

I. Preliminaries

Motivation: A module is like a "vector space over a ring." Throughout, let R be a ring, and M an additive abelian group.

Def: M is a (left) R -module if there is scalar multiplication

$$(r, x) \mapsto rx \in M \text{ satisfying}$$

$$(i) r(x+y) = rx + ry$$

$$(ii) (r+s)x = rx + sx$$

$$(iii) (rs)x = r(sx)$$

for all $r, s \in R$, $x, y \in M$.

Note: It is easy to check that $r \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x = 0$, and $(-r)x = r(-x) = -rx$.

Right R -modules are defined similarly.

Recall: $\text{End}(M)$ is a ring.

Exercise: If M is an R -module, then \exists ring homomorphism

$$\phi: R \rightarrow \text{End}(M), \quad \phi: r \mapsto \phi_r, \quad \text{where } \phi_r(x) = rx.$$

Conversely, for any homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$, defining $rx = \phi_r(x)$ makes M into an R -module.

This can be made into an alternative and equivalent definition of R -modules.

[2]

Example:

1. If V is a vector space over a field F , then V is an F -module.
2. Any abelian group G is a \mathbb{Z} -module, since for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $x \in G$, $rx = x + x + \dots + x$ (n times).
3. Any left-ideal $I \subseteq R$ is an R -module.
4. Let $R = F[x]$, V an F -vector space, $T: V \rightarrow V$ a linear map. The pair (V, T) is a vector space with endomorphisms. Then, V is an $F[x]$ -module, where $f(x) \cdot v = f(T)(v)$, i.e., $(a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n)v = a_0 v + a_1 T(v) + \dots + a_n T^n(v)$, where $a_i \in F$, $v \in V$. We denote this module by V_T . If $1 \in R$, and M is an R -module, and $1 \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in M$, then M is a unitary R -module.

Remark: Sometimes, this assumption is built into the definition.

Examples 1, 2, 3, 4 are all unitary

Non-example: Let $S = M_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$; $1_S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$; $1_R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Then $R \subseteq S$ is a subring, and S is a left R -module.

But $1_S \neq 1_R$, so $1_R \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, i.e., $1_R x \neq x \in S$, so S is not a unitary R -module.

Def: A subgroup N of an R -module M is a submodule if $rx \in N \iff r \in R, x \in N$.

Examples:

1. If R is an F -vector space (i.e., an F -module), then a submodule is a subspace.
2. If G is an abelian group (i.e., a \mathbb{Z} -module), then a submodule is a subgroup.
3. If R is a commutative ring (i.e., an R -module), then a submodule is an ideal.
4. If V is an F -vector space with endomorphism T (i.e., an $F[x]$ -module), then a submodule is an invariant subspace, i.e., a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $T(W) \subseteq W$.

Prop 1.1: If M is an R -module, and $\{M_\alpha\}$ any nonempty collection of submodules, then $\bigcap M_\alpha$ is a submodule. \square

Def: If M is an R -module, and $S \subseteq M$, then define $R\langle S \rangle = \bigcap \{N : S \subseteq N, N \text{ is a submodule of } M\}$, the submodule of M generated by S .

Def: If $M = R\langle S \rangle$ for some $|S| < \infty$, then M is finitely generated over R , and if $|S|=1$, then M is cyclic over R .

[4]

Example: Let $F = \mathbb{Q}$, V a 2-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space, and $T: V \rightarrow V$ the linear map given by $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then V_T is a $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -module.

We can write an element in V by $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

- If $f_1(x) = x^2 - x$, then $f_1(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = A^2 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} - A \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$
 $= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Thus, if $v = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $f_1(x) \cdot v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

- If $f_2(x) = x^2 - x + 1$, then $f_2(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = A^2 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} - A \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ b \end{pmatrix}$.

Thus, if $v = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $f_2(x) \cdot v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ spans V , $M = \mathbb{Q}[x] \langle \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$, i.e., V_T is a cyclic $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ -module.

Now, consider the submodule $N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$.

Since $x \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $N = \mathbb{Q}[x] \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$, i.e.,

N is a cyclic submodule of V_T .

Note: Neither M nor N are cyclic, as additive subgroups.

Def: If M, N are R -modules, then a module homomorphism (or R -homomorphism) is a group homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(rx) = r f(x)$ $\forall r \in R$, $x \in M$. (We define an R -isomorphism, R -automorphism, etc., in the obvious fashion).

Example:

1. If V, W are F -vector spaces, then an F -homomorphism $V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map.
2. If G, H are abelian groups, then a \mathbb{Z} -homomorphism $G \rightarrow H$ is an ordinary (group) homomorphism.

The kernel and image are defined as usual, and are clearly submodules of M and N , respectively.

Denote the set of R -homomorphisms from M to N by $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$.

Prop 1.2: $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an abelian group if we define

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x). \quad \text{If } R \text{ is a commutative ring, then}$$

$\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an R -module if we define $(rf)(x) = r \cdot f(x)$:

Moreover, if R is a commutative ring with 1, and N is a unitary R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a unitary R -module.

Pf: Exercise.

