

# Wellposedness of the two-sided variable coefficient Caputo flux fractional diffusion equation and error estimate of its spectral approximation

Xiangcheng Zheng <sup>\*</sup>      V. J. Ervin<sup>†</sup>      Hong Wang <sup>\*</sup>

October 31, 2018

## Abstract

In this article a two-sided variable coefficient fractional diffusion equation (FDE) is investigated, where the variable coefficient occurs outside of the fractional integral operator. Under a suitable transformation the variable coefficient equation is transformed to a constant coefficient equation. Then, using the spectral decomposition approach with Jacobi polynomials, we proved the wellposedness of the model and the regularity of its solution. A spectral approximation scheme is proposed and the accuracy of its approximation studied. Two numerical experiments are presented to demonstrate the derived error estimates.

**Key words.** Fractional diffusion equation, Jacobi polynomials, spectral method

**AMS Mathematics subject classifications.** 65N30, 35B65, 41A10, 33C45

## 1 Introduction

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) provide a competitive means to model challenging phenomena such as anomalously diffusive transport and long-range spatial interactions and memory effect. In the last couple of decades they have found increasingly more applications [2, 16] and have attracted extensive research on the development and numerical analysis of their numerical approximations.

In their pioneer work Ervin and Roop [6] proved the equivalence between the fractional derivative spaces and corresponding fractional Sobolev spaces and proved that the Galerkin weak formulation for the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary-value problem of a one-dimensional steady-state FDE with a constant diffusivity is coercive and bounded on the fractional Sobolev space  $H_0^{\alpha/2}(0, 1) \times H_0^{\alpha/2}(0, 1)$ ,

---

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA. email: xz3@math.sc.edu & hwang@math.sc.edu.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29634-0975, USA. email: vjervin@clemson.edu.

where  $\alpha$  is the order of the fractional diffusion operator. These results enable them to apply Lax-Milgram theorem to conclude the wellposedness of the Galerkin weak formulation [4]. In addition, they proved an optimal-order error estimate in the energy norm for the corresponding Galerkin finite element method under the assumption that the true solution of the FDE has full regularity. Furthermore, they used Nitsche lifting to prove an optimal-order error estimate in the  $L^2$  norm for the finite element method under the assumption that the true solution to the dual problem has the full regularity for *each* right-hand side source term in the  $L^2$ . Subsequently, the framework in [6] was extended by various researchers to prove error estimates for other numerical methods, again, under the assumption that the true solutions to these problems have full regularities.

It was shown in [22, 25] that the solution to the FDE with a smooth right-hand side is actually not in the Sobolev space  $W^{1,1/(2-\alpha)}$ , and, in particular, not in the Sobolev space  $H^1$  for  $1 < \alpha < 3/2$ . This shows that FDEs have significantly different mathematical behavior than their integer-order analogues [8, 9]. Consequently, any Nitsche-lifting based proof of optimal-order  $L^2$  error estimates of finite element methods in the literature does not hold since the full regularity assumption of the solution to the dual problem is invalid. Numerical experiments confirmed that spectral methods or high-order finite element methods for this problem failed to achieve high-order convergence rates in the  $L_2$  norm [24, 25]. Jin et al. [11] studied the regularity of the solution to a one-sided simplification of the problem and proved (suboptimal-order) error estimates of the corresponding Galerkin and Petrov-Galerkin finite element method in the Sobolev spaces only under the assumption of the smoothness of the data (not the solution).

It turns out that spectral methods are particularly well suited for the accurate approximation of FDEs for the following reasons: (i) They present a clean analytical expression of the true solution to FDEs, which have been fully explored in [7, 14] in analyzing the structure and regularity of the true solutions. (ii) Fractional differentiation of many spectral polynomials can be carried out analytically [25], in contrast to finite element methods in which they have to be calculated numerically that are sometimes a headache [24]. (iii) As FDEs are nonlocal operators the appealing property of a sparse coefficient matrix, which arises in a finite element, finite difference, or finite volume approximation of a usual differential equation, is lost. In contrast, the stiffness matrices of spectral methods are often diagonal (at least for constant-coefficient FDEs). Mao et al. [13] analyzed the regularity of the solution to a symmetric case of the FDE and developed corresponding spectral methods. The solution structure to the general case was resolved completely in [7], in which a spectral method utilizing the weighted Jacobi polynomial was studied and a priori error estimates derived. The two-sided FDE with constant coefficient and Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative was investigated in [14], by employing a Petrov-Galerkin projection in a properly weighted Sobolev space using two-sided Jacobi polyfractonomials as test and trial functions.

The variable diffusivity  $K$  presents another bottleneck of FDEs. It was shown in [21] that the Galerkin weak formulation may lose its coercivity for a smooth  $K(x)$  with positive lower and upper bounds. In fact, its Galerkin finite element approximation might diverge [24]. A Petrov-Galerkin weak formulation was proved to be wellposed on  $H_0^{\alpha-1} \times H_0^1$  for  $3/2 < \alpha < 2$  for a one-sided FDE with variable  $K$  [21]. A Petrov-Galerkin finite element method was developed and analyzed subsequently [23]. An indirect Legendre spectral Galerkin method and a finite element method were developed for the FDE in [25] and [24], respectively, in which the solution was expressed as a fractional derivative of the solution to a second-order differential equations. Consequently, high-order convergence rates of numerical approximations were proved and numerically observed in the  $L_2$  norm only under regularity assumptions of coefficients and right-hand side (the true solution is not

smooth in fact). In [12], with the introduction of an auxiliary variable, a mixed approximation was developed and analyzed for an FDE in which the variable diffusivity  $K$  appears inside the fractional integral operator, and error estimates was derived. In [15], a spectral Galerkin method for a different variable coefficient FDE was analyzed, in which the outside and inside fractional derivatives are chosen carefully so that the corresponding Galerkin weak formulation are self-adjoint and coercive. Additionally optimal error estimates were derived under suitable smoothness assumption on the solution. Recently a FDE for a general  $K(x)$  insider the fractional differential operator was studied in [27]. The model was shown to be wellposed, and a spectral approximation method studied.

