Normal and Self-dual Normal Bases from Factorization of $cx^{q+1} + dx^q - ax - b$

Ian F. Blake Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada E-mail: ifblake@claude2.uwaterloo.can

Shuhong Gao, Ronald C. Mullin Department of Combinatorics and Optimization University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada E-mail: sgao@violet.uwaterloo.can, rcmullin@watmath.uwaterloo.can

September 14, 1992

Abstract. The present paper is interested in a family of normal bases, considered by V. M. Sidel'nikov, with the property that all the elements in a basis can be obtained from one element by repeatedly applying to it a linear fractional function of the form $\varphi(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$, $a, b, c, d \in F_q$. Sidel'nikov proved that the cross products for such a basis $\{\alpha_i\}$ are of the form $\alpha_i \alpha_j = e_{i-j}\alpha_i + e_{j-i}\alpha_j + \gamma, i \neq j$, where $e_k, \gamma \in F_q$. We will show that every such basis can be formed by the roots of an irreducible factor of $F(x) = cx^{q+1} + dx^q - ax - b$. We will construct: (a) a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q with complexity at most 3n - 2 for each divisor n of q - 1 and for n = p where p is the characteristic of F_q ; (b) a self-dual normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q for n = p and for each odd divisor n of q - 1 or q + 1. When n = p, the self-dual normal basis constructed of F_{q^p} over F_q also has complexity at most 3p - 2. In all cases, we will give the irreducible polynomials and the multiplication tables explicitly.

Abbreviated title: Normal Bases.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 11T30, 11T06.

Key words: finite field, irreducible polynomial, normal basis.

1 Introduction

Let $N = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ be a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q with $\alpha_i = \alpha^{q^i}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$, where q is a prime power p^m with p a prime and $m \geq 1$. The multiplication of elements in F_{q^n} is uniquely determined by the n cross products $\alpha_0 \alpha_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_{ij} \alpha_j, t_{ij} \in F_q$. The $n \times n$ matrix $T = (t_{ij})$ is called the multiplication table of N. As in [6], the number of nonzero elements in T is called the complexity of the normal basis N, denoted by C_N . In hardware and software implementations of finite field arithmetic, normal bases of low complexity offer considerable advantages. In [6] it is proved that $C_N \geq 2n - 1$. When the lower bound is reached N is called an optimal normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q . Two families of optimal normal bases are constructed in [6], and in [3] it is proved that these two families are essentially all the optimal normal basis with the smallest complexity, if no optimal normal bases exist, is called a minimal normal basis.

The present paper is interested in a family of normal bases, considered by Sidel'nikov [8], with the property that all the elements in a basis can be obtained from one element by repeatedly applying to it a linear fractional function of the form $\varphi(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$, $a, b, c, d \in F_q$. Sidel'nikov proved that the cross products for such a basis $\{\alpha_i\}$ are of the form $\alpha_i \alpha_j = e_{i-j}\alpha_i + e_{j-i}\alpha_j + \gamma$, $i \neq j$, where $e_k, \gamma \in F_q$. We will show that every such basis can be formed by the roots of an irreducible factor of $F(x) = cx^{q+1} + dx^q - ax - b$. We will construct a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q with complexity at most 3n - 2 for each divisor n of q - 1 and for n = p where p is the characteristic of F_q , and a self-dual normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q for n = p and for each odd divisor n of q - 1 or q + 1. When n = p, the self-dual normal basis constructed of F_{q^p} over F_q also has complexity at most 3p - 2. In all cases, we will give the irreducible polynomials and the multiplication tables explicitly. For this purpose, some properties of linear fractional functions and the complete factorization of F(x) are discussed in sections 2 and 3, respectively.

2 On Linear Fractional Functions

In this section, we discuss some properties of the linear fractional function $\varphi(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ with $a, b, c, d \in F_q$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$. It is easy to see that $\varphi(x)$ defines a

permutation on $F_q \cup \{\infty\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a\infty+b}{c\infty+d} &:= \frac{a}{c}, & \text{if } c \neq 0, \\ \frac{a\infty+b}{c\infty+d} &:= \infty, & \text{if } ad \neq 0, c = 0, \\ \frac{a}{0} &:= \infty, & \text{if } a \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Actually, $\varphi(x)$ induces a permutation on $F_{q^n} \cup \{\infty\}$, for any $n \ge 1$. The inverse of $\varphi(x)$ is $\varphi^{-1}(x) = (-dx+b)/(cx-a)$.

For any two linear fractional functions φ and ψ , the composition $\varphi\psi$, defined as $\varphi\psi(x) = \varphi(\psi(x))$, is still a linear fractional function. It is well known that all the linear fractional functions over F_q form a group under composition and is isomorphic to the projective general linear group PGL(2,q). The order of φ is the smallest positive integer t such that $\varphi^t(x) = x$, i.e., φ^t is the identity map.

For our purpose, we will deal with a linear fractional function $\varphi(x) = (ax+b)/(cx+d)$ with $c \neq 0$. The fixed points of $\varphi(x)$ satisfy

$$cx^{2} - (a - d)x - b = 0. (2.1)$$

The following two lemmas are easily checked.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\varphi(x) = ax + b$ with $a \neq 0, 1$, be a linear mapping. Then

$$\varphi = h^{-1}\psi h,$$

where $\psi(x) = ax$ and h(x) = x + b/(a-1).

