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## Definitions and notation

Recall the definition of a subgroup.

## Definition

A subgroup of $G$ is a subset $H \subseteq G$ that is also a group. We denote this by $H \leq G$.
Writing $C_{2} \leq D_{3}$ means there is a copy of $C_{2}$ sitting inside of $D_{3}$ as a subgroup.
We must be careful, because there might be multiple copies:

$$
C_{2} \cong\langle f\rangle=\{1, f\} \leq D_{3}, \quad C_{2} \cong\langle r f\rangle=\{1, r f\} \leq D_{3}
$$

Some books will write things like

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{3} \leq D_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{3} \leq S_{3}
$$

but we will try to avoid this, because $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \nsubseteq D_{3}$ and $C_{3} \nsubseteq S_{3}$. Instead, we can write

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{3} \cong\langle r\rangle \leq D_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{3} \cong\langle(123)\rangle \leq S_{3} .
$$

## Remark

It is usually prefered to express a subgroup by its generator(s).

The two groups of order 4
Let's start by considering the subgroup of the two groups of order 4.


■ Proper subgroups of $V_{4}:\langle h\rangle=\{e, h\},\langle v\rangle=\{e, v\},\langle r\rangle=\{e, r\},\langle e\rangle=\{e\}$.
■ Subgroups of $C_{4}:\langle r\rangle=\left\{1, r, r^{2}, r^{3}\right\}=\left\langle r^{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle=\left\{1, r^{2}\right\},\langle 1\rangle=\{1\}$.
It is illustrative to arrange these in a subgroup lattice:
Order: 4


## The subgroup lattice of $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$

Consider the group $\mathbb{Z}_{6}=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$. Its subgroups are
$\langle 0\rangle=\{0\}$,
$\langle 1\rangle=\mathbb{Z}_{6}=\langle 5\rangle$,
$\langle 2\rangle=\{0,2,4\}=\langle 4\rangle$,
$\langle 3\rangle=\{0,3\}$.

Different choices of Cayley graphs can highlight different subgroups.


## Tip

It will be essential to learn the subgroup lattices of our standard examples of groups.

## The subgroup lattice of $D_{3}$

Let's construct the subgroup lattice of $G=D_{3}$.
In any group $G$, every element $g \in D_{3}$ generates a cyclic subgroup, $\langle g\rangle \leq G$.
For small groups like $D_{3}$, these are the only proper subgroups.
Here are the non-trivial proper subgroups of $D_{3}$ :
$\langle r\rangle=\left\{1, r, r^{2}\right\}=\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle$,
$\langle f\rangle=\{1, f\}$,
$\langle r f\rangle=\{1, r f\}$,
$\left\langle r^{2} f\right\rangle=\left\{1, r^{2} f\right\}$,
$\langle 1\rangle=\{1\}$.

Note that some subgroups are visually apparent in the Cayley graph and/or cycle graph, whereas others aren't.


Order: 6

## Intersections of subgroups

## Proposition (exercise)

For any collection $\left\{H_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\right\}$ of subgroups of $G$, the intersection $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} H_{\alpha}$ is a subgroup.

Every subset $S \subseteq G$, not necessarily finite, generates a subgroup, denoted

$$
\langle S\rangle=\left\{s_{1}^{e_{1}} s_{2}^{e_{2}} \cdots s_{k}^{e_{k}} \mid s_{i} \in S, e_{i}=\{1,-1\}\right\} .
$$

That is, $\langle S\rangle$ consists finite words built from elements in $S$ and their inverses.

## Proposition (proof on board)

For any $S \subseteq G$, the subgroup $\langle S\rangle$ is the intersection of all subgroups containing $S$ :

$$
\langle S\rangle=\bigcap_{S \subseteq H_{\alpha} \leq G} H_{\alpha},
$$

That is, the subgroup generated by $S$ is the smallest subgroup containing $S$.

- Think of the LHS as the subgroup built "from the bottom up"
- Think of the RHS as the subgroup built "from the top down"

There are a number of mathematical objects that can be viewed in these two ways.

## The defining property of lattices

A lattice is a partially ordered set such that every pair of elements $x, y$ has a unique:
■ supremum, or least upper bound, $x \vee y$

- infimum, or greatest lower bound, $x \wedge y$.

Examples that we're familiar with are subset lattices and divisor lattices.
$x \vee y=x \cup y$

$$
x \wedge y=x \cap y
$$




$$
x \vee y=\operatorname{lcm}(x, y)
$$

$$
x \wedge y=\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)
$$

The intersection $H \cap K$ of two subgroups is the largest subgroup contained in both of them.
Their union $H \cup K$ is not a subgroup (unless one contains the other). But it generates $\langle H, K\rangle$, the smallest subgroup containing both of them.

$H \vee K$ : "smallest subgroup above both $H$ and $K$ "
$H \wedge K$ : "largest subgroup below both $H$ and $K$ "

## The subgroup lattice of $D_{4}$

The subgroups of $D_{4}$ are:

- The entire group $D_{4}$, and the trivial group $\langle 1\rangle$

■ 4 subgroups generated by reflections: $\langle f\rangle,\langle r f\rangle,\left\langle r^{2} f\right\rangle,\left\langle r^{3} f\right\rangle$.
■ 1 subgroup generated by a $180^{\circ}$ rotation, $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle \cong C_{2}$
■ 1 subgroup generated by a $90^{\circ}$ rotation, $\langle r\rangle \cong C_{4}$

- 2 subgroups isomorphic to $V_{4}$ : $\left\langle r^{2}, f\right\rangle,\left\langle r^{2}, r f\right\rangle$.


The subgroup lattice of $D_{4}$


The subgroup lattice of $D_{4}$


The subgroup lattice of $Q_{8}$

Let's determine all subgroups of the quaternion group

$$
Q_{8}=\left\langle i, j, k \mid i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=i j k=-1\right\rangle .
$$

Every element generates a cyclic subgroup:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle 1\rangle=\{1\}, \quad\langle-1\rangle=\{ \pm 1\}, & \langle i\rangle=\langle-i\rangle=\{ \pm 1, \pm i\}, \\
\langle j\rangle=\langle-j\rangle=\{ \pm 1, \pm j\}, & \langle k\rangle=\langle k\rangle=\{ \pm 1, \pm k\} .
\end{aligned}
$$



## Subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$

We've seen the subgroup lattices of two groups of order 8:

- $D_{4}$ has five elements of order 2 , and 10 subgroups.

■ $Q_{8}$ has one element of order 2 , and 6 subgroups.
■ $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ has seven elements of order 2 .

## Rule of thumb

Groups with elements of small order tend to have more subgroups than those with elements of large order.

The following Cayley graphs show three different subgroups of order 4 in $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

$\langle 100,010\rangle$

$\langle 100,011\rangle$

$\langle 110,011\rangle$

## The subgroup lattices of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$

All $\binom{7}{2}=21$ pairs of non-identity element elements generate a subgroup isomorphic to $V_{4}$. But this triple-counts all such subgroups. In summary, the subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ are:

- The subgroups $G$ and $\{000\}$,

■ 7 subgroups isomorphic to $C_{2}$,
■ 7 subgroups isomorphic to $V_{4}$.


$$
\mathbb{Z}_{8}=\langle 1\rangle
$$

## Groups of order 8

There is one more group of order 8 whose subgroup lattice we have not yet seen.


Let's summarize the sizes of the subgroups of the groups of order 8 that we have seen.

|  | $C_{8}$ | $Q_{8}$ | $C_{4} \times C_{2}$ | $D_{4}$ | $C_{2}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# elts. of order 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \# elts. of order 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| \# elts. of order 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| \# elts. of order 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \# subgroups | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 16 |

## Observations

- Groups that have more elements of small order tend to have more subgroups.
- In all of these cases, the order of each subgroup divides $|G|$.