In this paper we investigate the FDE where the variable diffusivity  $K(x)$  appears outside the fractional integral operator. The approach used in [27] is not suitable for the solution of the FDE with  $K(x)$  outside the fractional integral operator. Using a different approach than in [27], we are able to establish wellposedness of the model. Additionally, a spectral approximation scheme is proposed and analyzed.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the formulation of the model and introduce notations and key lemmas used in the analysis. The wellposedness of the model and the regularity of its solution are studied in Section 3. The spectral approximation method is formulated and a detailed analysis of its convergence is given in Section 4. Two numerical experiments are presented in Section 5 whose results demonstrate the sharpness of the derived error estimates.

## 2 Model problem and preliminaries

In this paper we consider the following homogeneous Dirichlet boundary-value problem of a two-sided Caputo flux FDE, which is obtained by incorporating a fractional Fick's law into a conventional local mass balance law [5, 6, 26]. Fractional diffusion equation (FDE) of order  $1 < \alpha < 2$  with variable fractional diffusivity [5, 6, 26]

$$\begin{aligned} -D[K(x)(r {}_0I_x^{2-\alpha} + (1-r) {}_xI_1^{2-\alpha}) Du(x)] &= f(x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) &= 0, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here  $D$  is the first-order differential operator,  $K(x)$  is the fractional diffusivity with  $0 < K_m \leq K(x) \leq K_M < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 1$  indicates the relative weight of forward versus backward transition probability and  $f(x)$  the source or sink term. The left and right fractional integrals of order  $0 < \sigma < 1$  are defined as [17]

$${}_0I_x^\sigma w(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^x w(s)(x-s)^{\sigma-1} ds, \quad {}_xI_1^\sigma w(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_x^1 w(s)(s-x)^{\sigma-1} ds,$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function.

**Lemma 2.1** *For  $f(x) \in L_1(0, 1)$ ,  $u$  is a solution to problem (2.1) if and only if  $u$  is a solution to the following problem*

$$\begin{aligned} L_r^\alpha u(x) &:= -(r {}_0I_x^{2-\alpha} + (1-r) {}_xI_1^{2-\alpha}) Du(x) = f_1(x) - Af_2(x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= K(0) [(r_0 I_x^{2-\alpha} + (1-r)_x I_1^{2-\alpha}) Du(x)]|_{x=0}, \\ f_1(x) &:= \frac{1}{K(x)} \int_0^x f(y) dy, \quad f_2(x) := \frac{1}{K(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

**Proof.** Note that integration of the FDE (2.1) with simple algebraic manipulation yields (2.2) and the procedure is reversible. ■

Based on Lemma 2.1, in the subsequent sections we concentrate on analyzing problem (2.2). In the rest of this section we introduce some notion and known results in the literature to be used in subsequent sections.

The notation  $y_n \sim n^p$  means that there exist constants  $0 < C_1 < C_2 < \infty$  such that  $C_1 n^p \leq y_n \leq C_2 n^p$ .

We use  $C$  to denote a generic positive constant, whose actual value may change from line to line.

Let  $I := (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ , and  $W_p^r(I)$  for  $r \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  denote the standard Sobolev spaces with the corresponding norms  $\|\cdot\|_{W_p^r} := \|\cdot\|_{W_p^r(I)}$ . For  $\omega(x) > 0$ ,  $x \in I$ , we introduce the weighted  $L_\omega^2$  inner product and associated norm defined by

$$(f, g)_\omega := \int_0^1 \omega(x) f(x) g(x) dx, \quad \|f\|_\omega := (f, f)_\omega^{1/2}.$$

Correspondingly, we let  $L_\omega^2(I)$  denote the weighted  $L^2$  space

$$L_\omega^2(I) := \left\{ f(x) : \|f\|_\omega^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

In addition, let  $\omega^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  be a weighting function defined on  $I$  and indexed by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we introduce the following weighted Sobolev spaces [10, 19]

$$H_{\omega^{(\alpha, \beta)}}^m(I) := \left\{ v : \|v\|_{m, \omega^{(\alpha, \beta)}}^2 := \sum_{j=0}^m |v|_{j, \omega^{(\alpha, \beta)}}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^m \|D^j v\|_{\omega^{(\alpha+j, \beta+j)}}^2 < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

For  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  definition (2.4) is extended to  $H_{\omega^{(\alpha, \beta)}}^t(\mathcal{I})$  by interpolation [3].

Jacobi polynomial play a key role in the approximation schemes. We briefly review their definition and properties central to the method [1, 20].