Lemma 2.2 Let $\varphi(x) = (ax+b)/(cx+d)$ with $c \neq 0$ and $ad-bc \neq 0$. Let $\Delta = (a-d)^2+4bc$. Then

$$\varphi = h^{-1}\psi h,$$

where h(x) and $\psi(x)$ are defined as follows:

(a) When $\Delta = 0$, let x_0 be the only solution of (2.1) in F_q , that is, x_0 satisfies $cx_0^2 = -b$ and $2cx_0 = a - d$. Then $h(x) = (a/c - x_0)/(x - x_0)$ and $\psi(x) = x + 1$. (b) When Δ ≠ 0, let x₀, x₁ be the two solutions of (2.1) in F_{q²} and let ξ = (a − cx₀)/(a − cx₁). Then

$$h(x) = \frac{x - x_0}{x - x_1}, \quad \psi(x) = x\xi.$$

The order of φ is now easy to determine. The order of φ is equal to the order of ψ . If ψ is of the form x + 1 then the order of ψ is equal to the additive order p of 1 in F_q , where p is the characteristic of F_q . If ψ is of the form ξx , then the order of ψ is equal to the multiplicative order of ξ . In case (b) of Lemma 2.2, if Δ is a quadratic residue in F_q , then $x_0, x_1 \in F_q$, and $\xi \in F_q$. Hence $\xi^{q-1} = 1$ and the order of ξ is a divisor of q - 1. If Δ is a quadratic nonresidue in F_q , then $x_0, x_1 \in F_{q^2} \setminus F_q$ and $x_0^q = x_1, x_1^q = x_0$. Thus $\xi^q = ((a - cx_0)/(a - cx_1))^q = (a - cx_0^q)/(a - cx_1^q) = (a - cx_1)/(a - cx_0) = 1/\xi$. So $\xi^{q+1} = 1$ and the order of ξ divides q + 1. Therefore the order of φ is always a divisor of p, q - 1 or q + 1.

Lemma 2.3 Let $a, b, c, d \in F_q$ with $c \neq 0$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$. Let $\varphi(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ with order t. Then, for $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$,

$$\varphi^{i}(x) = \frac{e_{i}x + b/c}{x - e_{t-i}}, \quad e_{i} + e_{t-i} = \frac{a-d}{c}$$
(2.2)

where $e_1 = a/c$ and $e_{i+1} = \varphi(e_i)$ for i = 1, ..., t - 2.

Proof: It is routine to prove by induction on *i* that there exist $e_i, f_i \in F_q$ with $e_1 = a/c$, $f_1 = d/c$ such that

$$\varphi^i(x) = \frac{e_i x + b/c}{x + f_i},$$

and

$$e_i - f_i = \frac{a-d}{c}, \quad e_i = \varphi(e_{i-1})$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, t - 1$, where $e_0 = \infty$. Note that

$$\frac{e_{t-i}x + b/c}{x + f_{t-i}} = \varphi^{t-i}(x) = \varphi^{-i}(x) = (\varphi^i)^{-1}(x) = \frac{-f_i x + b/c}{x - e_i}.$$

We see that $f_i = -e_{t-i}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4 With the same notation as in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} e_j = \begin{cases} (t-1)(a-d)/(2c), & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ a/c = d/c, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } t = 2, \\ (a-d)/c, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } t \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\ 0, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } t \equiv 1 \mod 4, \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

where p is the characteristic of F_q .

Proof: We consider two cases according to the type of $\varphi(x)$.

Case I $\Delta = (a - d)^2 + 4bc = 0$. Then t = p and, by Lemma 2.2, $\varphi(x) = h^{-1}\psi h(x)$ where

$$\psi(x) = x + 1, \quad h(x) = \frac{a/c - x_0}{x - x_0}, \quad h^{-1}(x) = x_0 + \frac{a/c - x_0}{x},$$

with x_0 satisfying $2cx_0 = a - d$ and $cx_0^2 = -b$. Note that $\psi^i(x) = x + i$. We have

$$\begin{split} \varphi^{i}(x) &= h^{-1}\psi^{i}h(x) \\ &= h^{-1}\left(\frac{a/c - x_{0}}{x - x_{0}} + i\right) \\ &= \frac{(a/c - x_{0} - ix_{0})x - ix_{0}^{2}}{ix + (a/c - x_{0} - ix_{0})}. \end{split}$$

 So

$$e_i = \frac{a/c - x_0}{i} + x_0$$
, for $1 \le i \le t - 1$.

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} e_i = (p-1)x_0 + (a/c - x_0) \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i^{-1}$$
$$= (p-1)x_0 + (a/c - x_0) \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i$$
$$= \begin{cases} (p-1)x_0 = (t-1)(a-d)/(2c), & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ a/c = d/c, & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Case II $\Delta = (a - d)^2 + 4bc \neq 0$. In this case, the order t of $\varphi(x)$ is a factor of q - 1 or q + 1. So $t \in F_q^*$. By Lemma 2.2, $\varphi(x) = h^{-1}\psi h(x)$ where

$$h(x) = \frac{x - x_0}{x - x_1}, \quad \psi(x) = \xi x, \quad \xi = \frac{a/c - x_0}{a/c - x_1},$$

with $x_0 + x_1 = (a - d)/c$ and $x_0 x_1 = -b/c$. Note that $h^{-1}(x) = (x_1 x - x_0)/(x - 1)$ and $\psi^i(x) = \xi^i x$, we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi^{i}(x) &= h^{-1}\psi^{i}h(x) \\ &= h^{-1}\left(\xi^{i}\frac{x-x_{0}}{x-x_{1}}\right) \\ &= \frac{(x_{1}\xi^{i}-x_{0})x-x_{0}x_{1}(\xi^{i}-1)}{(\xi^{i}-1)x+x_{1}-x_{0}\xi^{i}}. \end{split}$$

 So

$$e_i = \frac{x_1\xi^i - x_0}{\xi^i - 1} = x_1 + \frac{x_1 - x_0}{\xi^i - 1}, \text{ for } 1 \le i \le t - 1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} e_i = (t-1)x_1 + (x_0 - x_1)\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{1 - \xi^i}.$$