## A useful shortcut

Often, we'll need to verify that some $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup. This requires checking

1. Identity: $e \in H$.
2. Inverses: If $h \in H$, then $h^{-1} \in H$.
3. Closure: If $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H$, then $h_{1} h_{2} \in H$.

There is a better way to check whether $H$ is a subgroup.

## One-step subgroup test

A subset $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup if and only if if the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } x, y \in H \text {, then } x y^{-1} \in H \text {. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

$" \Rightarrow$ ": Suppose $H \leq G$, and pick $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H$. Then $h_{2}^{-1} \in H$, and by closure, $h_{1} h_{2}^{-1} \in H$. $\checkmark$
" $\Leftarrow$ ": Suppose Eq. (1) holds, and take any $h \in H$.
■ Identity: Take $x=y=h$. By Eq. (1), $x y^{-1}=h h^{-1}=e \in H$.
■ Inverses: Take $x=e, y=h$. By Eq. (1), $x y^{-1}=e h^{-1}=h^{-1} \in H$.

- Closure: Take $x=h_{1}$ and $y=h_{2}^{-1}$. By Eq. (1),

$$
x y^{-1}=h_{1}\left(h_{2}^{-1}\right)^{-1}=h_{1} h_{2} \in H .
$$

## Subgroups of cyclic groups

## Proposition

Every subgroup of a cyclic group is cyclic.

## Proof

Let $H \leq G=\langle x\rangle$, and $|H|>1$.
Note that $H=\left\{x^{k} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Let $x^{k}$ be the smallest positive power of $x$ in $H$.
We'll show that all elements of $H$ have the form $\left(x^{k}\right)^{m}=x^{k m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Take any other $x^{\ell} \in H$, with $\ell>0$.
Use the division algorithm to write $\ell=q k+r$, for some remainder where $0 \leq r<k$.
We have $x^{\ell}=x^{q k+r}$, and hence

$$
x^{r}=x^{\ell-q k}=x^{\ell} x^{-q k}=x^{\ell}\left(x^{k}\right)^{-q} \in H .
$$

Minimality of $k>0$ forces $r=0$.

## Corollary

The subgroup of $G=\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ is $\left\langle\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

## Subgroups of cyclic groups

If $d$ divides $n$, then $\langle d\rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ has order $n / d$. Moreover, all cyclic subgroups have this form.

## Corollary

The subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ are of the form $\langle d\rangle$ for every divisor $d$ of $n$.


The order of each subgroup can be read off from the divisor lattice of 24 .

## The idea of cosets

By the regularity property of Cayley graphs, identical copies of the fragment that corresponds to a subgroup appears throughout the graph.


Of course, only one of these is actually a subgroup; the others don't contain the identity.
These are called left cosets of $H=\langle f\rangle$.

## Informal definition

To find the left coset $x H$ in a Cayley graph, carry out the the following steps:

1. starting from the identity, follow a path to get to $x$
2. from $x$, follow all "H-paths".

Cosets, formally

## Definition

If $H \leq G$, then a left coset is a set

$$
x H=\{x h \mid h \in H\}
$$

for some fixed $x \in G$ called the representative. Similarly, we can define a right coset as

$$
H x=\{h x \mid h \in H\}
$$

Let's look at the right cosets of $H=\langle f\rangle$ in $D_{4}$.


## Left vs. right cosets

- The left coset $r \mathrm{H}$ in $D_{4}$ : first go to $r$, then traverse all " $H$-paths".

■ The right coset Hr in $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ : first traverse all H -paths, then traverse the $r$ path.

$r H=r\{1, f\}=\{r, r f\}=r f\{f, 1\}=r f H$

$H r=\{1, f\} r=\left\{r, r^{3} f\right\}=\{f, 1\} r^{3} f=H r^{3} f$

Left cosets look like copies of the subgroup. Right cosets are usually scattered, because we adopted the convention that arrows in a Cayley graph represent right multiplication.

## Key point

Left and right cosets are generally different.

## Left vs. right cosets

Let's look at the left and right cosets of a different subgroup, $N=\langle r\rangle$.

- The left coset $f N$ in $D_{4}$ : first go to $f$, then traverse all " $N$-paths".
- The right coset $N f$ in $D_{4}$ : first traverse all $N$-paths, then traverse the $f$ path.


$$
f N=f\left\{1, r, r^{2}, r^{3}\right\}=\left\{f, f r, f r^{2}, f r^{3}\right\}
$$



$$
N f=\left\{1, r, r^{2}, r^{3}\right\} f=\left\{f, r f, r^{2} f, r^{3} f\right\}
$$

## Remarks

- There are multiple representatives for the same coset:

$$
f N=r f N=r^{2} f N=r^{3} f N, \quad N f=N r f=N r^{2} f=N r^{3} f .
$$

■ For this subgroup, each left coset is a right coset. Such a subgroup is called normal.

## Basic properties of cosets

The following results should be "visually clear" from the Cayley graphs and regularity.

## Proposition

Each (left) coset can have multiple representatives: if $b \in a H$, then $a H=b H$.

## Proof

Since $b \in a H$, we can write $b=a h$, for some $h \in H$. That is, $h=a^{-1} b$ and $a=b h^{-1}$.
To show that $a H=b H$, we need to verify both $a H \subseteq b H$ and $a H \supseteq b H$.
" $\subseteq$ ": Take $a h_{1} \in a H$. We need to write it as $b h_{2}$, for some $h_{2} \in H$. By substitution,

$$
a h_{1}=\left(b h^{-1}\right) h_{1}=b\left(h^{-1} h_{1}\right) \in b H .
$$

"?": Pick $b h_{3} \in b H$. We need to write it as $a h_{4}$ for some $h_{4} \in H$. By substitution,

$$
b h_{3}=(a h) h_{3}=a\left(h h_{3}\right) \in a H .
$$

Therefore, $a H=b H$, as claimed.

## Corollary (boring but useful)

The equality $x H=H$ holds if and only if $x \in H$. (And analogously, for $H x=H$.)

## Basic properties of cosets

## Proposition

For any subgroup $H \leq G$, the (left) cosets of $H$ partition the group $G$.

## Proof

We know that the element $g \in G$ lies in a (left) coset of $H$, namely $g H$. Uniqueness follows because if $g \in k H$, then $g H=k H$.

## Proposition

All (left) cosets of $H \leq G$ have the same size.

## Proof

It suffices to show that $|x H|=|H|$, for any $x \in H$.
Define a map

$$
\phi: H \longrightarrow x H, \quad h \longmapsto x h .
$$

It is elementary to show that this is a bijection.

## Lagrange's theorem

## Remark

For any subgroup $H \leq G$, the left cosets of $H$ partition $G$ into subsets of equal size.
The right cosets also partition $G$ into subsets of equal size, but they may be different.

Let's compare these two partitions for the subgroup $H=\langle f\rangle$ of $G=D_{4}$.

| $H$ | $r^{2} H$ | $r H$ | $r^{3} H$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f$ | $r^{2} f$ | $r f$ | $r^{3}$ |
| 1 | $r^{2}$ | $r$ | $r^{3} f$ |



## Definition

The index of a subgroup $H$ of $G$, written [ $G: H$ ], is the number of distinct left (or equivalently, right) cosets of $H$ in $G$.

## Lagrange's theorem

If $H$ is a subgroup of finite group $G$, then $|G|=[G: H] \cdot|H|$.