Definition:  $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) := \sum_{m=0}^n p_{n,m} (x-1)^{(n-m)} (x+1)^m$ , where

$$p_{n,m} := \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n+\alpha}{m} \binom{n+\beta}{n-m}. \quad (2.5)$$

Orthogonality:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx &= \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq j \\ \|P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|^2, & k = j \end{cases}, \\ \text{where } \|P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}\| &= \left( \frac{2^{(\alpha+\beta+1)}}{(2j+\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma(j+1) \Gamma(j+\alpha+\beta+1)} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In order to transform the domain of the family of Jacobi polynomials to  $[0, 1]$ , let  $x \rightarrow 2t - 1$  and introduce  $G_n^{\alpha, \beta}(t) = P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x(t))$ . From (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) dx &= \int_{t=0}^1 2^\alpha (1-t)^\alpha 2^\beta t^\beta P_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(2t-1) P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(2t-1) 2 dt \\ &= 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \int_{t=0}^1 (1-t)^\alpha t^\beta G_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) G_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq j, \\ 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} |||G_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}|||^2, & k = j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } |||G_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}||| = \left( \frac{1}{(2j + \alpha + \beta + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(j + \alpha + 1) \Gamma(j + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1) \Gamma(j + \alpha + \beta + 1)} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{Note that } |||G_j^{(\alpha, \beta)}||| = |||G_j^{(\beta, \alpha)}|||. \quad (2.8)$$

From [13, equation (2.19)] we have that

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k} P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n + k + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{2^k \Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)} P_{n-k}^{\alpha+k, \beta+k}(x). \quad (2.9)$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} G_n^{\alpha, \beta}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(n + k + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)} G_{n-k}^{\alpha+k, \beta+k}(t). \quad (2.10)$$

Also, from [13, equation (2.15)],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left\{ (1-x)^{\alpha+k} (1+x)^{\beta+k} P_{n-k}^{\alpha+k, \beta+k}(x) \right\} \\ = \frac{(-1)^k 2^k n!}{(n-k)!} (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x), \quad n \geq k \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left\{ (1-t)^{\alpha+k} t^{\beta+k} G_{n-k}^{\alpha+k, \beta+k}(t) \right\} = \frac{(-1)^k n!}{(n-k)!} (1-t)^\alpha t^\beta G_n^{\alpha, \beta}(t). \quad (2.12)$$

From [27] we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{|||G_j^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}|||^2}{|||G_{j+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}|||^2} = \frac{j+1}{j+\alpha} \leq 1, \quad j \geq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}_N$  denote the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq N$ . We define the weighted  $L^2$  orthogonal projection  $P_N^{(\alpha, \beta)} : L_{\omega(\alpha, \beta)}^2(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_N$

$$(v - P_N^{(\alpha, \beta)} v, \phi_N)_{\omega(\alpha, \beta)} = 0, \quad \forall \phi_N \in \mathcal{S}_N. \quad (2.14)$$

**Lemma 2.2** [10, Theorem 2.1] For any  $v \in H_{\omega(\alpha,\beta)}^m(0,1)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $0 \leq \mu \leq m$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$ , which is independent of  $N$ ,  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ , such that

$$\|v - P_N^{(\alpha,\beta)} v\|_{\mu,\omega(\alpha,\beta)} \leq C (N(N+\alpha+\beta))^{\frac{\mu-m}{2}} |v|_{m,\omega(\alpha,\beta)}. \quad (2.15)$$

■

The Jacobi polynomials have the following useful property.

**Lemma 2.3** [14] For  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , let  $\beta$ , with  $\alpha - 1 \leq \beta \leq 1$ , be determined by

$$r = \frac{\sin(\pi\beta)}{\sin(\pi(\alpha-\beta)) + \sin(\pi\beta)}. \quad (2.16)$$

Then, for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} (r {}_0I_x^{2-\alpha} + (1-r) {}_xI_1^{2-\alpha}) D(\omega^{(\alpha-\beta,\beta)} G_n^{(\alpha-\beta,\beta)}(x)) &= \lambda_n G_{n+1}^{(\beta-1,\alpha-\beta-1)}(x), \\ \text{where } \lambda_n &= \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\sin(\pi(\alpha-\beta)) + \sin(\pi\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

■

By Stirling's formula, for  $\mu > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\mu)}{\Gamma(n) n^\mu} = 1, \quad \text{hence } \lambda_n \sim (n+1)^{\alpha-1}. \quad (2.18)$$

### 3 Wellposedness of (2.2) and the regularity of its solution

In this section we study the wellposedness of (2.2) and the regularity of its solution.

#### 3.1 Existence and uniqueness of the solution to (2.2)

**Lemma 3.1** For  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , if  $f \in L_{\omega(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}^2(\mathbf{I})$ , then  $\int_0^x f(s)ds$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in L_{\omega(\beta-1,\alpha-\beta-1)}^2(\mathbf{I})$ , with the stability estimates

$$\|f_1\|_{\omega(\beta-1,\alpha-\beta-1)} \leq C_1(\alpha, \beta, K_m) \|f\|_{\omega(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}, \quad \|f_2\|_{\omega(\beta-1,\alpha-\beta-1)} \leq C_2(\alpha, \beta, K_m), \quad (3.1)$$

for constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2 > 0$ .

**Proof:** To establish that  $\int_0^x f(s)ds \in L_{\omega(\beta-1,\alpha-\beta-1)}^2(\mathbf{I})$ , consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1,\alpha-\beta-1)}(x) \left( \int_0^x f(s)ds \right)^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1,\alpha-\beta-1)}(x) \left( \int_0^x \omega^{(-\beta,-(\alpha-\beta))}(s)ds \int_0^x \omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)} f(s)^2 ds \right) dx \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1,\alpha-\beta-1)}(x) dx \int_0^1 \omega^{(-\beta,-(\alpha-\beta))}(s)ds \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)} f(s)^2 ds \\ & = B(\alpha-\beta, \beta) B(1-(\alpha-\beta), 1-\beta) \|f\|_{\omega(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}^2 < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the Beta function. Hence  $\int_0^x f(s)ds \in L^2_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}(\mathbf{I})$ .

