

1

MTHSC 453Lecture 18 Series

Question: What does this mean? $1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{16} + \dots = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

Or this: $1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \dots$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} (1-1) + (1-1) + \dots = 0$$

$$\text{or } \stackrel{?}{=} 1 + (-1+1) + (-1+1) + \dots = 1$$

Euler: This should = $\boxed{\frac{1}{2}}$!

Some background:

$$1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{27} + \dots = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{"geometric series"}$$

$$\text{In general: } 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots = \frac{1}{1-x}. \text{ But why??}$$

$$\text{Maybe: } (1+x+x^2+x^3+\dots)(1-x) = 1 \quad \text{Does this make sense?}$$

For all x ? What if $x=2$?

$$\text{For all } x? \text{ Try } \boxed{x=2}: \quad 1+2+4+8+\dots = \frac{1}{1-2} = -1 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{According} \\ \text{to} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Try } \boxed{x=-2}: \quad 1-2+4-8+\dots = \frac{1}{1-(-2)} = \frac{1}{3} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{According} \\ \text{to} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Try } \boxed{x=-1}: \quad 1-1+1-1+\dots = \frac{1}{1-(-1)} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{According} \\ \text{to} \\ \text{Euler!} \end{array} \right\}$$

Is this meaningful?

- We'll define series:

Given $\{a_n\}$, define $\sum_{n=p}^q a_n = a_p + \dots + a_q$

Let $\boxed{S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k}$ the n^{th} partial sum.

(2)

The partial sums $\{S_n\}$ form a sequence, written $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$, called an infinite series.

This may or may not converge, but if it does, say to $s \in X$, then we write $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = s$.

Note: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$.

* Thus, a series is a sequence of partial sums.

Question: When does a series converge? (i.e., when does the sequence of partial sums converge?)

Ex: $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$. Does $\underbrace{1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots}_{\text{"Harmonic series"}}$ converge?

No! The sequence (of partial sums) isn't Cauchy.

Recall: $S_m - S_n \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem (Cauchy criterion for series)

$\sum a_n$ converges $\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \text{ s.t. } m, n \geq N \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{k=n}^m a_k \right| < \varepsilon$.

Proof: Exercise. (Nothing more than translating defns.)

Cor: $\sum a_n$ converges $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

[Just let $n=m$.] Note: converse of Cor. fails.

Theorem (non-neg. series). If $a_n \geq 0$, then

$\sum a_n$ converges \Leftrightarrow partial sums are bounded.

Proof (\Leftarrow) If $a_n \geq 0$, partial sums are monotonically increasing,
and they're bounded \Rightarrow partial sums converge.

(\Rightarrow) Obvious. □

Theorem: (Comparison test)

(a) If $|a_n| \leq c_n$ (for n large enough, say $n \geq M$)

and $\sum c_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges.

(b) If $a_n > d_n \geq 0$ (for n large enough, say $n \geq M$)

and $\sum d_n$ diverges, then $\sum a_n$ diverges.

Proof: (a) Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\sum c_n$ converges, $\exists N_1$ s.t.

$$m, n \geq N_1 \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{k=m}^n c_k \right| < \varepsilon.$$

$$\text{Let } N = \max \{N_1, M\}.$$

Now, for $k \geq N$, $|\sum a_k| \leq \sum |a_k| \leq \sum c_k < \varepsilon$. ✓

(b) Use (a) to show: If $\sum a_n$ converges, so does $\sum d_n$. (Exercise) □

(4)

- What to compare to?

Geometric series: If $|x| < 1$, then $\boxed{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}}$

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n$ diverges.

Proof: If $x \neq 1$, let $S_n = 1 + x + \dots + x^n = \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x}$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \frac{1}{1-x} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - x^{n+1} = \frac{1}{1-x}$ if $|x| < 1$.

If $|x| \geq 1$, then $S_n \not\rightarrow 0$ so $\sum x^n$ diverges.

□

Question: Does $\boxed{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}}$ converge or diverge? For which p ?

Theorem (Cauchy): If $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq \dots \geq 0$. $\swarrow a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_4 + 8a_8 + \dots$

$\boxed{\text{Then } \sum a_n \text{ converges} \iff \sum 2^k a_{2^k} \text{ converges}}$

Proof (\Leftarrow) Suppose that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k a_{2^k}$ converges.

Let $t_k = a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + 2^k a_{2^k}$ and $S_n = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } n < 2^k : S_n &\leq a_1 + (a_2 + a_3) + (a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7) + \dots + (\underbrace{a_{2^k} + \dots + a_{2^{k+1}-1}}_{\text{"extra"})}) \\ &\leq a_1 + (a_2 + a_3) + (a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7) + \dots + 2^k a_{2^k} \\ &= a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_4 + \dots + 2^k a_{2^k} = t_k \end{aligned}$$

Since t_k converges, so does S_n . ✓

[5]

$$\Rightarrow 2S_n = 2a_1 + 2a_2 + 2(a_3 + a_4) + 2(a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8) + \dots$$

$$t_n = a_1 + (a_2 + a_3) + 4a_4 + 8a_8 + \dots$$

If $n > 2^k$, then $t_n \leq 2S_n$. Use comparison test: t_n monotonic, bounded by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2S_n$, so t_n converges. \square

Application: $\sum \frac{1}{n^p}$ converges if $p > 1$ and diverges if $p \leq 1$.

Proof: If $p \leq 0$, then $\frac{1}{n^p} \rightarrow 0$, so series diverges.

If $p > 0$, use $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k a_{2^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \cdot \frac{1}{(2^k)^p} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(1-p)k}$ geometric series!

This converges $\Leftrightarrow (1-p)k < 0 \Leftrightarrow p > 1$. \square

Example

$$\bullet \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} = \frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots \text{ converges (define the limit as "e")}$$

Why? Its partial sums are bounded by $3 = 1 + 1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots\right)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Convergence is rapid: } e - S_n &= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} + \frac{1}{(n+2)!} + \dots \\ &< \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} + \dots\right)}_{> 1} < \frac{1}{n!} \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

Cor: e is irrational!

Proof: Suppose $e = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Consider $e - S_n = \frac{m}{n} - \left(1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}\right)$

$$\text{Clearly, } 0 < n! (e - S_n) < n! \left(\frac{1}{n!} \cdot n\right) = \frac{1}{n}$$

This is an integer! \square