Read: Francis Su's essay on good mathematical writing (posted on the course website).

- 1. Answer the following questions from the directly from the essay on good mathematical writing.
 - (a) What is a good rule of thumb for what you should assume of your audience as you write your homework sets?
 - (b) Is chalkboard writing formal or informal writing?
 - (c) Why is the proof by contradiction on page 3 not really a proof by contradiction?
 - (d) Name three things a lazy writer would do that a good writer would not.
 - (e) What's the difference in meaning between these three phrases?

"Let A = 12." "So A = 12." "A = 12."

2. Let $X = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} = \{(m, n) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}$. That is, X consists of all ordered pairs (m, n) of integers with $n \neq 0$. Consider an equivalence relation \sim on X defined as

$$a/b \sim c/d$$
 if $ad = bc$.

The set $\mathbb{Q} := X/\sim$ of equivalence classes are called the *rational numbers*. We typically denote the class [m/n] by any representative, e.g., m/n.

(a) Define *addition* of rational numbers by

$$a/b + c/d = [ad + bc]/[bd].$$

Show that this is *well-defined*, which means that it does *not* depend on the choice of representative. Specifically, show that if $w/x \sim a/b$ and $y/z \sim c/d$, then w/x+y/z = a/b + c/d.

- (b) Define a *multiplication* of rational numbers, and show that it is well-defined.
- 3. Prove that there is no rational number whose square is 12.
- 4. Moved to HW 2, but useful for proving the previous problem this is Exercise 1.1 in Rudin. If r is rational $(r \neq 0)$ and x is irrational, prove that r + x and rx are irrational. Do not make any references to Dedekind cuts assume that x being irrational means only that it cannot be written as a fraction of two integers.