + L_e} - \beta_{L_e} P \frac{L}{K_{L_e} + L} - \alpha_A B \frac{L}{K_L + L} - \tilde{\gamma}_L L$$

A Boolean approach

Let's assume everything is Boolean (0 or 1):

- Expression levels are high or basal (thousands of times lower).
- Gene products are present or absent.
- Enzyme concentrations are high or low.
- The probability of a repressor being activated is high $(p \approx 1)$ or low $(p \approx 0)$.
- The operon is ON or OFF.

Motivating example

A statement like

"mRNA will be transcribed (M = 1) if the transcription factor is present (C = 1) and the repressor protein is inactivated (R = 0)"

can be modeled as

$$M(t+1) = C(t) \wedge \overline{R(t)}$$

We will assume that time is discretized: t = 0, 1, 2, ...

A toy model of the *lac* operon

Parameters (constants):

■ *L_e*: extracellar lactose ■ *G_e*: extracellar glucose

Variables:

M: mRNA E: gene products L: intracellular lactose

Each variable has an update function:

• mRNA is transcribed (M = 1) if there is no extracellular glucose $(G_e = 0)$ and either intracellular (L = 1) or extracellular lactose (L_e) is present:

$$M(t+1) = f_M = \overline{G_e} \wedge (L(t) \vee L_e).$$

The LacY and LacZ gene products (E = 1) will be translated if there are high levels of mRNA (M = 1):

$$E(t+1)=f_E=M(t).$$

- Lactose will be in the cell (L = 1) if there is no extracellular glucose $(G_e = 0)$, and either of the following holds:
 - Extracellular lactose is present $(L_e = 1)$ and *lac* permease is available (E = 1).
 - Intracellular lactose is present (L = 1) but β -galactosiadase is absent (E = 0).

$$L(t+1) = f_L = \overline{G_e} \wedge \left[(L_e \wedge E(t)) \vee (L(t) \wedge \overline{E(t)}) \right].$$

How to analyze a Boolean model

Our Boolean model is:

$$\begin{split} f_{M} &= \overline{G_{e}} \wedge (L(t) \vee L_{e}) \\ f_{E} &= M(t) \\ f_{L} &= \overline{G_{e}} \wedge \left[(L_{e} \wedge E(t)) \vee (L(t) \wedge \overline{E(t)}) \right]. \end{split}$$

We will update these functions synchronously:



$$f: (M(t), E(t), L(t)) \longmapsto (M(t+1), E(t+1), L(t+1)).$$

The state space (or phase space) is the directed graph (V, T), where

$$V = \{ (M, E, L) \mid M, E, L \in \{0, 1\} \}, \qquad T = \{ (x, f(x)) \mid x \in V \}.$$

We need to compute this for all 4 possible parameter vectors $(L_e, G_e) \in \{0, 1\}^2$.

At the bare minimum, we should expect:

- Lactose absent \Rightarrow operon OFF,
- Lactose present, glucose absent \Rightarrow operon ON,
- Lactose and glucose present \Rightarrow operon OFF.

How to visualize the dynamics of a Boolean model

We can plot the state space using one of several software packages:

- The BoolNet library in R.
- **Cyclone**, available at https://github.com/discretedynamics/cyclone.
- GINsim (Gene Interaction Network simulation), available at http://ginsim.org/.

The function input of these varies, from Boolean functions (BoolNet) to polynomials (Cyclone), to truth tables (GINsim).

Boolean operation	logical form	polynomial form
AND	$x \wedge y$	ху
OR	$x \lor y$	x + y + xy
XOR	$x \oplus y$	x + y
NOT	\overline{x}	1 + x

A truth table is just a tabular representation of the entire function.

x	у	$x \wedge y$	$x \lor y$	x + y + xy
0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	1

Installing Cyclone: Simulation and Analysis of Finite Dynamical Systems

Cyclone was written by Elena Dimitrova, Adam Knapp, Brandlyn Stigler, and Michael Stillman.

It can be downloaded from: https://github.com/discretedynamics/cyclone.