As ξ is a *t*-th primitive root of unity, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (x-\xi^i) = (x^t-1)/(x-1) = x^{t-1} + x^{t-2} + \dots + x + 1.$$
(2.4)

Letting x = 1 in equation (2.4), we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (1-\xi^i) = t.$$
(2.5)

Taking derivatives with respect to x on both sides of (2.4), we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (x-\xi^i) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{x-\xi^i}\right) = (t-1)x^{t-2} + (t-2)x^{t-3} + \dots + 2x + 1.$$
(2.6)

Letting x = 1 in (2.6), we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{1}{1-\xi^i} = (\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} i)/t = \begin{cases} (t-1)/2, & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } t \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\ 0, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } t \equiv 1 \mod 4, \end{cases}$$

(Note that t is odd when p = 2.) Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} e_i = \begin{cases} ((t-1)/2)(x_0+x_1) = (t-1)(a-d)/(2c), & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ x_0 - x_1 = (a-d)/c, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } t \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\ 0, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } t \equiv 1 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.

The following theorem is proved by Sidel'nikov [8, Theorem 2]:

Theorem 2.5 Let $a, b, c, d \in F_q$ with $c \neq 0$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$. Let θ be a root of $F(x) = cx^{q+1} + dx^q - ax - b$ in some extension field of F_q , not fixed by $\varphi(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ whose order is assumed to be t. Then

$$\theta, \varphi(\theta), \cdots, \varphi^{t-1}(\theta)$$

are linearly independent over F_q , if $\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \varphi^i(\theta) \neq 0$.

This theorem indicates that if we can factor F(x) then we will obtain normal bases over F_q . The factorization of F(x) is discussed in the next section.

3 Factorization of $cx^{q+1} + dx^q - ax - b$

The complete factorization of $F(x) = cx^{q+1} + dx^q - ax - b$, $a, b, c, d \in F_q$, into irreducible factors was established by Ore [7, pp. 264–270] by using his theory of linearized polynomials. In this section, we briefly discuss how this can be done without resorting to linearized polynomials. For the detail, the reader is referred to [2]. To exclude the trivial cases, we assume that $ad - bc \neq 0$. Let $\varphi(x) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ be the linear fractional function associated with F(x). As noted in section 2, $\varphi(x)$ induces a permutation on $F_{q^n} \cup \{\infty\}$, for any $n \geq 1$. We assume that the order of φ is t in this section.

Let θ be a root of $F(x) = (cx + d)x^q - (ax + b)$. Then

$$\theta^q = \frac{a\theta + b}{c\theta + d} = \varphi(\theta).$$

Note that

$$\theta^{q^2} = (\varphi(\theta))^q = \varphi(\theta^q) = \varphi(\varphi(\theta)) = \varphi^2(\theta)$$

By induction we see that $\theta^{q^i} = \varphi^i(\theta), \ i \ge 0$. So

$$\theta, \varphi(\theta), \cdots, \varphi^{t-1}(\theta)$$
 (3.1)

are all the conjugates of θ over F_q . If θ is a fixed point of $\varphi(x)$ then $\theta \in F_q$, and $x - \theta$ is a factor of F(x). If θ is not a fixed point of $\varphi(x)$, then, by Theorem 2.5, the elements of (3.1) are distinct and θ is of degree t over F_q . In the latter case, the minimal polynomial of θ over F_q is an irreducible factor of F(x) of degree t. So an irreducible factor of F(x) is either linear or of degree t. We first deal with two special cases.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\xi \in F_q \setminus \{0\}$ with multiplicative order t. Then the following factorization over F_q is complete:

$$x^{q-1} - \xi = \prod_{j=1}^{(q-1)/t} (x^t - \beta_j),$$

where β_j are all the (q-1)/t distinct roots of $x^{(q-1)/t} - \xi$ in F_q .

Proof: Let θ be a root of $x^{q-1} - \xi$ in some extension field of F_q . Then $\theta^{q^i} = \theta \xi^i, i \ge 1$. All the distinct conjugates of θ over F_q are $\theta, \theta \xi, \ldots, \theta \xi^{t-1}$. The minimal polynomial of θ over

 F_q is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} (x - \theta \xi^i) = x^t - \theta^t,$$

which divides $x^{q-1} - \xi$. This means that any irreducible factor of $x^{q-1} - \xi$ is of the form $x^t - \beta$ where $\beta \in F_q$. One can prove that $x^t - \beta$ divides $x^{q-1} - \xi$ if and only if β is a root of $x^{(q-1)/t} - \xi$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2 For $x^q - (x+b)$ with $b \in F_q^*$, the following factorization over F_q is complete:

$$x^{q} - (x+b) = \prod_{j=1}^{q/p} (x^{p} - b^{p-1}x - b^{p}\beta_{j})$$
(3.2)

where β_j are the distinct elements of F_q with $Tr_{q/p}(\beta_j) = 1$ and p is the characteristic of F_q .