Def: If M is an R -module and N a submodule, then the quotient abelian group M/N can be made into an R -module by defining $r(m+N) = rm+N$. Then, M/N is a quotient module, and $M \rightarrow M/N$, $m \mapsto m+N$ the quotient homomorphism.

Example:

1. If V is an F -vector space, then a quotient module is a quotient vector space.

6

2. For abelian groups (i.e., \mathbb{Z} -modules), a quotient module is just a quotient group.
3. If V is an F -vector space with endomorphism T , then a quotient module is a quotient vector space V/W (W an invariant subspace), with the $F[x]$ -action given by $\bar{T}: V/W \rightarrow V/W$ induced by T .

It is elementary to extend the homomorphism and isomorphism theorems from abelian groups to arbitrary R -modules.

We will state them here without proof.

Thm 1.3: (Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem for Modules):

Suppose M and N are R -modules and

$f: M \rightarrow N$ has kernel K . If

$\eta: M \rightarrow M/K$ is the canonical quotient, then $\exists!$ R -isomorphism

$g: M/K \rightarrow \text{Im } f \subseteq N$ such that $f = g\eta$.

Prop 1.4: (Correspondence Theorem). Let M, N be R -modules, $f: M \rightarrow N$ an epimorphism with kernel K . Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between the set of submodules $L \subseteq N$ and the set of submodules P such that $K \subseteq P \subseteq M$, given by $L \longleftrightarrow f^{-1}(L) = P$. In particular, each submodule of a quotient submodule has the form P/K for some submodule P , $K \subseteq P \subseteq M$.

Prop 1.5: (Freshman Theorem for Modules): Suppose K and N are submodules of an R -module M , with $K \subseteq N$. Then N/K is a submodule of M/K and $(M/K)/(N/K) \cong M/N$.

Thm 1.6: (Isomorphism Theorem for Modules). If K and N are submodules of an R -module M , then $K+N$ and $K \cap N$ are submodules of M and $(K+N)/K \cong N/(K \cap N)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M & \\ & | & \\ K+N & / \quad \backslash & \\ K \quad N & \backslash \quad / \\ K \cap N & \end{array}$$

Def: An R -module $M \neq 0$ is called simple if its only submodules are M and 0 .

Def: A sequence $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_k = 0$ of submodules of an R -module is a composition series if each M_{i+1} is a maximal (proper) submodule of M_i , or equivalently (by Correspondence Thm) if every factor M_i/M_{i+1} is simple.

Thm 1.7: (Jordan-Hölder). Suppose an R -module M has a composition series $M = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_k = 0$ and $N = N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_m = 0$

Then $k=m$ and there is a 1-1 correspondence between the sets of factors so corresponding factors are R -isomorphic.

Pf: Almost the same as Thm 5.5 (Groups).

[8]

Def: An R -module M is Noetherian if it satisfies the ascending chain condition (ACC) for submodules, i.e., given $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$, either the chain is finite or $M_n = M_k$, i.e., the chain terminates.

Prop 1.8: If M is an R -module, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) M is Noetherian
- (ii) Every submodule of M is finitely generated
- (iii) Every non-empty set $\{M_\alpha\}$ of submodules has a maximal element w.r.t. set inclusion.

Pf:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): If a submodule N is not finitely generated, choose $x_i \in N$ and set $M_i = R(x_i)$. Then choose $x_2 \in N \setminus M_1$, and set $M_2 = R(x_1, x_2)$, etc. Clearly, $M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq \dots$ ✓

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): If there is a set of submodules without a maximal element, then choose $M_1 \subsetneq M_2 \subsetneq \dots$ from the set. Put $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$, a submodule of M . Then

$N = R(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in N$, hence for each i , x_i is in some M_{j_i} .

If j_m is the largest index, then all $x_i \in M_{j_m} \Rightarrow N = M_{j_m}$, contradicting the fact that $M_i \subsetneq M_{i+1}$ for all i . ✓

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Obvious. ✓

Prop 1.9: Let K be a submodule of the R -module M , and set $N = M/K$. Then M is Noetherian iff both K and N are Noetherian.

Pf: (\Rightarrow) All submodules of K are submodules of M , so they are all finitely generated, thus Noetherian (Prop 1.8). ✓

Any ascending chain $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots$ of submodules of $N = M/K$ has the form $M_1/K \subseteq M_2/K \subseteq \dots$ with $M_i \subseteq M$ (Corresp. Thm) since $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$ terminates, so does $M_1/K \subseteq M_2/K \subseteq \dots$. Therefore, N is Noetherian. ✓

(\Leftarrow) Let $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of submodules of M . Then $M_1 \cap K \subseteq M_2 \cap K \subseteq \dots$ terminates, say at $M_j \cap K$. Likewise, $(M_1 + K)/K \subseteq (M_2 + K)/K \subseteq \dots$ terminates, say at $(M_m + K)/K$.

Set $n = \max\{j, m\}$.

If $k \geq n$ and $x \in M_k$, then $(M_n + K)/K = (M_m + K)/K$, so $x + K = y + K$ for some $y \in M_n$.

But then $x - y \in M_k \cap K = M_n \cap K \Rightarrow x \in M_n$.

Thus, $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$ terminates at $M_n \Rightarrow M$ is Noetherian. ✓