Open a terminal (Mac or Linux) and navigate to the zipfile. Then type:

- > unzip cyclone-master.zip
- > cd cyclone-master
- > mkdir -p build
- > cd build
- > cmake ..
- > make

On a Mac, if you don't have cmake, go download and install it, and then type

> PATH="/Applications/CMake.app/Contents/bin":"\$PATH"

into the command-line.

Running Cyclone: Simulation and Analysis of Finite Dynamical Systems

Create a text file titled lac-toy.pds with the following contents:

```
# lac operon toy example
NUMBER OF VARIABLES: 5
NUMBER OF STATES: 2
M = (NOT Ge) AND (L | Le)
E = M
L = (NOT Ge) AND ((Le AND E) | (L AND (NOT E)))
Le = Le
Ge = Ge
```

Next, run the following command:

> ./simFDS lac-toy.pds

This will create the two text files:

```
lac-toy-limitcycles.tex
lac-toy-statespace.dot
```

Visualizing and analyzing cyclone output

This command requires Graphviz to be installed:

> dot -Tpng -o lac-toy.png lac-toy-statespace.dot

It creates a png file of the state space:



Recall the variable order (M, E, L, L_e, G_e) .

- A fixed point with M = E = L = 0 means that the operon is OFF.
- A fixed point with M = E = L = 1 means that the operon is ON.

Summary so far

Gene regulatory networks consist of a collection of gene products that interact with each other to control a specific cell function.

Classically, these have been modeled quantitatively with differential equations (continuous space, continuous time).

Boolean models take a different approach. They are discrete-space, discrete-time models that are inherently qualitative.

The state space graph encodes the dynamics. The most important features are the fixed points, and a necessary step in model validation is to check that they are biologically meaningful.

The model of the lac operon shown here is a "toy model." Next, we will see more complicated models of the lac operon that capture intricate biological features of these systems.

Modeling with Boolean logic is a relatively new concept, first done in the 1970s. It is a popular research topic in the field of systems biology.

A more refined model

Our first model only used 3 variables: mRNA (M), enzymes (E), and lactose (L).

Let's propose a new model with 5 variables:

 $\begin{array}{ll} M \ (\text{mRNA}): & f_M = A \\ \hline B \ (\beta\text{-galactosidase}): & f_B = M \\ \hline A \ (\text{allolactose}): & f_A = A \lor (L \land B) \\ \hline L \ (\text{intracellular lactose}): & f_L = P \lor (L \land \overline{B}) \\ \hline P \ (lac \ \text{permease}): & f_P = M \\ \end{array}$

Assumptions

- Extracellular lactose is always available.
- Extracellular glucose is always unavailable.
- Translation and transcription require one unit of time.
- Protein and mRNA degradation require one unit of time.
- Lactose metabolism require one unit of time.

Model dynamics in Cyclone

Here is the state space of our 5-variable model:





Model dynamics in the BoolNet package of \mathbf{R}





Problems with our refined model

Boolean model

M (mRNA): $f_M = A$ B (β -galactosidase): $f_B = M$ A (allolactose): $f_A = A \lor (L \land B)$ L (intracellular lactose): $f_L = P \lor (L \land \overline{B})$ P (lac permease): $f_P = M$

Problems

- The fixed point (M, B, A, L, P) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) should not happen with lactose present but not glucose. [though let's try to justify this...]
- The fixed point (M, B, A, L, P) = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0) is not biologically feasible: it would describe a scenario where the bacterium does not metabolize intracellular lactose.

Conclusion

The model fails initial testing and validation, and is in need of modification. (HW)