## The tower law

## Proposition

Let $G$ be a finite group and $K \leq H \leq G$ be a chain of subgroups. Then

$$
[G: K]=[G: H][H: K] .
$$

Here is a "proof by picture":

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[G: H]=\# \text { of cosets of } H \text { in } G} \\
& {[H: K]=\# \text { of cosets of } K \text { in } H} \\
& {[G: K]=\# \text { of cosets of } K \text { in } G}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $z H$ | $z_{1} \mathrm{~K}$ | $z_{2} K$ | $z_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ | $\cdots$ | $z_{n} K$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | : | : | . | : |
| $a \mathrm{H}$ | $a_{1} \mathrm{~K}$ | $a_{2} K$ | $\mathrm{a}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{n} K$ |
| H | K | $h_{2} \mathrm{~K}$ | $h_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ | $\ldots$ | $h_{n} \mathrm{~K}$ |

## Proof

By Lagrange's theorem,

$$
[G: H][H: K]=\frac{|G|}{|H|} \cdot \frac{|H|}{|K|}=\frac{|G|}{|K|}=[G: K] .
$$

## The tower law

Another way to visualize the tower law involves subgroup lattices.
It is often helpful to label the edge from $H$ to $K$ in a subgroup lattice with the index $[H: K]$.


## The tower law and subgroup lattices

For any two subgroups $K \leq H$ of $G$, the index of $K$ in $H$ is just the products of the edge labels of any path from $H$ to $K$.

## Cosets in additive groups

In any abelian group, left cosets and right cosets coincide, because

$$
x H=\{x h \mid h \in H\}=\{h x \mid h \in H\}=H x .
$$

In abelian groups written additively, like $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$, left cosets are written not as $a H$, but

$$
a+H=\{a+h \mid h \in H\} .
$$

For example, let $G=\mathbb{Z}$. The cosets of the subgroup $H=4 \mathbb{Z}=\{4 k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =\{\ldots,-12,-8,-4,0,4,8,12, \ldots\}=H \\
1+H & =\{\ldots,-11,-7,-3,1,5,9,13, \ldots\}=H+1 \\
2+H & =\{\ldots,-10,-6,-2,2,6,10,14, \ldots\}=H+2 \\
3+H & =\{\ldots,-9,-5,-1,3,7,11,15, \ldots\}=H+3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $3 H$ would be interpreted to mean the subgroup $3(4 \mathbb{Z})=12 \mathbb{Z}$.

$\langle(0,1)\rangle+(1,0)$

Equality of sets vs. equality of elements

## Caveat!

An equality of cosets $x H=H x$ as sets does not imply an equality of elements $x h=h x$.


## Proposition

If $[G: H]=2$, then both left cosets of $H$ are also right cosets.

## The center of a group

Even though $x H=H x$ does not imply $x h=h x$ for all $h \in H$, the converse holds.
Even in a nonabelian group, there may be elements that commute with everything.

## Definition

The center of $G$ is the set

$$
Z(G)=\{z \in G \mid g z=z g, \quad \forall g \in G\} .
$$

If $z \in Z(G)$, we say that $z$ is central in $G$.

## Examples

Let's think about what elements commute with everything in the following groups:

- $Z\left(D_{4}\right)=\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle=\left\{1, r^{2}\right\}$

■ $Z\left(\mathrm{Frz}_{1}\right)=\langle v\rangle=\{1, v\}$

- $Z\left(D_{3}\right)=\{1\}$
- $Z\left(S_{4}\right)=\{e\}$
- $Z\left(Q_{8}\right)=\langle-1\rangle=\{1,-1\}$
- $Z\left(A_{4}\right)=\{e\}$

Clearly, if $H \leq Z(G)$, then $x H=H x$ for all $x \in G$.

## The center of a group

## Proposition

For any group $G$, the center $Z(G)$ is a subgroup.

## Proof

- Identity: $e g=g e$ for all $g \in G$.

■ Inverses: Take $z \in Z(G)$. For any $g \in G$, we know that $z g=g z$.
Multipy this on the left and right by $z^{-1}$ :

$$
g z^{-1}=z^{-1}(z g) z^{-1}=z^{-1}(g z) z^{-1}=z^{-1} g .
$$

Therefore, $z^{-1} \in Z(G)$.

- Closure: Suppose $z_{1}, z_{2} \in Z(G)$. Then for any $g \in G$,

$$
\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right) g=z_{1}\left(z_{2} g\right)=z_{1}\left(g z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1} g\right) z_{2}=\left(g z_{1}\right) z_{2}=g\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)
$$

Therefore, $z_{1} z_{2} \in Z(G)$.

## Normal subgroups and normalizers

Given a subgroup $H$ of $G$, it is natural to ask the following question:
How many left cosets of $H$ are right cosets?


Partition of $G$ by the left cosets of $H$


Partition of $G$ by the right cosets of $H$

■ "Best case" scenario: all of them
■ "Worst case" scenario: only H
■ In general: somewhere between these two extremes

## Definition

A subgroup $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ if $g H=H g$ for all $g \in G$. We write $H \unlhd G$.
The normalizer of $H$, denoted $N_{G}(H)$, is the set of elements $g \in G$ such that $g H=H g$ :

$$
N_{G}(H)=\{g \in G \mid g H=H g\},
$$

i.e., the union of left cosets that are also right cosets.

## Examples of normal sugroups

We've seen cases where we know a subgroup will be normal without having to check.

1. The subgroup $H=G$ is always normal. The only left coset is also the only right coset:

$$
e G=G=G e
$$

2. The subgroup $H=\{e\}$ is always normal. The left and right cosets are singletons sets:

$$
g H=\{g\}=H g .
$$

3. Subgroups $H$ of index 2 are normal. The two cosets (left or right) are $H$ and $G-H$.
4. Subgroups of abelian groups are always normal, because for any $H \leq G$,

$$
a H=\{a h \mid h \in H\}=\{h a \mid h \in H\}=H a .
$$

5. Subgroups $H \leq Z(G)$ are always normal, for the same reason as above.

Normalizers are subgroups

## Theorem

For any $H \leq G$, we have $N_{G}(H) \leq G$.

## Proof

- Identity: $e \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{He}$.

■ Inverses: Suppose $g H=H g$. Multiply on the left and right by $g^{-1}$ :

$$
H g^{-1}=g^{-1}(g H) g^{-1}=g^{-1}(H g) g^{-1}=g^{-1} H
$$

- Closure: Suppose $g_{1} H=H g_{1}$ and $g_{2} H=H g_{2}$. Then

$$
\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right) H=g_{1}\left(g_{2} H\right)=g_{1}\left(H g_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1} H\right) g_{2}=\left(H g_{1}\right) g_{2}=H\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right) .
$$

## Corollary

Every subgroup is normal in its normalizer:

$$
H \unlhd N_{G}(H) \leq G .
$$

## Proof

By definition, $g H=H g$ for all $g \in N_{G}(H)$. Therefore, $H \unlhd N_{G}(H)$.

How to spot the normalizer in a Cayley graph
If we "collapse" $G$ by the left cosets of $H$ and disallow $H$-arrows, then $N_{G}(H)$ consists of the cosets that are reachable from H by a unique path.


We can get from $H$ to $r H$ multiple ways: via $r$ or $r^{5}$.
The only way to get from $H$ to $r^{3} H$ is via the path $r^{3}$.

## Remark

The normalizer of the subgroup $H=\langle f\rangle$ of $D_{n}$ is

$$
N_{D_{n}}(H)= \begin{cases}H \cup r^{n / 2} H=\left\{1, f, r^{n / 2}, r^{n / 2} f\right\} & n \text { even } \\ H=\{1, f\} & n \text { odd. } .\end{cases}
$$

The subgroup lattice of $A_{4}$


Going forward, we will consider the following three subgroups of $A_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\langle(12)(34),(13)(24)\rangle=\{e,(12)(34),(13)(24),(14)(23)\} \\
& H=\langle(123)\rangle=\{e,(123),(132)\} \\
& K=\langle(12)(34)\rangle=\{e,(12)(34)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each one, its normalizer lies between it and $A_{4}$ (inclusive) on the subgroup lattice.