Proof: Let θ be a root of $F(x) = x^q - (x+b)$. Then $\theta^{q^i} = \theta + ib, i \ge 1$. So the conjugates of θ over F_q are $\theta, \theta + b, \ldots, \theta + (p-1)b$. The minimal polynomial of θ over F_q is

$$\begin{split} \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} [x - (\theta + ib)] &= b^p \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} [\frac{x - \theta}{b} - i] \\ &= b^p [(\frac{x - \theta}{b})^p - \frac{x - \theta}{b}] \\ &= x^p - b^{p-1} x + \theta (b^{p-1} - \theta^{p-1}). \end{split}$$

Hence an irreducible factor of $x^q - (x + b)$ is of the form

$$x^p - b^{p-1}x - \beta, \quad \beta \in F_q. \tag{3.3}$$

Let γ be a root of (3.3) in some extension field of F_q . Then we have

$$\left(\frac{\gamma}{b}\right)^{p^{i}} - \left(\frac{\gamma}{b}\right)^{p^{i-1}} = \left(\frac{\beta}{b^{p}}\right)^{p^{i-1}}, \quad 1 \le i \le m,$$
(3.4)

where $q = p^m$. Summing (3.4) yields

$$\gamma^{p^m} - \gamma = b \operatorname{Tr}_{q/p}(\frac{\beta}{b^p}).$$

Consequently (3.3) divides $F(x) = x^{p^m} - x - b$ if and only if $\operatorname{Tr}_{q/p}(\beta/b^p) = 1$. Note that there are $q/p = p^{m-1}$ elements β in F_q with trace 1, and the proof is completed. \Box

In general we show that the factorization of F(x) can be reduced to factoring $x^q - x - 1$, $x^{q-1} - \xi$ or $x^{q+1} - \xi$. Let $\varphi = h^{-1}\psi h$ as in Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. For any root θ of F(x) that is not fixed by φ , we have

$$h(\theta^q) = \psi(h(\theta)). \tag{3.5}$$

If Δ is a quadratic residue in F_q , then $h(\theta^q) = (h(\theta))^q$. Thus $\eta = h(\theta)$ is a root of $x^q - x - 1$ or $x^q - \xi x = x(x^{q-1} - \xi)$ according as $\psi(x) = x + 1$ or $\psi(x) = \xi x, \xi \in F_q$. So by the factorization of $x^q - x - 1$ and $x^{q-1} - \xi$ as in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we obtain the factorization of F(x) as follows.

Theorem 3.3 For $a, b \in F_q$ with $a \neq 0, 1$, the following factorization over F_q is complete:

$$x^{q} - (ax + b) = (x - \frac{b}{a-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{(q-1)/t} ((x - \frac{b}{a-1})^{t} - \beta_{j}),$$

where t is the multiplicative order of a and β_j are all the (q-1)/t distinct roots of $x^{(q-1)/t}-a$.

Theorem 3.4 For $a, b, c, d \in F_q$ with $c \neq 0$, $ad - bc \neq 0$ and $\Delta = (a - d)^2 + 4bc = 0$, the following factorization over F_q is complete:

$$(cx+d)x^{q} - (ax+b)$$

= $(x-x_{0})\prod_{j=1}^{q/p} [(x-x_{0})^{p} + \frac{1}{\beta_{j}}(a/c - x_{0})(x-x_{0})^{p-1} - \frac{1}{\beta_{j}}(a/c - x_{0})^{p}]$

where $x_0 \in F_q$ is the unique solution of (2.1) and β_j are all the q/p distinct elements of F_q with $Tr_{q/p}(\beta_j) = 1$.

Theorem 3.5 For $a, b, c, d \in F_q$ with $c \neq 0$, $ad - bc \neq 0$ and $\Delta = (a - d)^2 + 4bc \neq 0$ being a quadratic residue in F_q , the following factorization over F_q is complete:

$$(cx+d)x^{q} - (ax+b) = (x-x_{0})(x-x_{1}) \prod_{j=1}^{(q-1)/t} \frac{1}{1-\beta_{j}} [(x-x_{0})^{t} - \beta_{j}(x-x_{1})^{t}]$$

where $x_0, x_1 \in F_q$ are the two distinct roots of (2.1), t is the multiplicative order of $\xi = (a - cx_0)/(a - cx_1)$ and β_j are all the (q - 1)/t distinct roots of $x^{(q-1)/t} - \xi$ in F_q .

If Δ is not a quadratic residue in F_q , the situation is a little more complicated, as in this case $x_0, x_1, \xi \notin F_q$. Noting that $x_0^q = x_1$ and $x_1^q = x_0$, we have $h(\theta^q) = (1/h(\theta))^q$. The equation (3.5) implies that $\eta = 1/h(\theta)$ is a root of $x^{q+1} - \xi$. So by factoring $x^{q+1} - \xi$ over F_{q^2} we can obtain the factorization of F(x) over F_{q^2} . Then by "combining" these factors we get the factorization of F(x) over F_q as in Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.6 For $a, b, c, d \in F_q$ with $c \neq 0$, $ad - bc \neq 0$ and $\Delta = (a - d)^2 + 4bc \neq 0$ being a quadratic nonresidue in F_q , the following factorization over F_q is complete:

$$F(x) = (cx+d)x^{q} - (ax+b)$$

=
$$\prod_{j=1}^{(q+1)/t} \frac{1}{1-\beta_{j}} [(x-x_{0})^{t} - \beta_{j}(x-x_{1})^{t}]$$
(3.6)

where $x_0, x_1 \in F_{q^2}$ are the two distinct roots of (2.1), t is the multiplicative order of $\xi = (a - cx_1)/(a - cx_0)$ and β_j are all the (q+1)/t distinct roots of $x^{(q+1)/t} - \xi$ in F_{q^2} .

Let f(x) be any nonlinear irreducible factor of F(x) of degree t and let α be a root of f(x). From the discussion at the beginning of this section, we see that $\varphi^i(\alpha), i = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$ are all the roots of f(x) and, by Theorem 2.5, they are linearly independent over F_q if $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha) \neq 0$. But $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha)$ is just the negative of the coefficient of x^{t-1} in f(x). By examining the factors in the above explicit factorizations, we have

Theorem 3.7 Let $F(x) = (cx + d)x^q - (ax + b)$ with $a, b, c, d \in F_q$, $c \neq 0$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$. Then a monic nonlinear irreducible factor f(x) of F(x) of degree t has linearly dependent roots over F_q if and only if the coefficient of x^{t-1} in f(x) is zero. The latter happens only if $\Delta = (a - d)^2 + 4bc \neq 0$ and f(x) is of the form

$$\frac{1}{x_1 - x_0} [x_1(x - x_0)^t - x_0(x - x_1)^t],$$

where x_0 and x_1 are solutions of (2.1).