For  $f_1(x) = \frac{1}{K(x)} \int_0^x f(s)ds$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} &= \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \int_0^x f(s)ds \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \leq \frac{1}{K_m} \left( \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) \left( \int_0^x f(s)ds \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{K_m} (B(\alpha-\beta, \beta) B(1-(\alpha-\beta), 1-\beta))^{1/2}}_{:= C_1(\alpha, \beta, K_m)} \|f\|_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}, \quad \text{using (3.2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for  $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{K(x)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} &= \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \leq \frac{1}{K_m} \left( \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{K_m} (B(\alpha-\beta, \beta))^{1/2} := C_2(\alpha, \beta, K_m). \end{aligned}$$

■

**Theorem 3.1** For  $f \in L^2_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$ , problem (2.2) has a solution  $u \in L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$  in which the constant  $A$  can be expressed in terms of the given data  $f$  and  $K$  as

$$A = \frac{(f_1, 1)_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}}{(K^{-1}, 1)_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}} = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \int_0^x f(y)dy, 1 \right)_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}}{\left( \frac{1}{K(x)}, 1 \right)_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}}. \quad (3.3)$$

**Proof:** Note that  $A$  defined by (3.3) is well defined follows from the fact that  $f_1$  and  $f_2 \in L^2_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}(\mathbf{I})$ , Lemma 3.1. Also, using this property,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  can be expanded as

$$f_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{i,j} G_j^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$f_{i,j} := \frac{(f_i, G_j^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)})_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}}{\|G_j^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let

$$u(x) := \omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j G_j^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x). \quad (3.6)$$

We use Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$L_r^\alpha u(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j L_r^\alpha (\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) G_j^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)) = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \lambda_j G_{j+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x). \quad (3.7)$$

For  $u$  to be a solution to (2.2), we enforce using (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
L_r^\alpha u(x) &= - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \lambda_j G_{j+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) = f_1(x) - Af_2(x) \\
&= - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (f_{1,j} - Af_{2,j}) G_j^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) \\
&= -(f_{1,0} - Af_{2,0}) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (f_{1,j+1} - Af_{2,j+1}) G_{j+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) \quad (\text{using } G_0^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) = 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore, for  $u$  to be a solution to (2.2), we require

$$c_j := (-f_{1,j+1} + Af_{2,j+1})/\lambda_j, \quad j \geq 0 \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$f_{1,0} - Af_{2,0} = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

The value for  $A$  given by (3.3) immediately follows from (3.10).

To prove that  $u(x)$  defined in (3.6) is a solution of model (2.2) we define the  $N + 1$ -term truncation of  $u(x)$ ,  $u_N(x)$ , as

$$u_N(x) := \omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \sum_{i=0}^N c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x). \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly we define

$$f_k^N(x) := \sum_{i=0}^N f_{k,i} G_i^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x), \quad k = 1, 2. \tag{3.12}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_M - u_N\|_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}^2 &= \sum_{i=N+1}^M c_i^2 \| \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}\| \|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{i=N+1}^M \frac{2f_{1,i+1}^2}{\lambda_i^2} \| \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}\| \|^2 + A^2 \sum_{i=N+1}^M \frac{2f_{2,i+1}^2}{\lambda_i^2} \| \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}\| \|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\lambda_0^2} \sum_{i=N+1}^M f_{1,i+1}^2 \| \|G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}\| \|^2 + \frac{2}{\lambda_0^2} A^2 \sum_{i=N+1}^M f_{2,i+1}^2 \| \|G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}\| \|^2 \quad (\text{using (2.13)}) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\lambda_0^2} \|f_1^M - f_1^N\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2 + \frac{2}{\lambda_0^2} A^2 \|f_2^M - f_2^N\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the assumption that  $f \in L_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}^2(\mathbf{I})$ ,  $\{f_1^N(x)\}_{N=1}^\infty$  and  $\{f_2^N(x)\}_{N=1}^\infty$  are Cauchy sequences, hence  $\{u_N(x)\}_{N=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}^2(\mathbf{I})$ . As  $L_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}^2(\mathbf{I})$  is com-



plete [28],  $u(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u_N(x) \in L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f_1 - Af_2 - L_r^\alpha u_N\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \\
&= \left\| f_1 - Af_2 - L_r^\alpha \left( \omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)} \sum_{i=0}^N c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)} \right) \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \\
&= \left\| f_1 - Af_2 - \sum_{i=0}^N (f_{1,i+1} - Af_{2,i+1}) G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \\
&= \left\| f_1 - Af_2 - \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} (f_{1,i} - Af_{2,i}) G_i^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \quad (\text{using (3.10)}) \\
&= \|f_1 - f_1^{N+1} - A(f_2 - f_2^{N+1})\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \\
&\leq \|f_1 - f_1^{N+1}\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} + |A| \|f_2 - f_2^{N+1}\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As  $f_1^{N+1} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} f_1$  and  $f_2^{N+1} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} f_2$ , then it follows that  $L_r^\alpha u = f_1 - Af_2$ . ■

**Corollary 3.1** For  $f \in L^2_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(0, 1)$  and  $0 < K_m \leq K(x) \leq K_M$  there exists a unique solution  $u \in L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$  to (2.1).