## Three subgroups of $A_{4}$

The normalizer of each subgroup consists of the elements in the blue left cosets.
Here, take $a=(123), x=(12)(34), \quad z=(13)(24)$, and $b=(234)$.


| $(14)(23)$ | $(142)$ | $(143)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(13)(24)$ | $(243)$ | $(124)$ |
| $(12)(34)$ | $(134)$ | $(234)$ |
| $e$ | $(123)$ | $(132)$ |

$\left[A_{4}: N_{A_{4}}(H)\right]=4$
"normal"
"fully unnormal"

| $(124)$ | $(234)$ | $(143)$ | $(132)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(123)$ | $(243)$ | $(142)$ | $(134)$ |
| $e$ | $(12)(34)$ | $(13)(24)$ | $(14)(23)$ | | $\left[A_{4}: N_{A_{4}}(K)\right]=3$ |
| :---: |${ }^{\text {"moderately unnormal" }}$

## The degree of normality

Let $H \leq G$ have index $[G: H]=n<\infty$. Let's define a term that describes:
"the proportion of cosets that are blue"

## Definition

Let $H \leq G$ with $[G: H]=n<\infty$. The degree of normality of $H$ is

$$
\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{\triangleleft}(H):=\frac{\left|N_{G}(H)\right|}{|G|}=\frac{1}{\left[G: N_{G}(H)\right]}=\frac{\text { \# elements } x \in G \text { for which } x H=H x}{\text { \# elements } x \in G} .
$$

- If $\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{\triangleleft}(H)=1$, then $H$ is normal.
- If $\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{\unlhd}(H)=\frac{1}{n}$, we'll say $H$ is fully unnormal.
- If $\frac{1}{n}<\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{\triangleleft}(H)<1$, we'll say $H$ is moderately unnormal.


## Big idea

The degree of normality measures how close to being normal a subgroup is.

## Conjugate subgroups

For a fixed element $g \in G$, the set

$$
g H g^{-1}=\left\{g h g^{-1} \mid h \in H\right\}
$$

is called the conjugate of $H$ by $g$.

## Observation 1

For any $g \in G$, the conjugate $g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1}$ is a subgroup of $G$.

## Proof

1. Identity: $e=g e g^{-1}$.
2. Closure: $\left(g h_{1} g^{-1}\right)\left(g h_{2} g^{-1}\right)=g h_{1} h_{2} g^{-1}$.
3. Inverses: $\left(g h g^{-1}\right)^{-1}=g h^{-1} g^{-1}$.

## Observation 2

$g h_{1} g^{-1}=g h_{2} g^{-1}$ if and only if $h_{1}=h_{2}$.
Later, we'll prove that H and $\mathrm{gHg}^{-1}$ are isomorphic subgroups.

## How to check if a subgroup is normal

If $g H=H g$, then right-multiplying both sides by $g^{-1}$ yields $\mathrm{gHg}^{-1}=\mathrm{H}$.
This gives us a new way to check whether a subgroup $H$ is normal in $G$.

## Useful remark

The following conditions are all equivalent to a subgroup $H \leq G$ being normal:
(i) $g H=H g$ for all $g \in G$; ("left cosets are right cosets");
(ii) $g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1}=H$ for all $g \in G$; ("only one conjugate subgroup")
(iii) $\mathrm{ghg}^{-1} \in H$ for all $g \in G$; ("closed under conjugation").

Sometimes, one of these methods is much easier than the others!
For example, all it takes to show that $H$ is not normal is finding one element $h \in H$ for which $g h g^{-1} \notin H$ for some $g \in G$.

As another example, if we happen to know that $G$ has a unique subgroup of size $|H|$, then H must be normal. (Why?)

## The conjugacy class of a subgroup

## Proposition

Conjugation is an equivalence relation on the set of subgroups of $G$.

## Proof

We need to show that conjugacy is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

- Reflexive: $e H e^{-1}=H$.
- Symmetric: Suppose $H$ is conjugate to $K$, by $a H^{-1}=K$. Then $K$ is conjugate to $H$ :

$$
a^{-1} K a=a^{-1}\left(a H a^{-1}\right) a=H .
$$

- Transitive: Suppose $a H^{-1}=K$ and $b K b^{-1}=L$. Then $H$ is conjugate to $L$ :

$$
\text { (ba) } H(b a)^{-1}=b\left(a H a^{-1}\right) b^{-1}=b K b^{-1}=L .
$$

## Definition

The set of all subgroups conjugate to $H$ is its conjugacy class, denoted

$$
\mathrm{cl}_{G}(H)=\left\{g \mathrm{Hg}^{-1} \mid g \in G\right\} .
$$

## Revisiting $A_{4}$

## Index $=1$



## Observations

- A subgroup is normal if its conjugacy class has size 1.
- The size of a conjugacy class tells us how close to being normal a subgroup is.

■ For our "three favorite subgroups of $A_{4}$ ":

$$
\left|\mathrm{cl}_{A_{4}}(N)\right|=1=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Deg}_{A_{4}}^{\triangleleft}(N)}, \quad\left|\mathrm{cl}_{A_{4}}(H)\right|=4=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Deg}_{A_{4}}^{\triangleleft}(H)}, \quad\left|\mathrm{cl}_{A_{4}}(K)\right|=3=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Deg}_{A_{4}}^{\triangleleft}(K)} .
$$

## The number of conjugate subgroups

## Theorem

Let $H \leq G$ with $[G: H]=n<\infty$. Then

$$
\left|\mathrm{cl}_{G}(H)\right|=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{\triangleleft}(H)}=\left[G: N_{G}(H)\right]=\frac{\text { \# elements } x \in G}{\# \text { elements } x \in G \text { for which } x H=H x} .
$$

That is, $H$ has exactly $\left[G: N_{G}(H)\right]$ conjugate subgroups.


moderately unnormal
$1<\left|\mathrm{cl}_{G}(K)\right|<[G: K]$

## "Reducing" subgroup lattices

Sometimes it is convenient to collapse conjugacy classes into single nodes in the lattice.
We'll call this the reduced subgroups lattice (caveat: it need not be a lattice!). Sometimes it reveals patterns in new ways.

Here are the reduced lattices of the dihedral groups. (Note that $D_{2} \cong V_{4}$.)


The left-subscript denotes the size.

## "Reducing" subgroup lattices

Sometimes it is convenient to collapse conjugacy classes into single nodes in the lattice.
We'll call this the reduced subgroups lattice (caveat: it need not be a lattice!). Sometimes it reveals patterns in new ways.

Here are the reduced lattices of the generalized quaternion groups. What do you notice?


## Normal subgroups of order 2

Often, we can determine the normal subgroups and conjugacy classes simply from inspecting the subgroup lattice.

We'll make frequent use of the following straightforward result.

## Lemma

An subgroup $H$ of order 2 is normal if and only if it is contained in $Z(G)$.

## Proof

Let $H=\{e, h\}$.
" $\Leftarrow$ ": We already know that subgroups contained in $Z(G)$ are normal.
" $\Rightarrow$ ": Suppose $H \unlhd G$. Then for all $x \in G$,

$$
x H=x\{e, h\}=\{x, x h\}, \quad \text { and } \quad H x=\{e, h\} x=\{x, h x\} .
$$

Since $x H=H x$, we must have $x h=h x$, and hence $H \leq Z(G)$.

## Unicorn subgroups

Suppose we conjugate $G=D_{4}$ by some element $x \in D_{4}$.


Subgroups at a unique "lattice neighborhood" are called unicorns, and must be normal.
For example, $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle=x\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle x^{-1}$ is the only size-2 subgroup " with 3 parents."
The groups $G$ and $\langle 1\rangle$ are always unicorns, and hence normal.
The index-2 subgroups $\left\langle r^{2}, f\right\rangle,\langle r\rangle$, and $\left\langle r^{2}, r f\right\rangle$ must be normal.

## Remark

Conjugating a normal subgroup $N \leq G$ by $x \in G$ shuffles its elements and subgroups.

Conjugating normal subgroups

## Proposition

If $H \leq N \unlhd G$, then $x H x^{-1} \leq N$ for all $x \in G$.

