

2. Duality.

Let X be a vector space over a field K . Recall that a scalar function $\ell: X \rightarrow K$ is linear if

$$\ell(x+y) = \ell(x) + \ell(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(ax) = a\ell(x) \quad \forall x, y \in X, a \in K,$$

or equivalently, if $\ell(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n) = a_1\ell(x_1) + \dots + a_n\ell(x_n)$,
for all $a_i \in K, x_i \in X$.

The set of linear functions $X \rightarrow K$ itself forms a linear space, called the dual of X , denoted X' .

Addition and scalar multiplication is defined naturally:

* Addition: $(\ell + m)(x) = \ell(x) + m(x)$

* Scalar mult: $(a\ell)(x) = a\ell(x)$.

Example 1: Let $X = \{\text{continuous functions } [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$.

Let $s_1, \dots, s_n \in [0,1]$. Then the following are all linear functions:

(i) $\ell(f) = f(s_1)$

(ii) $\ell(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(s_i), \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) $\ell(f) = \int_0^1 f(s) ds$.

Example 2: Let $X = \{n\text{-differentiable functions } [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$. For $s \in [0,1]$,

$$\ell(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial^i f(s) \quad \text{is a linear function.}$$

[2]

Theorem 2.1: Let X be a vector space of dimension $n < \infty$. Write elements of X as n -tuples $x = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$, $c_i \in K$, where addition and scalar multiplication is componentwise. Fix $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$, and the function $\ell(x) = a_1c_1 + \dots + a_nc_n$ is linear.

Conversely, every linear function $\ell: X \rightarrow K$ can be expressed like this.

Proof: It is obvious that $\ell(x) = a_1c_1 + \dots + a_nc_n$ is linear. ^{pos. i}
 For the converse, let $\ell: X \rightarrow K$ be linear. Let $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$
 Then $x = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ can be expressed as $x = c_1e_1 + \dots + c_ne_n$.
 Put $a_i = \ell(e_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. This works. (Check!) □

Thus, we can think of vectors $x \in X$ as n -tuples of scalars, and linear maps $\ell \in X'$ as n -tuples of scalars as well. In both cases, addition and scalar multiplication works componentwise.

Thus, we deduce the following:

Theorem 2.2: The dual X' of a finite-dimensional vector space X is a finite dimensional vector space, and $\dim X' = \dim X$.

A linear function ℓ applied to a vector $x \in X$ depends on the n -tuple (c_1, \dots, c_n) for x , and (a_1, \dots, a_n) for ℓ . We use a scalar product notation $(\ell, x) := \ell(x)$.

3

Remark: If $\dim X = n < \infty$, then $X \cong K^n$ (exercise), and so by Theorem 2.2, $X \cong X'$.

This fails if $\dim X = \infty$.

Example: Let $\ell^1 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) : a_i \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i| < \infty\}$.

If $x, y \in \ell^1$, with $x = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, $y = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$,

then $(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i b_i < \infty$, so every $y \in \ell^1$ defines

a linear function $\ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $\ell^1 \subseteq (\ell^1)'$ (under the natural identification of ℓ^1 with elements in $(\ell^1)'$).

But there are others!

Note that if $z = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ then $(x, z) = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots < \infty$.

But $z \notin \ell^1$.

* The scalar product is a bilinear function of l and x . That is, for fixed l (resp. x) it is a linear function of x (resp. l).

Equivalently, $(al, x) = a(l, x) = (l, ax)$ for all $x \in X$, $l \in X'$, $a \in K$.

$$a l(x) \xrightarrow{\quad} \xleftarrow{\quad} l(ax)$$

(4)

Since X' is a vector space, it has a dual X'' consisting of all linear functions on X' .

For a fixed $x \in X$, the scalar product is such a linear function.

By Theorem 2.1, all linear functions $X' \rightarrow K$ are of this form.

Theorem 2.3: The bilinear (l, x) gives a natural identification (isomorphism) of X with X'' .

Explicitly, this is: $X \longrightarrow X'' = \{X' \rightarrow K\}$

$$x \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} X' \rightarrow K \\ l \xrightarrow{(l,x)} l(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

Def: Let Y be a subspace of X . The set of linear functions l that vanish on Y , i.e., $\{l \in X': l(y) = 0 \ \forall y \in Y\}$ is the annihilator of Y , denoted Y^\perp (or Y^0 or $\text{ann}(Y)$).

It's easily verified that Y^\perp is a subspace of X' .

Theorem 2.4: Let Y be a subspace of a finite dimensional space X . Then $\dim Y^\perp + \dim Y = \dim X$.

[5]

Proof: We will construct a natural isomorphism $\Phi: Y^\perp \rightarrow (X/Y)'$

$$l \xmapsto{\Phi} L$$

Define the map $L\{x\} = l(x)$, that is, $L: X/Y \rightarrow K$

$$\{x\} \longmapsto l(x)$$

Need to check this is well-defined, i.e., that it does not depend on the choice of $x \in \{x\}$.

Indeed: If $x \equiv x' \pmod{Y}$, then $x' = x + y$ for some $y \in Y$

$$\Rightarrow l(x') = l(x+y) = l(x) + l(y) = l(x) \quad \checkmark$$

Also note that L is linear and injective (both easy.)

Conversely, any such L in $(X/Y)'$ defines a linear function

$l: X'$ satisfying $l(y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in Y$.

Thus, $\Phi: l \mapsto L$ is a bijection & defines an isomorphism, so

$$\dim Y^\perp = \dim (X/Y)' \quad (*)$$

$$\text{We now have } \dim X = \dim Y + \dim (X/Y) \quad \text{Thm 1.6}$$

$$= \dim Y + \dim (X/Y)' \quad \text{Thm 2.2}$$

$$= \dim Y + \dim Y^\perp \quad (*)$$

]

Def: The dimension of Y^\perp is the codimension of Y in X , denoted $\text{codim } Y$.

(6)

By Theorem 2.4, $\text{codim } Y + \dim Y = \dim X$.

* Since Y^\perp is a subspace of X' , its annihilator, denoted $Y^{\perp\perp}$ is a subspace of X'' .

Theorem 2.5: Let Y be a subspace of X , finite-dimensional.

Identifying X with X'' (henceforth), we have $Y^{\perp\perp} = Y$.

Proof: Pick $y \in Y$, consider $l \in Y^\perp$.

By def'n, $(l, y) = l(y) = 0 \Rightarrow Y \subseteq Y^{\perp\perp}$. (under $Y \hookrightarrow X''$)

To show $Y = Y^{\perp\perp}$, it suffices to show $\dim Y = \dim Y^{\perp\perp}$

$$\text{we have } \dim Y^{\perp\perp} + \dim Y^\perp = \dim X' \quad \text{Thm 2.4}$$

$$= \dim X \quad \text{Thm 2.2}$$

$$= \dim Y^\perp + \dim Y \quad \text{Thm 2.4}$$

□

Remark: We can also define the annihilator of an arbitrary subset $S \subseteq X$ to be $S^\perp = \{l \in X': l(s) = 0 \ \forall s \in S\}$.

Theorem 2.6: Let $S \subseteq X$; finite dim'l. Let Y be the smallest subspace containing S ($= \text{Span}(S)$, or $= \bigcap_{\substack{\text{Subspace } T \\ T \supseteq S}} T$).

Then $S^\perp = Y^\perp$

Proof: Exercise.