**Proof:** From Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.1 we have the existence of a solution  $u \in L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$  to (2.1). To establish uniqueness, suppose that  $u_1$  and  $u_2 \in L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$  are solutions of (2.1). Let  $z(x) = (u_1(x) - u_2(x)) \in L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$ . Then,  $z(x)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
L_r^\alpha z(x) &= -A/K(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{I}, \quad \text{subject to } z(0) = z(1) = 0 \\
A &= K(0) \left[ (r_0 I_x^{2-\alpha} + (1-r)x I_1^{2-\alpha}) D z(x) \right] \Big|_{x=0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Proceeding in an analogous manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain that  $z(x) = 0$ . Hence  $u_1 = u_2$ . ■

### 3.2 The stability and regularity estimate for the solution to (2.2)

**Theorem 3.2** For  $f \in L^2_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(0, 1)$  and  $0 < K_m \leq K(x) \leq K_M$ , the solution  $u$  of (2.2) has the following stability estimate

$$\|u\|_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}} \leq C \|f\|_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}} \quad \text{for some constant } C > 0. \tag{3.15}$$

**Proof:** Note that from (3.3)

$$|A| \leq C \|f_1\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \leq C \|f\|_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}, \quad \text{using (3.1)}. \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.13), with  $u_N = 0$ ,  $f_1^N = 0$ ,  $f_2^N = 0$  and taking the limit as  $M \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}^2 &\leq \frac{2}{\lambda_0^2} (\|f_1\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2 + A^2 \|f_2\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last step we have used (3.1) and (3.16). ■

The solution of a fractional differential equation, in general, exhibits a lack of regularity at the boundary of the domain. This is reflected by the weight function  $\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)$  in the representation for  $u(x)$  in (3.6). Acknowledging this singular behavior, in the next result we explore the regularity of  $u(x)$  beyond this singular term by considering the regularity of  $u(x)/\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $D^j f_k \in L_{\omega^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$  for  $k = 1, 2$ , then  $D^j(u(x)/\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)) \in L_{\omega^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}}^2(\mathbb{I})$ .*

**Proof:** Using (3.11), (3.12) and (2.10) we obtain

$$D^j f_k^N(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N f_{k,i} \frac{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha-1)} G_{i-j}^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}(x), \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$D^j \left( \frac{u_N(x)}{\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}} \right) = D^j \left( \sum_{i=0}^N c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x) \right) = \sum_{i=0}^N c_i \frac{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)} G_{i-j}^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}(x), \quad (3.18)$$

where  $G_i^{(a,b)}(x) = 0$  for  $i < 0$ .

Using (3.18) and (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| D^j \left( \frac{u_M(x)}{\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}} \right) - D^j \left( \frac{u_N(x)}{\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}} \right) \right\|_{\omega^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}}^2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \omega^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)} \left( \sum_{i=N+1}^M c_i \frac{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)} G_{i-j}^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)} \right)^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{i=N+1}^M c_i^2 \left( \frac{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)} \right)^2 \|G_{i-j}^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}(x)\|^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=N+1}^M \frac{f_{1,i+1}^2 + f_{2,i+1}^2}{\lambda_i^2} \left( \frac{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)} \right)^2 \|G_{i-j}^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}(x)\|^2 \text{ (using (3.9))} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda_0^2} \sum_{i=N+1}^M (f_{1,i+1}^2 + f_{2,i+1}^2) \left( \frac{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha+1)} \right)^2 \left( \frac{(i-j+1)}{(i-j+\alpha)} \right)^2 \|G_{i+1-j}^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}\|^2 \text{ (using (2.13))} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=N+1}^M (f_{1,i+1}^2 + f_{2,i+1}^2) \left( \frac{\Gamma(i+j+\alpha)}{\Gamma(i+\alpha)} \right)^2 \|G_{i+1-j}^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}(x)\|^2 \\ &= C \left( \|D^j f_1^{M+1} - D^j f_1^{N+1}\|_{\omega^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}^2 + \|D^j f_2^{M+1} - D^j f_2^{N+1}\|_{\omega^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}^2 \right). \quad (3.19) \end{aligned}$$

As  $D^j f_k \in L^2_{\omega^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}(\mathbf{I})$  for  $k = 1, 2$ , then  $\{D^j f_k^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2_{\omega^{(\beta+j-1, \alpha-\beta+j-1)}}(\mathbf{I})$ , for  $k = 1, 2$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^j(u_n(x)/\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)) = D^j(u(x)/\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x))$ , then it follows that  $D^j(u(x)/\omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}(x)) \in L^2_{\omega^{(\alpha-\beta+j, \beta+j)}}(\mathbf{I})$ . ■

## 4 A spectral approximation method for (2.2)

In this section, we introduce a spectral approximation method for the model (2.2) and study its convergence. The convergence rate depends on the regularity of  $f(x)$  and  $K(x)$ .

**Lemma 4.1** *For  $f(x) \in H^s_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbf{I})$  and  $1/K(x) \in W_\infty^{s+1}(\mathbf{I})$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the following estimates holds*

$$\|f_1\|_{s+1, \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \leq C(s) \|1/K\|_{W_\infty^{s+1}} \|f\|_{s, \omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\|f_2\|_{s+1, \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}} \leq C(s) \|1/K\|_{W_\infty^{s+1}}, \quad (4.2)$$

for constant  $C > 0$ .