This shows that every nonlinear irreducible factor of F(x), except for possibly one, has linearly independent roots.

4 Normal Bases

As Theorem 3.7 shows, when $c \neq 0$ the roots of an irreducible nonlinear factor of F(x) form a normal basis over F_q (except possibly for one factor). This section is devoted to discussing the properties of these bases. We will show how to construct a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q with complexity at most 3n - 2 for n = p and for each divisor n of q - 1. For this purpose we first compute the multiplication tables of the normal bases formed by the roots of an irreducible factor of F(x).

Without loss of generality, we assume that $F(x) = x^{q+1} + dx^q - ax - b$ with $a, b, d \in F_q$ and $b \neq ad$. Assume that $\varphi(x) = (ax + b)/(x + d)$ has order n and that, by Lemma 2.3, $\varphi^i(x) = (e_i x + b)/(x - e_{n-i})$ with $e_i = \varphi^{i-1}(a), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let f(x) be any irreducible nonlinear factor of F(x) and α a root of f(x). Then f(x) has degree n and its roots are

$$\alpha_i = \alpha^{q^i} = \varphi^i(\alpha), \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1,$$

and they form a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q if the coefficient of x^{n-1} in f(x) is not zero (or $\text{Tr}(\alpha) \neq 0$), by Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 4.1 Let $F(x) = x^{q+1} + dx^q - (ax + b)$ with $a, b, d \in F_q$ and $b \neq ad$. Let f(x) be an irreducible factor of F(x) of degree n > 1 and let α be a root of it. Then all the roots of f(x) are

$$\alpha_i = \alpha^{q^i} = \varphi^i(\alpha), \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\varphi(x) = (ax+b)/(x+d)$. If $\tau = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i$, the negative of the coefficient of x^{n-1} in f(x), is not zero, then (4.1) form a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q such that

$$\alpha_{0}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{0} \\ \alpha_{1} \\ \alpha_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{*} & -e_{n-1} & -e_{n-2} & \dots & -e_{1} \\ e_{1} & e_{n-1} & & & \\ e_{2} & & e_{n-2} & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ e_{n-1} & & & & e_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{0} \\ \alpha_{1} \\ \alpha_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b^{*} \\ b \\ b \\ \vdots \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.2)

where $e_1 = a$, $e_{i+1} = \varphi(e_i)$ $(i \ge 1)$, $b^* = -b(n-1)$ and $\tau^* = \tau - \epsilon$ with

$$\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_i = \begin{cases} (n-1)(a-d)/2, & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ a = d, & \text{if } p = n = 2, \\ a - d, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } n \equiv 3 \mod 4, \\ 0, & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof: We just need to prove (4.2). By Lemma 2.3, for $i \ge 1$,

$$\alpha_i = \varphi^i(\alpha) = \frac{e_i \alpha_0 + b}{\alpha_0 - e_{n-i}}.$$

 So

$$\alpha_0 \alpha_i = e_i \alpha_0 + e_{n-i} \alpha_i + b.$$

For i = 0, we have

$$\alpha_0 \alpha_0 = \alpha_0 (\tau - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j) = (\tau - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_j) \alpha_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_{n-j} \alpha_j - b(n-1).$$

The theorem follows from Lemma 2.4.

The next theorem can be viewed as the "converse" of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2 Let n > 2 and $\alpha_i = \alpha^{q^i}$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$. Suppose that $\{\alpha_i\}$ is a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q and satisfies

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j = a_{ij} \alpha_i + b_{ij} \alpha_j + \gamma_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } 0 \le i \ne j \le n - 1, \tag{4.3}$$

where $a_{ij}, b_{ij}, \gamma_{ij} \in F_q$. Then there are constants $\gamma, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1} \in F_q$ such that

(a) $e_i = \varphi(e_{i-1})$, for $2 \le i \le n-1$, and

$$a_{ij} = e_{j-i}, b_{ij} = e_{i-j}, \gamma_{ij} = \gamma, \quad for \ all \ i \neq j,$$

where $\varphi(x) = (e_1 x + \gamma)/(x - e_{n-1})$ and the subscripts of e are calculated modulo n;

(b) the minimal polynomial of α is a factor of F(x) = x^{q+1} - e_{n-1}x^q - (e₁x + γ), and thus n must be a factor of p, q - 1 or q + 1.

Proof: Let $e_k = a_{0k}$ and $\gamma_k = \gamma_{0k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then

$$\alpha_0 \alpha_k = e_k \alpha_0 + b_{0k} \alpha_k + \gamma_k. \tag{4.4}$$

Raising (4.4) to the q^{n-k} -th power on both sides, we have

$$\alpha_0 \alpha_{n-k} = b_{0k} \alpha_0 + e_k \alpha_{n-k} + \gamma_k. \tag{4.5}$$

Subtracting (4.5) from (4.4), with the k in (4.4) replaced by n - k, gives

$$(e_{n-k} - b_{0k})\alpha_0 + (b_{0n-k} - e_k)\alpha_{n-k} + \gamma_{n-k} - \gamma_k = 0.$$
(4.6)

As n > 2 and the α_i 's are linearly independent over F_q , the equation (4.6) implies that

$$b_{0k} = e_{n-k}, \quad \gamma_k = \gamma_{n-k}, \quad 1 \le k \le n-1$$

Therefore

$$\alpha_0 \alpha_k = e_k \alpha_0 + e_{n-k} \alpha_k + \gamma_k, \quad 1 \le k \le n-1.$$
(4.7)

Now for any $i \neq j$, raising (4.7) to the q^i -th power and letting k = j - i, we have

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j = e_{j-i} \alpha_i + e_{i-j} \alpha_j + \gamma_{j-i}. \tag{4.8}$$

Comparing (4.8) and (4.3) gives

$$a_{ij} = e_{j-i}, \quad b_{ij} = e_{i-j}, \quad \gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{j-i},$$
(4.9)

which proves part of (a).