## Proof

Conjugating $H \leq N$ by $x \in G$ yields $x H x^{-1} \leq x N x^{-1}=N$.


Determining the conjugacy classes from the subgroup lattice
Suppose we conjugate $G=D_{4}$ by some element $x \in D_{4}$.


## Conclusions

- All unicorns and index-2 subgroups are normal.
- $\langle f\rangle$ cannot be normal because $f \notin Z\left(D_{4}\right)$. Thus, it has some other conjugate.
- Each conjugate to $\langle f\rangle$ must be contained in $\left\langle r^{2}, f\right\rangle$. Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{cl}_{D_{4}}(\langle f\rangle)=\{\langle f\rangle,\langle r f\rangle\}=\mathrm{cl}_{D_{4}}(\langle r f\rangle) .
$$

- The normalizer of $\langle f\rangle$ must have index 2, and thus $N_{D_{4}}(\langle f\rangle)=\left\langle r^{2}, f\right\rangle$.
- We just determined all conjugacy classes and normalizers simply by inspection!


## Unicorns in the diquaternion group

Our definition of unicorn could be strengthened, but we want to keep things simple.
Are any of the $C_{4}$ subgroups of $\mathrm{DQ}_{8}$ unicorns, i.e., "not like the others"?


What can we say about the conjugacy classes of the subgroups of $\mathrm{DQ}_{8}$ just from the lattice?

## A mystery group of order 16

Let's repeat a previous exercise, for this lattice of an actual group. Unicorns are purple.


We can deduce that every subgroup is normal, except possibly $\langle s\rangle$ and $\left\langle r^{4} s\right\rangle$.
There are two cases:

- $\langle s\rangle$ and $\left\langle r^{4} s\right\rangle$ are normal $\Rightarrow s \in Z(G) \Rightarrow G$ is abelian.
- $\langle s\rangle$ and $\left\langle r^{4} s\right\rangle$ are not normal $\Rightarrow \mathrm{cl}_{G}(\langle s\rangle)=\left\{\langle s\rangle,\left\langle r^{4} s\right\rangle\right\} \Rightarrow G$ is nonabelian.

This doesn't necessarily mean that both of these are actually possible. . .

A mystery group of order 16
It's straightforward to check that this is the subgroup lattice of

$$
C_{8} \times C_{2}=\left\langle r, s \mid r^{8}=s^{2}=1, s r s=r\right\rangle .
$$

Let $r=(a, 1)$ and $s=(1, b)$, and so $C_{8} \times C_{2}=\langle r, s\rangle=\langle(a, 1),(1, b)\rangle$.


A mystery group of order 16

However, the nonabelian case is possible as well! The following also works:

$$
\mathrm{SA}_{8}=\left\langle r, s \mid r^{8}=s^{2}=1, s r s=r^{5}\right\rangle
$$



## The "fan" of conjugate subgroups

The set of conjugate subgroups to $H \leq G$ "looks like a fan in the lattice".
However not all such "fans" comprise a single conjugacy class.

## Big idea

- a "wide fan" means the subgroup is "very unnormal", and has a smaller normalizer.
- a "narrow fan" means the subgroup is "closer to normal", and has a larger normalizer.

The following says that "the base of a fan is always normal."

## Proposition (HW)

For any $H \leq G$, the intersection of all conjugates is normal: $N:=\bigcap_{x \in G} x H x^{-1} \unlhd G$.


This places strong restrictions on the lattice structure of any simple group!

The subgroup lattice of the simple group $A_{5}$


The second smallest nonabelian simple group


The third smallest nonabelian simple group


## Conjugate subgroups, algebraically

We understand how to compare gH and Hg both algebraically and in a Cayley graph. But to understand H vs. $\mathrm{gHg}^{-1}$, we need to compare gH to $\mathrm{Hg}^{-1}$.

## Proposition

If $\mathrm{aH}=b \mathrm{H}$, then $\mathrm{Ha}{ }^{-1}=\mathrm{Hb}^{-1}$.


## Proof

Using $x \in H \Leftrightarrow x H=H=H x$, we deduce that

$$
a H=b H \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad b^{-1} a H=H \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad H=H b^{-1} a \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad H a^{-1}=H b^{-1} .
$$

(Note that we're taking $x=b^{-1} a$ above.)

## Conjugate subgroups, algebraically

We just showed that $a H=b H$ implies $H a^{-1}=H b^{-1}$.

## Corollary

If $\mathrm{aH}=\mathrm{bH}$, then $a \mathrm{aHa}^{-1}=b H b^{-1}$.

## Proof

Since $a H=b H$ we know that $H a^{-1}=H b^{-1}$, and so

$$
a H a^{-1}=(a H) a^{-1}=(b H) a^{-1}=b\left(H a^{-1}\right)=b H b^{-1} .
$$

## Corollary

For any subgroup $H \leq G$ of finite index, there are at most [ $G: H$ ] conjugates of $H$.

In summary, we have

$$
\left|\mathrm{cl}_{G}(H)\right|=\left[G: N_{G}(H)\right] \leq[G: H] .
$$

We proved the inequality, but the equality remains unproven. (We'll wait for group actions.)

## Conjugate subgroups, visually

## Remark

To identify the conjugate subgroup $\mathrm{gHg}^{-1}$ in the Cayley graph, do the following:

1. Identify the left coset $g H$,
2. From each node in $g H$, traverse the $g^{-1}$-path.

Here is an example of this for the normal subgroup $A=\langle a\rangle$ of $G=C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}$.


Let's check that $b^{2} A b^{-2}=A$ and $b^{3} A b^{-3}=A$, which means that $A \unlhd G$.

## Conjugate subgroups, visually

## Remark

To identify the conjugate subgroup $\mathrm{gHg}^{-1}$ in the Cayley graph, do the following:

1. Identify the left coset $g H$,
2. From each node in $g H$, traverse the $g^{-1}$-path.

Let's carry out the same steps with the nonnormal subgroup $A=\langle B\rangle$ of $G=C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}$.


$$
a B a^{-1}
$$



It follows immediately that $B$ is not normal. Let's find all conjuguate subgroups...

## Conjugate subgroups, visually

## Remark

To identify the conjugate subgroup $\mathrm{gHg}^{-1}$ in the Cayley graph, do the following:

1. Identify the left coset $g H$,
2. From each node in $g H$, traverse the $g^{-1}$-path.

Let's carry out the same steps with the nonnormal subgroup $A=\langle B\rangle$ of $G=C_{4} \rtimes C_{4}$.


We conclude that $\mathrm{cl}_{G}(B)=\left\{B, a B a^{-1}\right\}$.
It follows that $\left[G: N_{G}(B)\right]=2$, i.e., $\left|N_{G}(B)\right|=8$. By inspection, $N_{G}(B)=B \cup a^{2} B$.

## The product of two subgroups

We have seen a number of definitions that involves product of elements and subgroups:

- Left cosets: $x H=\{x h \mid h \in H\}$
- Right cosets: $H x=\{h x \mid h \in H\}$
- Conjugate subgroups: $x H x^{-1}=\left\{x h x^{-1} \mid h \in H\right\}$.

We can also define the product of two subgroups $A, B \leq G$ :

$$
A B=\{a b \mid a \in A, b \in B\} .
$$

Let's investigate when this is a subgroup.


Notice that

$$
A B=\{1, s, t, s t\} \nsubseteq D_{3}, \quad A C=\left\{1, r, r^{2}, f, f r, f r^{2}\right\}=D_{3}
$$

## When is $A B$ a subgroup?

## Observation

If $A B=\{a b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is a subgroup, then it must be "above" $A$ and $B$ in the lattice.

For closure to hold in $A B$, we need $\left(a_{1} b_{1}\right)\left(a_{2} b_{2}\right) \in A B$. It suffices to have $b_{1} a_{2} \in A B$.