**Proof:**

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1\|_{s+1, \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2 &= \sum_{r=0}^{s+1} \left\| D^r \left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \int_0^x f(y) dy \right) \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{s+1} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} D^{r-k} \left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \right) D^k \int_0^x f(y) dy \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}}^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{r=0}^{s+1} \left\| D^r \left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \right) \int_0^x f(y) dy \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}}^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{s+1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r}{k} D^{r-k} \left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \right) D^k \int_0^x f(y) dy \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

For the first term in (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| D^r \left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \right) \int_0^x f(y) dy \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}}^2 &= \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}(x) \left( D^r \left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \right) \right)^2 \left( \int_0^x f(y) dy \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{W_\infty^r}^2 \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) \left( \int_0^x f(y) dy \right)^2 dx \\ &\quad \text{(using } \omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}(x) \leq \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}(x) \text{)} \\ &\leq C \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{W_\infty^r}^2 \|f\|_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}, \text{ using (3.2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

For the second term in (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| D^{r-k} \left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \right) D^k \int_0^x f(y) dy \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}}^2 \\
&= \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}(x) \left( D^{r-k} \left( \frac{1}{K(x)} \right) \right)^2 \left( D^k \int_0^x f(y) dy \right)^2 dx \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{W_\infty^{r-k}}^2 \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}(x) \left( D^{k-1} f(x) \right)^2 dx \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{W_\infty^{r-k}}^2 \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1+k, \alpha-\beta-1+k)}(x) \left( D^{k-1} f(x) \right)^2 dx, \quad (\text{as } k \leq r) \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{W_\infty^{r-k}}^2 \left\| D^{k-1} f \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1+k, \alpha-\beta-1+k)}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Combining (4.3)-(4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_1\|_{s+1, \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2 &\leq C \left( \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{W_\infty^{s+1}}^2 \|f\|_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}} + \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{W_\infty^{s+1}}^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} \left\| D^{k-1} f \right\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1+k, \alpha-\beta-1+k)}}^2 \right) \right) \\
&\leq C \left\| \frac{1}{K(x)} \right\|_{W_\infty^{s+1}}^2 \|f\|_{s, \omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate (4.2) follows from the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_{s, \omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}$  and the integrability of  $\omega^{(\beta-1+r, \alpha-\beta-1+r)}$  on I for  $0 \leq r \leq s+1$ . ■

**Theorem 4.1** For  $f(x) \in H_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}^s(\text{I})$  and  $1/K(x) \in W_\infty^{s+1}(\text{I})$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|u - u_N\|_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}} \leq \frac{C \|1/K\|_{W_\infty^{s+1}}}{(N+1)^{\alpha+s}} (\|f\|_{s, \omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}} + 1). \tag{4.6}$$

**Proof:** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u - u_N\|_{\omega^{-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta}}^2 \\
&= \int_0^1 \omega^{-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta} \left( \omega^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} c_i G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)} \right)^2 dx \\
&= \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} c_i^2 \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}\|^2 \leq C \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{f_{1,i+1}^2 + f_{2,i+1}^2}{\lambda_i^2} \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}\|^2 \quad (\text{using (3.9)}) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(N+1)^{2(\alpha-1)}} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (f_{1,i+1}^2 + f_{2,i+1}^2) \|G_i^{(\alpha-\beta, \beta)}\|^2 \quad (\text{using } \lambda_i \sim i^{\alpha-1}) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(N+1)^{2(\alpha-1)}} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (f_{1,i+1}^2 + f_{2,i+1}^2) \|G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}\|^2 \quad (\text{using (2.13)}) \\
&= \frac{C}{(N+1)^{2(\alpha-1)}} \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} \left( \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} f_{1,i+1} G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} \right)^2 dx \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{(N+1)^{2(\alpha-1)}} \int_0^1 \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} \left( \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} f_{2,i+1} G_{i+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} \right)^2 dx \\
&= \frac{C}{(N+1)^{2(\alpha-1)}} \left( \|f_1 - P_{N+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} f_1\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|f_2 - P_{N+1}^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)} f_2\|_{\omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(N+1)^{2(\alpha+s)}} (\|f_1\|_{s+1, \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2 + \|f_2\|_{s+1, \omega^{(\beta-1, \alpha-\beta-1)}}^2) \quad (\text{using (2.15)}) \\
&\leq \frac{C \|1/K\|_{W_{\infty}^{s+1}}^2}{(N+1)^{2(\alpha+s)}} (\|f\|_{s, \omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}} + 1)^2 \quad (\text{using (4.1), (4.2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

■

**Corollary 4.1** *Under the assumption of Theorem 4.1, the following estimate holds*

$$\|u - u_N\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C \|1/K\|_{W_{\infty}^{s+1}}}{(N+1)^{\alpha+s}} (\|f\|_{s, \omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}} + 1). \quad (4.7)$$

**Proof:** Note that  $\omega^{-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta} \geq 1$ . Hence

$$\|u - u_N\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_0^1 (u(x) - u_N(x))^2 dx \leq \int_0^1 \omega^{-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta}(x) (u(x) - u_N(x))^2 dx. \quad (4.8)$$

Thus (4.6) leads to (4.7). ■

## 5 Numerical experiments

In this section, we present two numerical examples to investigate the sharpness of the estimate (4.6) and (4.7).