We shall prove the remaining part of (a) together with (b). To this purpose, note that a special case of (4.8) is

$$\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} = e_{n-1} \alpha_{i+1} + e_1 \alpha_i + \gamma_1, \quad 0 \le i < n-1,$$

or

$$\alpha_{i+1} = \frac{e_1 \alpha_i + \gamma_1}{\alpha_i - e_{n-1}} = \varphi(\alpha_i), \quad 0 \le i < n-1,$$
(4.10)

where $\varphi(x) = (e_1 x + \gamma)/(x - e_{n-1})$ with $\gamma = \gamma_1$. So, by induction on *i*, we see that $\alpha_i = \varphi^i(\alpha_0) = \varphi^i(\alpha), 0 \le i \le n-1$. We know, by Lemma 2.3, that

$$\varphi^{i}(x) = (a_{i}x + \gamma)/(x - a_{n-i}), \quad 0 \le i \le n-1$$

where $a_i = \varphi(a_{i-1})$, for $i \ge 1$, and $a_1 = e_1$. Thus (4.10) implies that

$$\alpha_i = \frac{a_i \alpha_0 + \gamma}{\alpha_0 - a_{n-i}},$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha_0 \alpha_i = a_i \alpha_0 + a_{n-i} \alpha_i + \gamma. \tag{4.11}$$

Comparing (4.11) to (4.7), we have

$$e_i = a_i, \quad e_{n-i} = a_{n-i}, \quad \gamma_i = \gamma.$$

This proves (a). For (b), note that $\alpha_1 = \alpha^q$ and that (4.7) with k = 1 means α is a root of $F(x) = x^{q+1} - e_{n-1}x^q - e_1x - \gamma$. Therefore the minimal polynomial of α divides F(x). This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.3 For every $a, \beta \in F_q^*$ with $Tr_{q/p}(\beta) = 1$,

$$x^{p} - \frac{1}{\beta}ax^{p-1} - \frac{1}{\beta}a^{p}, \qquad (4.12)$$

is irreducible over F_q and its roots form a normal basis of F_{q^p} over F_q with complexity at most 3p-2. The multiplication table is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau^* & -e_{p-1} & -e_{p-2} & \dots & -e_1 \\ e_1 & e_{p-1} & & & \\ e_2 & & e_{p-2} & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ e_{p-1} & & & & e_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.13)

where $e_1 = a$, $e_{i+1} = \varphi(e_i)$ for $i \ge 1$, $\varphi(x) = ax/(x+a)$, and $\tau^* = a/\beta$ if $p \ne 2$ or $a/\beta - a$ if p = 2.

Proof: Let $F(x) = (x+a)x^q - ax$ and $\varphi(x) = ax/(x+a)$. Then F(x) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.4 with $b = 0, c = 1, d = a, \Delta = 0$, and $x_0 = 0$. So (4.12) is an irreducible factor of F(x). As the coefficient of x^{p-1} in (4.12) is $-a/\beta \neq 0$, by Theorem 4.1, the roots of (4.12) form a normal basis and its multiplication table is (4.13). The complexity is obviously at most 3p - 2.

Theorem 4.4 Let n be any factor of q-1. Let $\beta \in F_q$ with multiplicative order t such that gcd(n, (q-1)/t) = 1 and let $a = \beta^{(q-1)/n}$. Then

$$x^{n} - \beta (x - a + 1)^{n} \tag{4.14}$$

is irreducible over F_q and its roots form a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q of complexity at most 3n-2. The multiplication table is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau^* & -e_{n-1} & -e_{n-2} & \dots & -e_1 \\ e_1 & e_{n-1} & & & \\ e_2 & & e_{n-2} & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ e_{n-1} & & & & e_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.15)

where $e_1 = a$, $e_{i+1} = \varphi(e_i)$ $(i \ge 1)$, $\varphi(x) = ax/(x+1)$ and $\tau^* = -n(a-1)\beta/(1-\beta) - \epsilon$ with ϵ specified as in Theorem 4.1 (with d = 1).

Proof: It is easy to see that a has multiplicative order n. Then $\varphi(x) = ax/(x+1)$ has $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 = a - 1$ as fixed points, and $\xi = (a - x_0)/(a - x_1) = a$ has order n. So φ has order n. Note that β is a root of $x^{(q-1)/n} - a$. By Theorem 3.5, the polynomial (4.14) is an irreducible factor of $F(x) = x^{q+1} + x^q - ax$. Note that the coefficient of x^{n-1} in (4.14) is $n(a-1) \neq 0$. By Theorem 4.1 (with b = 0, d = 1), the roots of (4.14) form a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q and its multiplication table is (4.15). The complexity is obviously at most 3n-2.

The following table is the result of a computer search for the minimal complexity of normal bases. It indicates that when n|(q-1) the minimal complexity is often 3n-3 or 3n-2. This indicates that the normal bases constructed in Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 often have complexity very close to the minimal complexity. In the table, \dagger indicates that the minimal

q	5	7	7	11	11	13	13	17	19
n	4	3	6	5	10	3	4	4	3
\min	9	6	16^{+}_{+}	12	28^{+}	6	7 *	7 *	6

complexity is 3n - 2 and \star indicates optimal complexity, i. e., 2n - 1. Other minimal values are of the form 3n - 3.

