

## Lecture 5.3: Why RSA works

Matthew Macauley

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Clemson University  
<http://www.math.clemson.edu/~macaule/>

Math 4190, Discrete Mathematical Structures

## Generating large prime numbers

To implement RSA, we need to be able to generate large prime numbers.

In practice, this is basically done by “*guess and check.*” To see both why and how this works, we’ll need a little bit of number theory.

### Prime number theorem

The probability that a random number  $n$  is prime is approximately  $1/(\ln n)$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \text{proportion of numbers } \leq n \text{ that are prime} \right) = \frac{1}{\ln n}.$$

The chances of a random 9-digit number being prime is approx. 4% (i.e., 1 in 25). For a 200-digit number, this is approx. 0.2% (i.e., 1 in 500).

### Heuristic for finding a large prime

```
while (true) {  
    let  $n$  be a random 200-digit number;  
    if ( $n$  is prime) \\ How to check this??  
        return  $n$ ;  
}
```

## Checking whether a large number is prime

The **Fermat primality test** is a probabilistic method to determine whether a number is (“probably”) prime. It relies on the following result.

### Fermat’s little theorem

For any prime  $p$  and integer  $a$ ,

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that  $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . If  $a = 0$ , this trivially holds.

Otherwise,  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ . This means that  $a$  has a multiplicative inverse, modulo  $p$ .

Multiplying both sides by this inverse  $a^{-1}$  yields

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

We now have the following heuristic for testing for primes:

### Fermat primality test

Given a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , compute  $a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$  for many random values of  $a < n$ .

- If  $a^n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for some  $a$ , then  $n$  **must be composite**.
- If  $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for every  $a$  that we try, then  $n$  is “**probably prime**.”

# Proof of Fermat's little theorem

## Fermat's little theorem (restated)

For any prime  $p$  and integer  $a$  with  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

## Proof

Assume without loss of generality that  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ .

Consider the list of numbers

$$a, 2a, 3a, \dots, (p-1)a.$$

Claim: No two of these are equivalent modulo  $p$ .

To see why, suppose that  $ka \equiv \ell a \pmod{p}$ .

Multiplying by  $a^{-1} \pmod{p}$  yields  $k \equiv \ell \pmod{p}$ .

Thus,

$$a \cdot 2a \cdot 3a \cdots (p-1)a \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (p-1) \pmod{p}.$$

Rearranging terms, we get

$$a^{p-1}(p-1)! \equiv (p-1)! \pmod{p} \implies a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$



## Fermat primality test

### Fermat primality test (revisited)

Given a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , compute  $a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$  for many random values of  $a < n$ .

- If  $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , then  $n$  must be composite. We say  $a$  is a **Fermat witness**.
- If  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , there are two cases:
  1.  $n$  is prime.
  2.  $n$  is composite;  $a$  is called a **Fermat liar**.

### Lemma

If a composite number  $n$  has a Fermat witness, then **at least half** of all numbers  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  that are relatively prime to  $n$  are Fermat witnesses.

### Proof (sketch)

Consider a Fermat witness  $a$  and Fermat liar  $b$  for  $n$ . Then

$$(ab)^{n-1} = \underbrace{a^{n-1}}_{\not\equiv 1} \cdot \underbrace{b^{n-1}}_{\equiv 1} \equiv a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

In other words, every Fermat liar  $b$  has a corresponding Fermat witness  $ab$ . □

## Carmichael numbers

We just saw how if  $n$  has a Fermat witness, then it has many Fermat witnesses.

But... is it possible that  $n$  is composite, but has *no* Fermat witnesses?

Unfortunately, the answer is YES, but this is very rare.

### Definition

A **Carmichael number** is a composite number  $n$  for which

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

holds for all  $a = 1, \dots, n-1$  relatively prime to  $n$ .

The first few Carmichael numbers are 561, 1105, 1729, 2465, 2821, 6601, 8911, ...

For 100-digit numbers, less than 1 in  $10^{30}$  are Carmichael numbers. For 200-digit numbers, the chances are even less.

### Take-away message

If we randomly choose a 200-digit number  $n$ , and test  $\approx 100$  different values of  $a$  without getting a Fermat witness, then we can be almost certain that  $n$  is prime.

## Fermat primality test

### Algorithm

**Input:** Integer  $n > 0$ .

```
is_composite = FALSE;
```

```
for ( $i = 1, \dots, 100$ ) {
```

```
    pick a random number  $a_i$ ; relatively prime to  $n$ ;
```

```
    if ( $a_i^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ )                                \ \ a_i is a Fermat witness
```

```
        is_composite = TRUE;
```

```
    end;
```

```
}
```

```
if (is_composite == FALSE)
```

```
    print "chances that n is composite is less than 1 in  $2^{100} \approx 10^{30}$ ";
```

```
else if (is_composite == TRUE)
```

```
    print "n is composite";
```

Now that we know how to actually generate and compute with large primes, we can turn our attention to *why* the RSA encryption and decryption functions actually work.

# Why RSA encryption and decryption work

## Theorem

Let  $n = pq$  and  $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$ .

Given a message  $m < n$  with  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , set  $c = m^e \pmod{n}$ . Then  $c^d \equiv m \pmod{n}$ .

## Proof

Lemma.  $m^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Proof. Since  $\gcd(m^{q-1}, p) = 1$ , Fermat's little theorem says

$$(m^{q-1})^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Similarly,

$$(m^{p-1})^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}.$$

Thus, for some  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$m^{(p-1)(q-1)} = 1 + kp = 1 + \ell p.$$

This means that  $m^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1$  is a multiple of both  $p$  and  $q$ , and so

$$m^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1 = bpq, \quad \text{for some } b \in \mathbb{Z},$$

completing the proof of the Lemma. ✓



# Why RSA encryption and decryption work

## Theorem

Let  $n = pq$  and  $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$ .

Given a message  $m < n$  with  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , set  $c = m^e \pmod{n}$ . Then  $c^d \equiv m \pmod{n}$ .

## Proof

Lemma (established).  $m^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

We know  $c^d \equiv m^{ed} \pmod{n}$ , and need to show  $c^d \equiv m \pmod{n}$ . Thus, it suffices to show

$$m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{n}.$$

Note that  $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)} \Leftrightarrow \exists j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ed = 1 + j(p-1)(q-1)$ .

Now,

$$m^{ed} = m^{1+j(p-1)(q-1)} = m \cdot m^{j(p-1)(q-1)} = m \cdot \underbrace{\left(m^{(p-1)(q-1)}\right)^j}_{\equiv 1, \text{ by Lemma}} \equiv m \pmod{n}.$$

□