To determine the predicted convergence rate, we need to analyze the regularity of  $f(x)$  and  $K(x)$ . For the numerical experiments we will take  $K(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{I})$ , bounded, and bounded away from 0. Subsequently, we need to determine the largest value of  $s$  such that  $\|f\|_{s,\omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}} < \infty$ . For the two examples considered, the most singular parts of  $f(x)$  are  $x^{1-\alpha}$  and  $(1-x)^{1-\alpha}$ . So, we need to find the largest value of  $s$  such that  $|x^{1-\alpha}|_{s,\omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}} < \infty$  and  $|(1-x)^{1-\alpha}|_{s,\omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}} < \infty$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{1-\alpha}|_{s,\omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}}^2 &= \|D^s x^{1-\alpha}\|_{\omega^{(\beta+s,\alpha-\beta+s)}}^2 \leq C \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\beta+s} x^{\alpha-\beta+s} x^{2(1-\alpha-s)} dx \\ &= C \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\beta+s} x^{2-\alpha-\beta-s} dx < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{largest value for } s) \implies 2 - \alpha - \beta - s > -1, \implies s < 3 - \alpha - \beta.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |(1-x)^{1-\alpha}|_{s,\omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}}^2 &= \|D^s (1-x)^{1-\alpha}\|_{\omega^{(\beta+s,\alpha-\beta+s)}}^2 \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\beta+s} x^{\alpha-\beta+s} (1-x)^{2(1-\alpha-s)} dx \\ &= C \int_0^1 (1-x)^{2-2\alpha-s+\beta} x^{\alpha-\beta+s} dx < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{largest value for } s) \implies 2 - 2\alpha - s + \beta > -1, \implies s < 3 - 2\alpha + \beta.$$

So by (4.6), the predicted convergence of  $\|u - u_N\|_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta),-\beta)}}$  is  $\sim N^\kappa$ , where  $\kappa = \alpha + s < \min\{3 - \beta, 3 - (\alpha - \beta)\}$ .

**Remark:** Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1 assume that  $f(x) \in H_{\omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}}^n(\mathbb{I})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here we are assuming that these estimates extend to  $f(x) \in H_{\omega^{(\beta,\alpha-\beta)}}^s(\mathbb{I})$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

**Example 1** Let  $K(x) = 1$ ,  $\beta$  determined by (2.16) and

$$f(x) = \frac{-r}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} x^{1-\alpha} + \frac{(1-r)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} (1-x)^{1-\alpha}.$$

The corresponding solution is

$$u(x) = x - \frac{x^\beta {}_2F_1(1+\beta-\alpha, \beta; \beta+1, x)}{{}_2F_1((1+\beta-\alpha, \beta; \beta+1, 1)}.$$

The experimental convergence rate of  $\kappa$  for the error in different norms for Example 1 is shown in Table 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3.

Table 5.1: Example 1 with  $\alpha = 1.70$ ,  $r = 0.34$  and  $\beta = 0.90$ .

$N$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta),-\beta)}}$	$\kappa$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2}$	$\kappa$
16	2.69E-04		5.33E-05	
18	2.12E-04	2.11	4.03E-05	2.51
20	1.72E-04	2.12	3.13E-05	2.52
22	1.42E-04	2.12	2.49E-05	2.53
24	1.19E-04	2.12	2.01E-05	2.54
Pred.		2.10		2.10

Table 5.2: Example 1 with  $\alpha = 1.40$ ,  $r = 0.62$  and  $\beta = 0.60$ .

$N$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}}$	$\kappa$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2}$	$\kappa$
16	2.89E-04		7.11E-05	
18	2.25E-04	2.25	5.30E-05	2.63
20	1.80E-04	2.25	4.07E-05	2.64
22	1.46E-04	2.25	3.20E-05	2.65
24	1.21E-04	2.25	2.56E-05	2.66
Pred.		2.20		2.20

Table 5.3: Example 1 with  $\alpha = 1.70$ ,  $r = 0.50$  and  $\beta = 0.85$ .

$N$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}}$	$\kappa$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2}$	$\kappa$
16	2.80E-04		5.46E-05	
18	2.22E-04	2.10	4.13E-05	2.50
20	1.80E-04	2.11	3.21E-05	2.51
22	1.48E-04	2.11	2.55E-05	2.52
24	1.24E-04	2.11	2.07E-05	2.53
Pred.		2.15		2.15

**Example 2** Let  $K(x) = e^x$  and

$$f(x) = -re^x \left( \frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} - \frac{x^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} - 2\frac{x^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} \right) - (1-r)e^x \left( \frac{(1-x)^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} - 3\frac{(1-x)^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} + 2\frac{(1-x)^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} \right).$$

The corresponding solution is  $u = x(1-x)$ . The experimental convergence rate of  $\kappa$  for the error in different norms for Example 2 is shown in Table 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6.

Table 5.4: Example 2 with  $\alpha = 1.70$ ,  $r = 0.34$  and  $\beta = 0.90$ .

$N$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}}$	$\kappa$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2}$	$\kappa$
16	2.40E-04		4.69E-05	
18	1.92E-04	2.02	3.59E-05	2.41
20	1.56E-04	2.03	2.82E-05	2.43
22	1.30E-04	2.04	2.25E-05	2.45
24	1.10E-04	2.05	1.84E-05	2.46
Pred.		2.10		2.10

Table 5.5: Example 2 with  $\alpha = 1.40$ ,  $r = 0.62$  and  $\beta = 0.60$ .