## Remark

If $A \leq N_{G}(B)$, " $A$ normalizes $B$ ", i.e.,

$$
\{a b \mid b \in B\}=a B=B a=\left\{b^{\prime} a \mid b^{\prime} \in B\right\}
$$

then every $a b \in A B$ can be written as some $b^{\prime} a \in B A$.

Suppose $A$ normalizes $B$. Then

$$
\left(a_{1} b_{1}\right)\left(a_{2} b_{2}\right)=a_{1}\left(b_{1} a_{2}\right) b_{2}=a_{1}\left(a_{2} b_{1}^{\prime}\right) b_{2} \in A B
$$

## Proposition

If $A, B \leq G$ and one normalizes the other, then $A B$ is a subgroup of $G$.
In particular, if at least one of them is normal, then $A B \leq G$.

## Quotients

We have already encountered the concept a quotient of a group by a subgroup:


|  | 1 | -1 | $i$ | $-i$ | $j$ | $-j$ | $k$ | $-k$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | -1 | $i$ | $-i$ | $j$ | $-j$ | $k$ | $-k$ |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | $-i$ | $i$ | $-j$ | $j$ | $-k$ | $k$ |
| $i$ | $i$ | $-i$ | -1 | 1 | $k$ | $-k$ | $-j$ | $j$ |
| $-i$ | $-i$ | $i$ | 1 | -1 | $-k$ | $k$ | $j$ | $-j$ |
| $j$ | $j$ | $-j$ | $-k$ | $k$ | -1 | 1 | $i$ | $-i$ |
| $-j$ | $-j$ | $j$ | $k$ | $-k$ | 1 | -1 | $-i$ | $i$ |
| $k$ | $k$ | $-k$ | $j$ | $-j$ | $-i$ | $i$ | -1 | 1 |
| $-k$ | $-k$ | $k$ | $-j$ | $j$ | $i$ | $-i$ | 1 | -1 |

$$
Q_{8} /\langle-1\rangle \cong V_{4}
$$

|  | $\pm 1$ | $\pm i$ | $\pm j$ | $\pm k$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pm 1$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm i$ | $\pm j$ | $\pm k$ |
| $\pm i$ | $\pm i$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm k$ | $\pm j$ |
| $\pm j$ | $\pm j$ | $\pm k$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm i$ |
| $\pm k$ | $\pm k$ | $\pm j$ | $\pm i$ | $\pm 1$ |

We now know enough algebra to be able to formalize this.

## Key idea

The quotient of $G$ by a subgroup $H$ exists when the (left) cosets of $H$ form a group.

## Quotients

## Goals

- Characterize when a quotient exists.
- Learn how to formalize this algebraically (without Cayley graphs or tables).

First, let's interpret the "quotient process" visually, in terms of cosets.


Cluster the
left cosets of $N$


Collapse cosets into single nodes

|  | $N$ | $i N$ | $j N$ | $k N$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N$ | $N$ | $i N$ | $j N$ | $k N$ |
| $i N$ | $i N$ | $N$ | $k N$ | $j N$ |
| $j N$ | $j N$ | $k N$ | $N$ | $i N$ |
| $k N$ | $k N$ | $j N$ | $i N$ | $N$ |

Elements of the quotient are cosets of $N$

Notice how taking a quotient generally loses information.
Can you think of two $G_{1} \nsubseteq G_{2}$ for which $G_{1} / N \cong G_{2} / N$ ?

## Quotients



Cluster the left cosets of $H \leq \mathbb{Z}_{6}$


Cluster the
left cosets of $N \leq D_{3}$


Collapse cosets into single nodes


Collapse cosets into single nodes


Elements of the quotient are cosets of $H$


Elements of the quotient are cosets of $N$

We say that $\mathbb{Z}_{6} /\langle 2\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $D_{3} /\langle r\rangle \cong C_{2}$.

## Quotients

The quotient process succeeds for the group $N=\langle(12)(34),(13)(24)\rangle$ of $A_{4}$.


Cluster the left cosets of $H \leq A_{4}$


Collapse cosets into single nodes

|  | $H$ | $a H$ | $a^{2} H$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H$ | $H$ | $a H$ | $a^{2} H$ |
| $a H$ | $a H$ | $a^{2} H$ | $H$ |
| $a^{2} H$ | $a^{2} H$ | $H$ | $a H$ |

Elements of the quotient are cosets of $H$

We denote the resulting group by $G / N=\left\{N, a N, a^{2} N\right\} \cong C_{3}$. Since it's a group, there is a binary operation on the set of cosets of $N$.

## Questions

- Do you see how to define this binary operation?
- Do you see why this works for this particular $N \leq G$ ?
- Can you think of examples where this "quotient process" would fail, and why?


## Quotients

The quotient process fails for the group $H=\langle(123)\rangle$ of $A_{4}$.


Cluster the left cosets of $H=\langle(123)\rangle$.


Collapse cosets into single nodes

We can still write $G / H:=\{H, x N, y H, z H\}$ for the set of (left) cosets of $H$ in $G$.
However, the resulting graph is not the Cayley graph of a group.

In other words, something goes wrong if we try to define a binary operaton on $G / H$.

## When and why the quotient process works

To get some intuition, let's consider collapsing the left cosets of a subgroup $H \leq G$. In the following: the right coset Hg are the "arrowtips".


Elements in the right coset Hg are in multiple left cosets

not a valid Cayley graph


Elements in Hg all stay in gH

valid Cayley graph

## Key idea

If $H$ is normal subgroup of $G$, then the quotient group $G / H$ exists.

If $H$ is not normal, then following the blue arrows from $H$ is ambiguous.
In other words, it depends on our where we start within $H$.
We still need to formalize this and prove it algebraically.

## What does it mean to "multiply" two cosets?

## Quotient theorem

If $H \unlhd G$, the set of cosets $G / H$ forms a group, with binary operation

$$
a H \cdot b H:=a b H .
$$

It is clear that $G / H$ is closed under this operation.
We have to show that this operation is well-defined.
By that, we mean that it does not depend on our choice of coset representative.


## Quotient groups, algebraically

## Lemma

Let $H \unlhd G$. Multiplication of cosets is well-defined:

$$
\text { if } a_{1} H=a_{2} H \text { and } b_{1} H=b_{2} H \text {, then } a_{1} H \cdot b_{1} H=a_{2} H \cdot b_{2} H \text {. }
$$

## Proof

Suppose that $H \unlhd G, a_{1} H=a_{2} H$ and $b_{1} H=b_{2} H$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} H \cdot b_{1} H & =a_{1} b_{1} H & & \text { (by definition) } \\
& =a_{1}\left(b_{2} H\right) & & \left(b_{1} H=b_{2} H\right. \text { by assumption) } \\
& =\left(a_{1} H\right) b_{2} & & \left(b_{2} H=H b_{2} \text { since } H \unlhd G\right) \\
& =\left(a_{2} H\right) b_{2} & & \left(a_{1} H=a_{2} H\right. \text { by assumption) } \\
& =a_{2} b_{2} H & & \left(b_{2} H=H b_{2} \text { since } H \unlhd G\right) \\
& =a_{2} H \cdot b_{2} H & & \text { (by definition) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the binary operation on $G / H$ is well-defined.

## Quotient groups, algebraically

## Quotient theorem (restated)

When $H \unlhd G$, the set of cosets $G / H$ forms a group.

## Proof

There is a well-defined binary operation on the set of left (equivalently, right) cosets:

$$
a H \cdot b H=a b H .
$$

We need to verify the three remaining properties of a group:
Identity. The coset $H=e H$ is the identity because for any coset $a H \in G / H$,

$$
a H \cdot H=a H \cdot e H=a e H=a H=e a H=e H \cdot a H=H \cdot a H .
$$

Inverses. Given a coset $a H$, its inverse is $a^{-1} \mathrm{H}$, because

$$
a H \cdot a^{-1} H=a a^{-1} H=e H=a^{-1} a H=a^{-1} H \cdot a H .
$$

Closure. This is immediate, because $a H \cdot b H=a b H$ is another coset in $G / H$.