5 Self-dual Normal Bases

A basis $B = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\}$ is called a dual basis of $A = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ if $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_i\beta_j) = \delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and 1 for i = j, where Tr is the trace function of F_{q^n} into F_q defined as $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^q + \dots + \alpha^{q^{n-1}} \in F_q$, $\alpha \in F_{q^n}$. One can prove that, for each basis A of F_{q^n} over F_q , there is a unique dual basis. Also, if A is normal then so is its dual. If the dual basis of A coincides with A, then A is called a self-dual basis, that is, a basis $A = \{\alpha_i\}$ is called self-dual if $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Lempel and Weinberger [5] proved

Theorem 5.1 A self-dual normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q exists if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied

- (a) q is even and n is not a multiple of 4,
- (b) both q and n are odd.

Later, Jungnickel, Menezes and Vanstone [4] determined the total number of self-dual bases and self-dual normal bases of F_{q^n} over F_q .

However the proofs of these results are not constructive. In this section, we will construct a self-dual normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q for every n in the following cases:

- (a) n = p, the characteristic of F_q ,
- (b) n|(q-1) and n is odd,
- (c) n|(q+1) and n is odd.

One can check that the conditions in Theorem 5.1 are satisfied by each of the three cases.

Theorem 5.2 Let $N = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ with $\alpha_i = \alpha^{q^i}$ be a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q satisfying

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j = e_{j-i} \alpha_i + e_{i-j} \alpha_j + \gamma, \text{for all } i \neq j,$$

where $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}, \gamma \in F_q$. Let $\tau = Tr_{q^n/q}(\alpha)$ and $\lambda = -(e_1 + e_{n-1}) - n\gamma/\tau$. Then

$$\left\{\frac{1}{\tau(\tau+n\lambda)}(\alpha_i+\lambda): i=0,1,\cdots,n-1\right\}$$

is the dual basis of N.

Proof: Note that, for $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\alpha_i(\alpha_j+\lambda)) &= \operatorname{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\lambda\alpha_i+e_{j-i}\alpha_i+e_{i-j}\alpha_j+\gamma) \\ &= \lambda\tau+e_{j-i}\tau+e_{i-j}\tau+n\gamma \\ &= \tau(\lambda+e_1+e_{n-1})+n\gamma \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\alpha_i(\alpha_i+\lambda)) = \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_i(\tau+\lambda-\sum_{j\neq i}\alpha_j))$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_i(\tau + n\lambda - \sum_{j \neq i} (\alpha_j + \lambda)))$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_i)(\tau + n\lambda) - \sum_{j \neq i} \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_i(\alpha_j + \lambda))$$
$$= \tau(\tau + n\lambda).$$

The result is proved.

We now proceed to determine when the roots of an irreducible factor of $F(x) = x^{q+1} + dx^q - ax - b$ form a self-dual normal basis. Let $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ be a normal basis generated by a root α of F(x) with $\alpha_i = \alpha^{q^i}$ and let $\tau = \text{Tr}_{q^n|q}(\alpha)$. By Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.3, we have, for $i \neq 0$,

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{n}/q}(\alpha_{0}\alpha_{i}) = e_{i}\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_{0}) + e_{n-i}\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha_{i}) + nb$$
$$= \tau(e_{i} + e_{n-i}) + nb$$
$$= \tau(a-d) + nb, \qquad (5.1)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{n}/q}(\alpha_{0}\alpha_{0}) = \tau(\tau - \epsilon) - \tau\epsilon - nb(n-1) \\ = \begin{cases} \tau^{2}, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \tau^{2} - (n-1)(\tau(a-d) + nb), & \text{if } p \neq 2. \end{cases}$$
(5.2)

Therefore α generates a self-dual normal basis if $\tau = \text{Tr}(\alpha) = 1$ and (a - d) + nb = 0. By examining the irreducible factors in Theorems 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, we find that these two conditions can be satisfied. More explicitly, we have the following three results.

Theorem 5.3 For any $\beta \in F_q^*$ with $Tr_{q/p}(\beta) = 1$,

$$x^p - x^{p-1} - \beta^{p-1} \tag{5.3}$$

is irreducible over F_q and its roots form a self-dual normal basis of F_{q^p} over F_q with complexity at most 3p-2. The multiplication table is (4.13) where $e_1 = \beta$, $e_{i+1} = \varphi(e_i)$ $(i \ge 1)$, $\varphi(x) = \beta x/(x+\beta)$, and $\tau^* = 1$ if $p \ne 2$ or $\tau^* = 1 - \beta$ if p = 2.

Proof: Let $F(x) = (x + \beta)x^q - \beta x$. Then, by Theorem 3.4, the polynomial (5.3) is an irreducible factor of F(x) (where b = 0, c = 1, $d = a = \beta$, $x_0 = 0$ and $\beta_j = \beta$). Since a - d = b = 0 and $\tau = 1$ in (5.1) and (5.2), the roots of (5.3) form a self-dual normal basis. Its multiplication table is (4.13), by Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 5.4 Let n be an odd factor of q - 1 and $\xi \in F_q$ of multiplicative order n. Then there exists $u \in F_q$ such that $(u^2)^{(q-1)/n} = \xi$. Let $x_0 = (1+u)/n$ and $x_1 = (1+u)/(nu)$. Then the monic polynomial

$$\frac{1}{1-u^2}[(x-x_0)^n - u^2(x-x_1)^n]$$
(5.4)

is irreducible over F_q and its roots form a self-dual normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q . The multiplication table is (4.2) with $a = (x_0 - \xi x_1)/(1 - \xi)$, $b = -x_0 x_1$, $d = a - (x_0 + x_1)$ and $\tau = 1$.