Examples of Boolean models of (mostly) molecular networks

- A. Veliz-Cuba and B. Stigler. Boolean models can explain bistability in the *lac* operon. *J. Comp. Biol.*, **18**(6):783–794, 2011.
- R. Albert, H.G. Othmer. The topology of the regulatory interactions predicts the expression pattern of the segment polarity genes in Drosophila melanogaster. J. Theor. Biol., 223(1):1–18, 2003.
- L. Mendoza, D. Thieffry, and E. Alvarez-Buylla. Genetic control of flower morphogenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana: a logical analysis. *Bioinformatics*, 15(7):593–606, 1999.
- M. Davidich and S. Bornholdt. Boolean network model predicts cell cycle sequence of fission yeast. PloS ONE, 3(2):e1672, 2008.
- S. Kauffman, C. Peterson, B. Samuelsson, and C. Troein. Random Boolean network models and the yeast transcriptional network. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **100**(25):14796–14799, 2003.
- A. Fauré, A. Naldi, C. Chaouiya, and D. Thieffry. Dynamical analysis of a generic Boolean model for the control of the mammalian cell cycle. *Bioinformatics*, 22(14):e124–e131, 2006.
- B. Stigler and H. Chamberlin. A regulatory network modeled from wild-type gene expression data guides functional predictions in caenorhabditis elegans development. BMC Syst. Biol., 6(1):77, 2012.
- A. Jenkins and M. Macauley. Bistability and asynchrony in a Boolean model of the L-arabinose operon in Escherichia coli. Bull. Math Biol., 79(8):1778–1795, 2017.
- L. Mendoza. A network model for the control of the differentiation process in Th cells. *Biosystems* 84(2):101–114, 2006.
- I. Deal, M. Macauley, and R. Davies. Boolean models of the transport, synthesis, and metabolism of tryptophan in Escherichia Coli. Bull. Math. Biol. 85(4), 29 pp., 2023.
- R. Robeva and D. Murrugarra. The spruce budworm and forest: a qualitative comparison of ODE and Boolean models. *Lett. Biomathematics* 3(1):75–92, 2016.
- F. Hammami, et al. Analysis of a logical regulatory network reveals how Fe-S cluster biogenesis is controlled in the face of stress. *Microlife* 4:uqad003, 2023.
- E.E. Allen, J.S. Fetrow, L.W. Daniel, S.J. Thomas, and D.J John. Algebraic dependency models of protein signal transduction networks from time-series data. J. Theor. Biol., 238(2):317–330, 2006.

GINsim logical model repository

GIN	sim					ser entry care
HOME	DOCUMENTATION	MODEL REPOSITORY	DOWNLOADS	PUBLICATIONS	COMPANION TOOLS	CONTACTS & TERMS
Home »						

Browse Models

Search by Keywords	Submitter	Taxon Process	
		· ·	~ Apply
Title		Taxon - All terms	Process - All terms
Asymmetric Cell Division in Cauloba	acter Crescentus	Bacterium, C. Crescentus	Cell cycle, Asymmetric cell division
Boolean model of geroconversion		Mammal	Senescence
Budding yeast cell cycle (adapted fr	rom Irons, 2009)	Budding yeast, Yeast	Cell cycle
Budding yeast cell cycle (Fauré et a	I. 2009)	Budding yeast, Yeast	Cell cycle
Budding yeast cell cycle (Orlando et	t al. 2008)	Budding yeast, Yeast	Cell cycle
Budding yeast exit module		Budding yeast, Yeast	Cell cycle, Mitosis exit control
Cell fate decision network in the AG	S gastric cancer cell line (Flobak et al 2015)	Mammal	Cancer
Cell-Fate Decision in Response to De	eath Receptor Engagement	Mammal	Cell fate decision
Contribution of ROS and metabolic	status to neonatal and adult CD8+ T cell activat	on Mammal, Human	T-cell activation
Control of proliferation by oncogene	es and tumor suppressors	Mammal	Cell fate decision
Control of Th1/Th2 cell differentiation	n	Mammal	Differentiation
Control of Th1/Th2/Th17/Treg cells of	lifferentiation	Mammal	Differentiation
Control of Th1/Th2/Th17/Treg/Tfh/Th	19/Th22 cell differentiation	Blood cells, Mammal, T lymphocy	ytes Differentiation
Controlling the lysis-lysogeny decisi	ion in the phage lambda	Phage Lambda	Lysis-lysogeny decision
Core engine controlling the budding	yeast cell cycle	Budding yeast, Yeast	Core engine, Cell cycle
	1 2 3 4	5 next > last »	

Home | Documentation | Model Repository | Downloads | Publications | Companion Tools | Contacts & Terms

http://ginsim.org/models_repository