## Quotient groups, algebraically

We just learned that if $H \unlhd G$, then we can define a binary operation on cosets by

$$
a H \cdot b H=a b H,
$$

and this works.
Here's another reason why this makes sense.
Given any subgroup $H \leq G$, normal or not, define the product of left cosets:

$$
x H y H=\left\{x h_{1} y h_{2} \mid h_{1}, h_{2} \in H\right\} .
$$

## Exercise

If $H$ is normal, then the set $x H y H$ is equal to the left cosets

$$
x y H=\{x y h \mid h \in H\} .
$$

To show that $x H y H=x y H$, it suffices to verify that $\subseteq$ and $\supseteq$ both hold. That is:

- every element of the form $x h_{1} y h_{2}$ can be written as $x y h$ for some $h \in H$.
- every element of the form xyh can be written as $x h_{1} y h_{2}$ for some $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H$.

Note that one containment is trivial. This will be left for homework.

## Quotients of additive abelian groups

The subgroups of $G=\mathbb{Z}$ all have the form $n \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the subgroup

$$
12 \mathbb{Z}=\langle 12\rangle=\{\ldots,-24,-12,0,12,24, \ldots\} \unlhd \mathbb{Z}
$$

The elements of the quotient group $\mathbb{Z} /\langle 12\rangle$ are the cosets

$$
0+\langle 12\rangle, \quad 1+\langle 12\rangle, \quad 2+\langle 12\rangle, \ldots, \quad 10+\langle 12\rangle, \quad 11+\langle 12\rangle .
$$

Number theorists call these sets congruence classes modulo 12.
We say that two numbers are congruent modulo 12 if they are in the same coset.
Recall how to add cosets in the quotient group:

$$
(a+\langle 12\rangle)+(b+\langle 12\rangle):=(a+b)+\langle 12\rangle,
$$

i.e., "(the coset containing $a)+($ the coset containing $b)=$ the coset containing $a+b$."

For example, there are two ways to add 21 and 16 modulo 12 :
■ $(21(\bmod 12))+(16(\bmod 12))=9+4(\bmod 12) \equiv 1(\bmod 12)$,

- reduce $21+16=37$ modulo 12 .

It is not hard to see that $\mathbb{Z} /\langle 12\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$.
We'll understand why when we see the isomorphism theorems.

## Quotient groups, algebraically

## Remark

Do you think the following should be true or false, for subgroups $H$ and $K$ ?

1. Does $H \cong K$ imply $G / H \cong G / K$ ?
2. Does $G / H \cong G / K$ imply $H \cong K$ ?
3. Does $H \cong K$ and $G_{1} / H \cong G_{2} / K$ imply $G_{1} \cong G_{2}$ ?

All are false. Counterexamples for all of these can be found using the group $G=\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ :


$$
\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} /\langle(2,0)\rangle
$$



## Conjugate elements

We've seen how conjugation defines an equvalence relation on the set of subgroups of $G$.
The equivalence class containing $H \leq G$ is its conjugacy class, denoted $\mathrm{cl}_{G}(H)$.
We can also conjugate elements. Given $h \in G$, we may ask:
" which elements can be written as $x h x^{-1}$ for some $x \in G$ ?'

## Definition

The conjugacy class of an element $h \in G$ is the set

$$
\mathrm{cl}_{G}(h)=\left\{x h x^{-1} \mid x \in G\right\} .
$$

## Proposition

The conjugacy class of $h \in G$ has size 1 if and only if $h \in Z(G)$.

## Proof

Suppose $\left|\mathrm{cl}_{G}(h)\right|=1$. This means that

$$
\mathrm{cl}_{G}(h)=\{h\} \Longleftrightarrow x h x^{-1}=h, \quad \forall x \in G \Longleftrightarrow x h=h x, \forall x \in G \Longleftrightarrow h \in Z(G)
$$

## Conjugate elements

## Lemma (exercise)

Conjugacy of elements is an equivalence relation.

## Proof sketch

The following three properties need to be verified.

- Reflexive: Each $h \in G$ is conjugate to itself.

■ Symmetric: If $g$ is conjugate to $h$, then $h$ is conjugate to $g$.

- Transitive: If $g$ is conjugate to $h$, and $h$ is conjugate to $k$, then $g$ is conjugate to $k$.

As with any equivalence relation, the set is partitioned into equivalence classes.

## The "class equation"

For any finite group $G$,

$$
|G|=|Z(G)|+\sum\left|\mathrm{cl}_{G}\left(h_{i}\right)\right|,
$$

where the sum is taken over distinct conjugacy classes of size greater than 1.

## Conjugate elements

## Proposition

Every normal subgroup is the union of conjugacy classes.

## Proof

If $n \in N \unlhd G$, then $x n x^{-1} \in x N x^{-1}=N$, and hence $\operatorname{cl}_{G}(n) \subseteq N$.

Let's look at $Q_{8}$, all of whose subgroups are normal.
■ Since $i \notin Z\left(Q_{8}\right)=\{ \pm 1\}$, we know $\left|\mathrm{cl}_{Q_{8}}(i)\right|>1$.

- Also, $\langle i\rangle=\{ \pm 1, \pm i\}$ is a union of conjugacy classes.

■ Therefore $\operatorname{cl}_{Q_{8}}(i)=\{ \pm i\}$.


Similarly, $\mathrm{cl}_{Q_{8}}(j)=\{ \pm j\}$ and $\mathrm{cl}_{Q_{8}}(k)=\{ \pm k\}$.

| 1 | $i$ | $j$ | $k$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | $-i$ | $-j$ | $-k$ |



## Conjugation preserves structure

Think back to linear algebra. Matrices $A$ and $B$ are similar (=conjugate) if $A=P B P^{-1}$.
Conjugate matrices have the same eigenvalues, eigenvectors, and determinant.
In fact, they represent the same linear map, but under a change of basis.

## Central theme in mathematics

Two things that are conjugate have the same structure.

Let's start with a basic property preserved by conjugation.

## Proposition

Conjugate elements in a group have the same order.

## Proof

Consider $h$ and $g=x h x^{-1}$. Suppose $|h|=n$, then

$$
g^{n}=\left(x h x^{-1}\right)^{n}=\left(x h x^{-1}\right)\left(x h x^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(x h x^{-1}\right)=x h^{n} x^{-1}=x e x^{-1}=e .
$$

Therefore, $|g|=\left|x h x^{-1}\right| \leq|h|$. Reversing roles of $g$ and $h$ gives $|h| \leq|g|$.

## Conjugation preserves structure

To understand what we mean by conjugation preserves structure, let's revisit frieze groups.
Let $h=h_{0}$ denote the reflection across the central axis, $\ell_{0}$.
Suppose we want to reflect across a different axis, say $\ell_{-2}$.


It should be clear that all reflections (resp., rotations) of the "same parity" are conjugate.

## Conjugacy classes in $D_{n}$

The dihedral group $D_{n}$ is a "finite version" of the previous frieze group.
When $n$ is even, there are two "types of reflections" of an $n$-gon:

1. $r^{2 k} f$ is across an axis that bisects two sides
2. $r^{2 k+1} f$ is across an axis that goes through two corners.

Here is a visual reason why each of these two types form a conjugacy class in $D_{n}$.


What do you think the conjugacy classes of a reflection is in $D_{n}$ when $n$ is odd?
Next, let's verify the conjugacy classes algebraically.