Proof: We first prove that there exists at least one root of $x^{(q-1)/n} - \xi$ that is a quadratic residue in F_q . Let ζ be a primitive element in F_q . Let t be an odd factor of q-1 such that n|t and gcd(n, (q-1)/t) = 1. Then $\zeta_0 = \zeta^{(q-1)/t}$ is a t-th primitive root of unity. Since t is odd, ζ_0^2 is also a t-th primitive root of unity. Let d = t/n. Then there is an integer i such that $(\zeta_0^2)^{id} = \xi$, that is,

$$(\zeta^{(q-1)/t})^{2id} = (\zeta^{2i})^{(q-1)/n} = \xi.$$

So ζ^{2i} is a root of $x^{(q-1)/n} - \xi$ and is a quadratic residue in F_q . Therefore we can take $u = \zeta^i$.

Now by applying Theorem 3.5, we see that (5.4) is an irreducible factor of $F(x) = (x+d)x^q - (ax+b)$. The negative of the coefficient of x^{n-1} in (5.4) is

$$\tau = \frac{n(x_0 - u^2 x_1)}{1 - u^2} = 1.$$

By Theorem 4.1, the roots of (5.4) form a normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q with the claimed multiplication table. Note that

$$a - d = x_0 + x_1 = \frac{(u+1)}{n} + \frac{u+1}{nu} = \frac{(u+1)^2}{nu} = nx_0x_1 = -nb,$$

that is, $\tau(a-d) + nb = 0$. It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that the roots of (5.4) form a self-dual normal basis.

Theorem 5.5 Let n be an odd factor of q + 1 and let $\xi \in F_{q^2}$ be a root of $x^{q+1} - 1$ with multiplicative order n. Then there is a root u of $x^{q+1} - 1$ such that $(u^2)^{(q+1)/n} = \xi$. Let $x_0 = (1+u)/n$ and $x_1 = (1+u)/(nu)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{1-u^2}[(x-x_0)^n - u^2(x-x_1)^n]$$
(5.5)

is in $F_q[x]$ and is irreducible over F_q with its roots forming a self-dual normal basis of F_{q^n} over F_q . The multiplication table is (4.2) with $a = (x_1 - \xi x_0)/(1 - \xi)$, $b = -x_0 x_1$, $d = a - (x_0 + x_1)$ and $\tau = 1$.

Proof: The proof of the existence of u is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 5.4 by taking ζ to be a (q+1)th primitive root of unity in F_{q^2} . We next prove that $a, b, d \in F_q$ and (5.5) is in $F_q[x]$. Note that ξ, u and u^2 are all (q+1)th roots of unity and we have $\xi^q = 1/\xi, u^q = 1/u$ and $(u^2)^q = 1/u^2$. Thus $x_0^q = x_1$ and $x_1^q = x_0$. So $a^q = a, b^q = b$ and $d^q = d$, that is, $a, b, d \in F_q$. Denote the polynomial (5.5) by $\phi(x)$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi(x))^q &= \frac{1}{1 - (u^2)^q} [(x^q - x_0^q)^n - (u^2)^q (x^q - x_1^q)^n] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - 1/u^2} [(x^q - x_1)^n - 1/u^2 (x^q - x_0)^n] \\ &= \phi(x^q). \end{aligned}$$

We see that the coefficients of $\phi(x)$ are in F_q .

To prove that (5.5) is irreducible over F_q , we apply Theorem 3.6. It is easy to check that, with a, b, d as defined in Theorem 5.5, x_0 and x_1 are the two distinct solutions of (2.1) with c = 1 and $(a - x_1)/(a - x_0) = \xi$ which is of order n. Now since u^2 is assumed to be a solution of $x^{(q+1)/q} - \xi$, it follows from Theorem 3.6 that (5.5) is an irreducible factor of $F(x) = (x + d)x^q - (ax + b)$.

As the coefficient of x^{n-1} in (5.5) is $(-nx_0 + nu^2x_1)/(1 - u^2) = -1$, the trace of any root of (5.5) is $\tau = 1$. It is easy to check that $\tau(a - d) + nb = 0$. It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that the roots of (5.5) form a self-dual normal basis. The multiplication table follows from Theorem 4.1.

References

- D.W. Ash, I.F. Blake, and S.A. Vanstone, "Low complexity normal bases", *Discrete Applied Math.* 25 (1989), 191–210.
- [2] I.F. Blake, S. Gao and R.C. Mullin, "Factorization of $cx^{q+1} + dx^q ax b$ and normal bases over GF(q)", Research report CORR91-26, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Waterloo, 1991.
- [3] S. Gao and H.W. Lenstra, "Optimal normal bases", to appear in *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 1992.
- [4] D. Jungnickel, A. J. Menezes and S. A. Vanstone, "On the number of self-dual bases of $GF(q^m)$ over GF(q)", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), 23–29.
- [5] A. Lempel and M. J. Weinberger, "Self-complementary normal bases in finite fields", SIAM J Discr. Math. 1 (1988), 193–198.
- [6] R.C. Mullin, I.M. Onyszchuk, S.A. Vanstone and R.M. Wilson, "Optimal normal bases in GF(pⁿ)", Discrete Applied Math., 22 (1988/89), 149-161.
- [7] O. Ore, "Contributions to the theory of finite fields", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1934), 243–274.
- [8] V.M. Sidel'nikov, "On normal bases of a finite field", Math. USSR Sbornik 61(1988), 485–494.