$N$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}}$	$\kappa$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2}$	$\kappa$
16	2.55E-04		6.13E-05	
18	2.01E-04	2.14	4.63E-05	2.51
20	1.62E-04	2.15	3.60E-05	2.53
22	1.33E-04	2.16	2.85E-05	2.55
24	1.11E-04	2.17	2.30E-05	2.56
Pred.		2.20		2.20

Table 5.6: Example 2 with  $\alpha = 1.40$ ,  $r = 0.50$  and  $\beta = 0.7$ .

$N$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2_{\omega^{(-(\alpha-\beta), -\beta)}}}$	$\kappa$	$\ u - u_N\ _{L^2}$	$\kappa$
16	2.73E-04		6.54E-05	
18	2.14E-04	2.16	4.95E-05	2.51
20	1.73E-04	2.17	3.84E-05	2.53
22	1.42E-04	2.18	3.04E-05	2.55
24	1.18E-04	2.19	2.46E-05	2.57
Pred.		2.30		2.30

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper we have established the wellposedness of the variable coefficient fractional differential equation (2.1) for  $f \in L^2_{\omega^{(\beta, \alpha-\beta)}}(\mathbb{I})$ . A spectral approximation method was proposed and analyzed. For the error between the approximation and the true solution, measured in the appropriately weighted  $L^2$  norm, the numerical results are in very good agreement with the derived theoretical estimates. Additionally, the numerical results indicate that the established convergence rate for the error in the  $L^2$  norm (Corollary 4.1) may not be optimal.

## Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the OSD/ARO MURI Grant W911NF-15-1-0562 and by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-1620194.

## References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, volume 55 of *National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series*.
- [2] D. Benson, S.W. Wheatcraft, and M.M. Meerschaert, The fractional-order governing equation of Lévy motion, *Water Resour. Res.* 36 (2000), 1413–1423.
- [3] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Springer, Berlin, 1976.



- [4] P.G. Ciarlet, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [5] D. del-Castillo-Negrete, B.A. Carreras, and V.E. Lynch, Fractional diffusion in plasma turbulence, *Phys. Plasmas*, 11 (2004), 3854.
- [6] V.J. Ervin and J.P. Roop, Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation, *Numer. Methods. Partial Differential Eq.*, 22(2006), 558–576.
- [7] V.J. Ervin, N. Heuer and J.P. Roop, Regularity of the solution to 1-d fractional order diffusion equations, *Math. Comp.* 87 (2018), 2273–2294.
- [8] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, V 19, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1998.
- [9] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, (2nd ed.), Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [10] B.Y. Guo and L.L. Wang, Jacobi approximations in non-uniformly Jacobi-weighted Sobolev spaces. *J. Approx. Theory*, 128:1–41, 2004.
- [11] B. Jin, R. Lazarov, J. Pasciak, and W. Rundell, Variational formulation of problems involving fractional order differential operators, *Math. Comp.*, 84 (2015), 2665–2700.
- [12] Y. Li, H. Chen, and H. Wang. A mixed-type Galerkin variational formulation and fast algorithms for variable-coefficient fractional diffusion equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 40(14):5018–5034, 2017.
- [13] Z. Mao, S. Chen, and J. Shen, Efficient and accurate spectral method using generalized Jacobi functions for solving Riesz fractional differential equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 106 (2016), 165–181.
- [14] Z. Mao and G.E. Karniadakis, A spectral method (of exponential convergence) for singular solutions of the diffusion equation with general two-sided fractional derivative. *SIAM Numer. Anal.*, 56 (2018), 24–49.
- [15] Z. Mao and J. Shen, Efficient spectral-Galerkin methods for fractional partial differential equations with variable coefficients. *J. Comp. Phys.*, 307(2016), 243–261.
- [16] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach, *Phys. Rep.*, 339 (2000), 1–77.
- [17] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, 1999.
- [18] S. Samko, A. Kilbas, and O. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, London, 1993.
- [19] J. Shen, T. Tang, and L.L. Wang, *Spectral Methods: Algorithms, Analysis and Applications*, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [20] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., fourth edition, 1975. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII.

- [21] H. Wang and D. Yang, Wellposedness of variable-coefficient conservative fractional elliptic differential equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 51 (2013), 1088–1107.
- [22] H. Wang, D. Yang, S. Zhu, Inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary-value problems of space-fractional diffusion equations and their finite element approximations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52 (2014), 1292–1310.
- [23] H. Wang, D. Yang, and S. Zhu, A Petrov-Galerkin finite element method for variable-coefficient fractional diffusion equations, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 290 (2015), 45–56.
- [24] H. Wang, D. Yang, and S. Zhu, Accuracy of finite element methods for boundary-value problems of steady-state fractional diffusion equations, *J. Sci. Comput.*, DOI 10.1007/s10915-016-0196-7.
- [25] H. Wang and X. Zhang, A high-accuracy preserving spectral Galerkin method for the Dirichlet boundary-value problem of variable-coefficient conservative fractional diffusion equations, *J. Comput. Phy.*, 281 (2015), 67–81.
- [26] Y. Zhang, D.A. Benson, M.M. Meerschaert and E.M. LaBolle, Space-fractional advection-dispersion equations with variable parameters: Diverse formulas, numerical solutions, and application to the MADE-site data, *Water Resources Research*, 43 (2007), W05439.
- [27] X. Zheng, V.J. Ervin, H. Wang, Spectral approximation of a variable coefficient fractional diffusion equation in one space dimension, arXiv:1810.12420.
- [28] J.S. Hesthaven, S. Gottlieb, and D. Gottlieb, *Spectral methods for time-dependent problems*, volume 21 of *Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.