## Conjugacy classes in $D_{6}$

Let's find the conjugacy classes of $D_{6}$ algebraically.
The center is $Z\left(D_{6}\right)=\left\{1, r^{3}\right\}$; these are the only elements in size-1 conjugacy classes.
The only two elements of order 6 are $r$ and $r^{5}$; so we must have $c_{D_{6}}(r)=\left\{r, r^{5}\right\}$.
The only two elements of order 3 are $r^{2}$ and $r^{4}$; so we must have $\mathrm{cl}_{D_{6}}\left(r^{2}\right)=\left\{r^{2}, r^{4}\right\}$.
For a reflection $r^{i} f$, we need to consider two cases; conjugating by $r^{j}$ and by $r^{j} f$ :

- $r^{j}\left(r^{i} f\right) r^{-j}=r^{j} r^{i} r^{j} f=r^{i+2 j} f$

■ ( $\left.r^{j} f\right)\left(r^{i} f\right)\left(r^{j} f\right)^{-1}=\left(r^{j} f\right)\left(r^{i} f\right) f r^{-j}=r^{j} f r^{i-j}=r^{j} r^{j-i} f=r^{2 j-i} f$.
Thus, $r^{i} f$ and $r^{k} f$ are conjugate iff $i$ and $k$ have the same parity.


The subgroup lattice of $D_{6}$
We can now deduce the conjugacy classes of the subgroups of $D_{6}$.


The reduced subgroup lattice of $D_{6}$


## Conjugacy classes in $D_{5}$

Since $n=5$ is odd, all reflections in $D_{5}$ are conjugate.


## Cycle type and conjugacy in the symmetric group

We introduced cycle type in back in Chapter 2.
This is best seen by example. There are five cycle types in $S_{4}$ :

| example element | $e$ | $(12)$ | $(234)$ | $(1234)$ | $(12)(34)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| parity | even | odd | even | odd | even |
| \# elts | 1 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 3 |

## Definition

Two elements in $S_{n}$ have the same cycle type if when written as a product of disjoint cycles, there are the same number of length- $k$ cycles for each $k$.

## Theorem

Two elements $g, h \in S_{n}$ are conjugate if and only if they have the same cycle type.
For example, permutations in $S_{5}$ fall into seven cycle types (conjugacy classes):

$$
\quad \operatorname{cl}(e), \quad \operatorname{cl}((12)), \quad \quad \operatorname{cl}((123)), \quad \quad \operatorname{cl}((1234)), \quad \quad \operatorname{cl}((12345)), \quad \quad c l((12)(34)), \quad \quad \operatorname{cl}((12)(345)) .
$$

## Big idea

Conjugate permutations have the same structure. Such permutations are the same up to renumbering.

## Conjugation preserves structure in the symmetric group

The symmetric group $G=S_{6}$ is generated by any transposistion and any $n$-cycle.
Consider the permutations of seating assignments around a circular table achievable by

- (23): "people in chairs 2 and 3 may swap seats"
- (123456): "people may cyclically rotate seats counterclockwise"

Here's how to get people in chairs 1 and 2 to swap seats:


The subgroup lattice of $S_{4}$

## Exercise

Partition the subgroup lattice of $S_{4}$ into conjugacy classes by inspection alone.


## The reduced subgroup lattice of $S_{4}$



## Centralizers

## Definition

The centralizer of a set $H \subseteq G$ is the set of elements that commute with everything in $H$ :

$$
C_{G}(H)=\{x \in G \mid x h=h x, \text { for all } h \in H\} \leq G .
$$

Usually, $H=\{h\}$ (not a group!), in which case we'll write $C_{G}(h)$.
Exercise: (i) $C_{G}(h)$ contains at least $\langle h\rangle$, (ii) if $x h=h x$, then $x\langle h\rangle \subseteq C_{G}(h)$.

## Definition

Let $h \in G$ with [ $G:\langle h\rangle$ ] $=n<\infty$. The degree of centrality of $h$ is

$$
\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{C}(h):=\frac{\left|C_{G}(h)\right|}{|G|}=\frac{1}{\left[G: C_{G}(h)\right]}=\frac{\text { \# elements } x \in G}{\# \text { elements } x \in G \text { for which } x h=h x} .
$$

- If $\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{C}(h)=1$, then $h$ is central.
- If $\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{C}(h)=\frac{1}{n}$, we'll say $h$ is fully uncentral.
- If $\frac{1}{n}<\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{C}(h)<1$, we'll say $h$ is moderately uncentral.


## Big idea

The degree of centrality measures how close to being central an element is.

An example: conjugacy classes and centralizers in Dic ${ }_{6}$


| $r s$ | $r^{3} s$ | $r^{5} s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | $r^{2} s$ | $r^{4} s$ |
| $r^{3}$ | $r^{2}$ | $r^{4}$ |
| 1 | $r$ | $r^{5}$ |

conjugacy classes

| $r^{2}$ | $r^{5}$ | $r^{2} s$ | $r^{5} s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ | $r^{4}$ | $r s$ | $r^{4} s$ |
| 1 | $r^{3}$ | $s$ | $r^{3} s$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[G: C_{G}\left(r^{3}\right)\right]} \\
\text { "central" }
\end{gathered}=1
$$

| $r s$ | $r^{3} s$ | $r^{5} s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | $r^{2} s$ | $r^{4} s$ |
| $r$ | $r^{3}$ | $r^{5}$ |
| 1 | $r^{2}$ | $r^{4}$ |

$$
\left[G: C_{G}\left(r^{2}\right)\right]=2
$$

"moderately uncentral"

| $r^{2}$ | $r^{2} s$ | $r^{5}$ | $r^{5} s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ | $r s$ | $r^{4}$ | $r^{4} s$ |
| 1 | $s$ | $r^{3}$ | $r^{3} s$ |

$$
\left[G: C_{G}(s)\right]=3
$$

"fully unncentral"

## The number of conjugate elements

The following result is analogous to an earlier one on the degree of normality and $\left|\mathrm{cl}_{G}(H)\right|$.

## Theorem

Let $h \in G$ with $[G:\langle h\rangle]=n<\infty$. Then

$$
\left|c l_{G}(h)\right|=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Deg}_{G}^{C}(h)}=\left[G: C_{G}(h)\right]=\frac{\# \text { elements } x \in G}{\# \text { elements } x \in G \text { for which } x h=h x} .
$$

That is, there are exactly [ $G: C_{G}(h)$ ] elements conjugate to $h$.
Both of these are special cases of the orbit-stabilizer theorem, about group actions.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| central | fully uncentral | moderately uncentral |
| $\left\|\mathrm{cl}_{G}(h)\right\|=1$ | $\left\|\mathrm{cl}_{G}(h)\right\|=[G:\langle h\rangle] ;$ as large as possible | $1<\left\|\mathrm{cl}_{G}(h)\right\|<[G:\langle h\rangle]$ |

## Conjugacy class size

## Theorem (number of conjugate subgroups)

The conjugacy class of $H \leq G$ contains exactly $\left[G: N_{G}(H)\right]$ subgroups.

## Proof (roadmap)

Construct a bijection between left cosets of $N_{G}(H)$ and conjugate subgroups of $H$ :

$$
\text { " } x H x^{-1}=y H y^{-1} \text { iff } x \text { and } y \text { are in the same left coset of } N_{G}(H) . "
$$

Define $\phi:\left\{\right.$ left cosets of $\left.N_{G}(H)\right\} \longrightarrow\{$ conjugates of $H\}, \quad \phi: x N_{G}(H) \longmapsto x H x^{-1}$.

## Theorem (number of conjugate elements)

The conjugacy class of $h \in G$ contains exactly [ $G: C_{G}(h)$ ] elements.

## Proof (roadmap)

Construct a bijection between left cosets of $C_{G}(h)$, and elements in $\mathrm{cl}_{G}(h)$ :

$$
\text { "xhx } x^{-1}=y h y^{-1} \text { iff } x \text { and } y \text { are in the same left coset of } C_{G}(h) . "
$$

Define $\phi:\left\{\right.$ left cosets of $\left.C_{G}(h)\right\} \longrightarrow\{$ conjugates of $h\}, \quad \phi: x C_{G}(h) \longmapsto x h x^{-1}$.

